

PX2

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 1

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JACKSON COUNTY, MISSOURI
AT INDEPENDENCE

KATHERINE O'HAVER,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
ANESTHESIA) Case No:
ASSOCIATES OF KANSAS) 1816-CV-30710
CITY, P.C., et al.,) Division 12
Defendants.)

DOUGLAS TYE,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
ST. LUKE'S EAST) Case No: 1916-CV00825
ANESTHESIA SERVICES,)
P.C., et al.)
Defendants.

CONFIDENTIAL

1-348 PAGES, VOLUME I

APRIL 14, 2022

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ALBERT VAN DUREN, AS
CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE OF 3M

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 2	Page 4
<p>1 APPEARANCES: 2 ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS: 3 LANGDON & EMISON LLC Brett A. Emison (Zoom) 4 Patricia L. Campbell (In Person) 911 Main Street - P.O. Box 220 Lexington, MO 64067 5 McDONALD WORLEY Gabriel Assaad, Esq. (In Person) 7 1770 St. James Place Suite 100 8 Houston, TX 77006 9 FARRAR & BALI, LLP Kyle Farrar, Esq. (Zoom) 10 117 Herkimer Street Houston, TX 77008 11 MESHBESHER & SPENCE, LTD. Genevieve Zimmerman, Esq. (In Person) 1616 Park Avenue Minneapolis, MN 55416 14 ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS WESLEY FREVERT, M.D. AND ROCKHILL ORTHOPAEDIC SPECIALISTS, INC.: 15 NORRIS KLEPLINGER HICKS & WELDER, LLC Paul Breer, Esq. (Zoom) 9225 Indian Creek Parkway 17 Corporate Woods, Building 32, Suite 750 Overland Park, Kansas 66210 18 19 ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT 3M COMPANY AND ARIZANT HEALTHCARE, INC. 21 NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP RBC Plaza 22 Corey Gordon (In Person) Charmaine K. Harris (In Person) 23 60 South Sixth Street, Suite 3100 Minneapolis, MN 55402 24 25</p>	<p>1 INDEX 2 EXAMINATION WITNESS PAGE MR. ASSAD ALBERT VAN DUREN 5 3 MS. ZIMMERMAN 213 MR. GORDON 337 4 MS. ZIMMERMAN 342 5 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 1 Second Amended Notice of Videotaped Deposition of Corporate Representative.....5 7 2 July 5, 1938 Patent diagram by E.J. Sweetland.....77 9 3 Model 200/250 Operation Manual - Bair Hugger Patient Warming System.....79 10 4 Model 500 Service Manual Bates 3MBH02237657 to 3MBH00500238.....88 12 5 Hose Card Bates 3MBH00500237 to 3MBH00500238.....97 13 6 Bair Hugger Sales Training Manual 3MBH01887029 to 3MBH01887207.....99 15 7 Poster Presentation December 9, 1991 Bair Hugger Wärmer Does Not Increase Microbial Contamination in the Operating Room 17 Bates 3M00035144 to 3M00035149.....186 18 8 1553 Prewarming Library by Al Van Duren Bates EVER00835074-EVER00835087.....224 19 9 The Effect of Prewarming By a Bair Paws Gown On Redistribution Hypothermia in Patients Undergoing Total Joint Replacement Colorectal Surgery. 21 Bates 3MBH00982867 to 3MBH00982885.....260 22 10 11/1/07 Email from Al Van Duren to Cindy Quint.....277 24 11 An Introduction to Thermoregulation and the Effects of Anesthesia 25</p>
Page 3	Page 5
<p>1 ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS CENTERPOINT MEDICAL CENTER OF INDEPENDENCE, LLC; CENTERPOINT ORTHOPEDICS, LLC; and GREGORY BALLARD, M.D.: 2 POLSINELLI PC Joshua McCaig, Esq. (Zoom) 900 W. 48th Place, Suite 900 Kansas City, MO 64112 5 6 ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS JONAH GARRETT, M.D., DEREK THOMAS, RN, MELINDA PENDERGRAFT, RN, JENNIFER VANSANDT, RN, ST. LUKE'S EAST 7 ANESTHESIA SERVICES 8 WAGSTAFF & CARTMELL LLP Marc Erickson (Zoom) 9 4740 Grand Boulevard, Suite 300 Kansas City, MO 64112 10 11 ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS ROCKHILL ORTHOPAEDIC SPECIALISTS, INC. AND ST. LUKE'S EAST HOSPITAL 13 14 ADAM & MCCGREVEY, P.A. Sean McGrevey (Zoom) Timothy Davidson (Zoom) 15 9300 West 110th St., Suite 470 Overland Park, Kansas 66210 16 17 ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS ANESTHESIA ASSOCIATES OF KANSAS CITY, P.C.; JASON BIBLE, D.O.; and CHARLES HERRING, CRNA: 18 Joseph Kronawitter (Zoom) Horn Aylward & Brandy, LLC 20 2600 Grand Boulevard, Suite 1100 Kansas City, MO 64108 21 22 Also present: Ron Huber, Videographer 23 24 25</p>	<p>1 P R O C E E D I N G S 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the 3 record. The court reporter will swear in the 4 witness. 5 (Witness sworn.) 6 ALBERT VAN DUREN, 7 EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. ASSAAD: 9 Q Good morning, Mr. Van Duren. Could you 10 please state your name for the record? 11 A Albert P. Van Duren. 12 Q I premarked Exhibit No. 1, which is 13 titled: "Second Amended Notice of Videotape 14 Deposition of Corporate Representative of 3M 15 Company." Do you see that in front of you? 16 A Yes. 17 MR. ASSAAD: And just for the record, I'm 18 going to identify the topics that we believe are 19 covered today, and I believe defense was going to 20 make their statement as well. 21 Based on our understanding and the 22 Court's ruling, topics number 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 23 10, 21, 26, 27, 28, 29, and 31 are to be covered 24 today, and you've been designated as the 25 corporate representative.</p>

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 6	Page 8
<p>1 I understand there are some issues with 2 that, and I'll leave it for the defense to make 3 their objection and their position.</p> <p>4 MR. GORDON: Thank you. And as we just 5 briefly discussed before the deposition 6 commenced, with respect to topics 2, 8, 21 and 7 26, we had on July 28th, 2021, objected to 8 several topics, included those four specifically 9 that I just mentioned.</p> <p>10 And then on December 16th, we reiterated 11 those objections and provided a list of topics 12 that 3M was willing to produce a witness on, and 13 that list, likewise, omitted topics 2, 8, 21 and 14 26.</p> <p>15 The December 16th letter requested the 16 Plaintiff identify the topics Plaintiff intended 17 to cover besides those that were agreed to. And 18 on December 17th, the Plaintiffs responded or 19 Plaintiff responded to that -- to the December 20 16th letter identifying additional topics that 21 were still the subject of this agreement, but did 22 not include in that list: 2, 8, 21 or 26.</p> <p>23 And then in the opposition to the 24 protective order, our motion for protective 25 order, Plaintiff specifically referenced these</p>	<p>1 would just like to point out that as far as I'm 2 aware, none of the numbers that you listed: 2, 3 8, 21, 26, have been -- any objections have been 4 sustained by the Court.</p> <p>5 And 29, we understand your position, so 6 let's just continue and you can make your 7 objections outside the scope and we can deal with 8 it at a later point in time whether or not they 9 are current topics or topics that were withdrawn.</p> <p>10 BY MR. ASSAAD:</p> <p>11 Q Mr. Van Duren, have you seen this notice 12 before?</p> <p>13 A I believe I've seen this notice, yes.</p> <p>14 Q And when have you seen this notice?</p> <p>15 A Yesterday.</p> <p>16 Q Yesterday was the first time that you saw 17 the notice?</p> <p>18 A Yes.</p> <p>19 Q Okay. I'm going to go through the 20 instructions. And you have been designated as a 21 30(b)6 witness before, which is a corporate 22 representative. You understand that, correct?</p> <p>23 A Yes, I do.</p> <p>24 Q Do you understand as a corporate 25 representative you are speaking on behalf of the</p>
Page 7	Page 9
<p>1 letters and represented to the Court that the 2 parties have been able to narrow the topics 3 and/or lines of questioning.</p> <p>4 Based on that, we construe the topics as 5 2, 8, 21 and 26 as abandoned, and we did not 6 prepare Mr. Van Duren on those. As I indicated 7 to you, to the extent there are questions that 8 fall within this, you know, the scope of what any 9 of these four topics cover, Mr. Van Duren may 10 well be in possession of knowledge, and you can 11 certainly ask him about whatever it is you want. 12 He has not -- but because of the back and forth 13 that I explained to you, he has not been 14 specifically prepared on that.</p> <p>15 And this may just be a communication 16 error. We weren't -- we didn't object to 29; 17 but, I guess, the 29 and 30 are so similar 18 because they involve lobbying, that perhaps our 19 misunderstanding was that both of those would be 20 covered by Mr. Issa.</p> <p>21 So again, Mr. Van Duren was not 22 specifically prepared on 29. To the extent that 23 he has any knowledge about anything related to 24 it, you're certainly free to ask him about it.</p> <p>25 MR. ASSAAD: Thank you, Mr. Gordon. I</p>	<p>1 company?</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 Q And you're aware I'm going to ask you 4 numerous questions today?</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q If you don't understand my question, 7 please let me know.</p> <p>8 A Yes.</p> <p>9 Q If you answer the question, we will 10 assume that you understood the question. Fair 11 enough?</p> <p>12 A Yes.</p> <p>13 Q And if you want to take a break, just 14 request a break after any pending questions have 15 been answered.</p> <p>16 A Okay.</p> <p>17 Q And when I use the term "you" during my 18 deposition, that's referring to 3M. Do you 19 understand that?</p> <p>20 A I do.</p> <p>21 Q Okay. When did you first become aware 22 that you'll be testifying as a corporate 23 representative on behalf of 3M?</p> <p>24 A I believe a couple of weeks ago.</p> <p>25 Q And what did you do to prepare for your</p>

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

CONFIDENTIAL

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 10</p> <p>1 deposition?</p> <p>2 A I reviewed materials that were related to 3 the topics in this notification. Do you want to 4 know specifically what those were?</p> <p>5 Q We'll get there; but it's my 6 understanding that you did not know the topics 7 until yesterday?</p> <p>8 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 9 question. Misstates his testimony.</p> <p>10 A I only saw this notice yesterday, but had 11 been preparing for the deposition before that 12 time.</p> <p>13 Q What topics were you -- was your 14 understanding that you would be discussing at 15 today's deposition a few weeks -- like when you 16 first learned about this deposition?</p> <p>17 A Well, when I initially learned about it, 18 I thought that the topic of the deposition was 19 going to be a discussion of my library contents.</p> <p>20 Q Okay.</p> <p>21 A And maybe other associated papers.</p> <p>22 Q And that -- that was based on your last 23 deposition, which we continued because we did not 24 have your library contents. But with respect to 25 the 30(b)(6) corporate representative deposition,</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 12</p> <p>1 Exhibit 1, which is the deposition notice, how 2 did you become aware of what topics you would be 3 discussing today?</p> <p>4 A I had discussions with attorneys.</p> <p>5 Q Which attorneys?</p> <p>6 A Corey Gordon, Ted -- sorry, I forgot his 7 last name.</p> <p>8 Q Hartman?</p> <p>9 A -- Hartman, Ted Hartman. That was it.</p> <p>10 Q Okay. And I'm just trying to get the 11 timeline down.</p> <p>12 When you first were told that you were 13 going to be designated as a corporate 14 representative, were you told what topics you 15 would have to prepare for for the deposition?</p> <p>16 A Not immediately, no.</p> <p>17 Q Okay. When were you told the topics that 18 you would have to prepare for for the deposition?</p> <p>19 A Sometime last week.</p> <p>20 Q Last week, early last week?</p> <p>21 A Yeah, early last week.</p> <p>22 Q Okay. You were aware of the topics 23 today, correct?</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p> <p>25 Q Do you feel adequately prepared to answer</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 11</p> <p>1 what was your understanding of the topics you'd 2 be testifying on behalf of 3M?</p> <p>3 A I didn't know precisely what they were. 4 Two weeks or maybe -- yeah, two weeks ago.</p> <p>5 Q When did you discover what topics you 6 were going to testify to on behalf of 3M?</p> <p>7 A Sometime early last week.</p> <p>8 Q Okay. What was your understanding of the 9 topics that you'd be discussing for your 10 corporate -- for the corporate deposition that 11 we're here for today?</p> <p>12 A That they would have to do with things 13 like engineering, specifications, clinical 14 papers, topics that are included in this 15 notification, for example.</p> <p>16 Q Were you provided the actual topics that 17 you'd be -- that you have to prepare for to 18 discuss for today?</p> <p>19 A I was given a copy of this notification 20 and a list of topics, yes.</p> <p>21 Q Well, Exhibit 1 was given to you 22 yesterday, correct?</p> <p>23 A I believe that was the first time I saw 24 it, yes.</p> <p>25 Q Okay. Prior to giving -- you receiving</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 13</p> <p>1 questions on those topics?</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 Q Okay. In preparation for today's 4 deposition, did you have any communications with 5 anyone besides counsel?</p> <p>6 A Regarding these topics?</p> <p>7 Q Yes.</p> <p>8 A No.</p> <p>9 Q How much time did you spend preparing for 10 today's deposition?</p> <p>11 A I think probably, somewhere around 20 12 hours.</p> <p>13 Q When did you begin preparing for today's 14 deposition?</p> <p>15 A Early last week.</p> <p>16 Q Okay. And last week would be the week of 17 April 10th?</p> <p>18 A Yes.</p> <p>19 Q Okay. So since --</p> <p>20 A No, no, no. This is April 15th.</p> <p>21 Q Oh, I'm sorry, the 8th?</p> <p>22 A 7th.</p> <p>23 Q Now you got me all confused. Let's get 24 this correct.</p> <p>25 MR. GORDON: Are you sure you're</p>

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

CONFIDENTIAL

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 14</p> <p>1 celebrating Easter a week from now?</p> <p>2 Q So last Monday was April 4th. So when do 3 you believe that you first became aware of the 4 topics for today's deposition?</p> <p>5 A Sometime after that date, but during last 6 week, early last week.</p> <p>7 Q So Tuesday or Wednesday?</p> <p>8 A In that -- yes, probably.</p> <p>9 Q Okay. So April 5th or 6th, would that be 10 fair?</p> <p>11 A Yes, that would be fair.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. Was it through an email or through 13 a conversation?</p> <p>14 A It was through conversation.</p> <p>15 Q Okay. And you mentioned that you spent 16 roughly around 20 hours since April 5th or 6th in 17 preparation for today's deposition?</p> <p>18 A About that.</p> <p>19 Q How many of those hours were spent with 20 counsel for 3M?</p> <p>21 A About ten.</p> <p>22 Q Ten hours? And the other ten hours were 23 just you preparing on your own?</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p> <p>25 Q And you didn't speak with anyone</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 16</p> <p>1 Q Where were -- how did you obtain those 2 documents?</p> <p>3 A Through my attorneys.</p> <p>4 Q Can you -- what were the subject matter 5 of those documents, the ones from the attorneys 6 to Scott Augustine?</p> <p>7 A Well, they were all related in one way or 8 another to assertions that Dr. Augustine had made 9 about the operating room contamination associated 10 with the Bair Hugger system.</p> <p>11 Q And that -- you're talking about the 12 assertions back in around 2000 -- after he left 13 Arizant, correct?</p> <p>14 A Yes. Yes, all after.</p> <p>15 Q So the dates of all of those letters were 16 after Arizant, correct?</p> <p>17 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 18 question.</p> <p>19 A Well, they weren't all after Arizant. I 20 think some of them were written -- some of them 21 were written by personnel at Arizant Healthcare 22 in response to letters sent by either 23 Dr. Augustine or his attorneys.</p> <p>24 Q I understand. But all the -- the date of 25 the letters were after Scott Augustine left</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 15</p> <p>1 regarding any of these topics in preparation for 2 the deposition?</p> <p>3 A No, I did not.</p> <p>4 Q Okay. Did you have any conversations 5 with -- withdraw that.</p> <p>6 Did you review any documents in 7 preparation for today's deposition?</p> <p>8 A Yes.</p> <p>9 Q What documents did you review?</p> <p>10 A I reviewed a number of engineering 11 documents. I reviewed sales training documents. 12 I reviewed regulatory documents. I reviewed a 13 number of letters from attorneys. I reviewed 14 some corporate structure documents, personnel 15 structure documents; some clinical documents 16 related to bibliographies, that type of thing.</p> <p>17 Q Letters from attorneys. Can you 18 elaborate more on that, please?</p> <p>19 A I reviewed a number of documents that 20 were written by attorneys for Dr. Augustine. And 21 also documents that were written in response to 22 those documents from David Westlund, people like 23 that; and other attorneys, Randy Benham. You 24 know, I don't remember precisely every one of 25 them, but I did review them.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 17</p> <p>1 Arizant?</p> <p>2 A Yes. They were all after 2003, which I 3 think is the date, roughly. I don't remember the 4 exact month, but I think he left in 2003.</p> <p>5 Q Okay. And what assertions are you 6 talking about?</p> <p>7 A Dr. Augustine was asserting that there 8 were design flaws and lack of follow-up. I mean, 9 a number of assertions related to the existence 10 of contamination in the Bair Hugger system.</p> <p>11 Q And you would agree with me that's not 12 the first time -- these assertions or these ideas 13 of airborne contamination in the operating room, 14 that was not the first time Arizant was aware of 15 that, correct?</p> <p>16 A No, they were aware of these assertions 17 much earlier than that.</p> <p>18 Q How early?</p> <p>19 A Well, I got -- I began working at 20 Augustine Medical in 1994; and before that time, 21 there had been -- Dr. Augustine was concerned 22 about these matters then, and had commissioned 23 research studies to investigate that problem or 24 that assertion.</p> <p>25 Q When you say Dr. Augustine was concerned</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 18	Page 20
<p>1 about these issues, we're talking about airborne 2 contamination in the operating room, correct? 3 A Yes. Yes. 4 Q Okay. So when Arizant got these letters 5 from Augustine or Augustine's attorneys, those 6 assertions were nothing new to them? 7 A That's correct. 8 Q Okay. And in fact, when 3M bought 9 Arizant Care for 810 million, 3M was aware of 10 these assertions, correct? 11 A Certainly. Yes, they were. 12 Q So the issue of airborne contamination or 13 the -- was known to Augustine before you arrive 14 in 1994? 15 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 16 question; also lack of foundation. 17 A I'm sorry, would you repeat that? 18 Q So there's no dispute that the issue of 19 airborne contamination in an operating room was 20 known to Augustine before 1994? 21 MR. GORDON: Same objection. 22 A You mean as a result of using forced-air 23 warming or? 24 Q Yes. 25 A There were assertions from certain</p>	<p>1 Q And Hall and Teenier was the only two 2 studies that were commissioned by Augustine to 3 look into the issue of airborne contamination, 4 correct? 5 MR. GORDON: You mean Hall and Zink? You 6 said Hall and Teenier. 7 MR. ASSAAD: Correct. It's because Hall 8 and Teenier is two names as well. 9 BY MR. ASSAAD: 10 Q Withdraw that question. 11 The two studies of Zink and Hall and 12 Teenier were the only two studies commissioned by 13 Augustine to look into the issue of airborne 14 contamination in the operating room, correct? 15 A Prior to what date? 16 Q Prior to your arriving. 17 A Oh, I believe that's correct. 18 Q Are there any other studies that Arizant 19 or Augustine Medical did to look into the 20 airborne contamination that you're aware of? 21 A At any time? 22 Q Yeah. 23 A I mean, right now I don't recall 24 specifically any studies that were done to look 25 into that -- that Augustine Medical commissioned</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 19</p> <p>1 customers that that could be a problem, yes. 2 Q Do you know how early those assertions 3 were by certain customers? 4 A I don't know precisely when they began, 5 but certainly between 1987 and 1994. 6 Q Well, you mentioned two studies that were 7 commissioned by or studies that were commissioned 8 by Augustine to look at this issue of airborne 9 contamination, correct? 10 A I mentioned that he had commissioned 11 studies, yes. 12 Q And that would be the Zink study, 13 correct? 14 A That would be one of them, yes. 15 Q And Zink was 1993, correct? 16 A I don't remember the precise date, but 17 probably. 18 Q Okay. I'll represent to you that it's 19 1993, and I can bring a copy at the next break. 20 A I think that sounds about right. 21 Q And also Hall and Teenier, correct? 22 A Yes. 23 Q And that was 1991 -- a poster in 1991, 24 correct? 25 A Earlier than Zink, yes. I recall that.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 21</p> <p>1 to study that issue. I am aware of other studies 2 that were done independently, but not 3 commissioned by Augustine Medical. 4 Q Okay. Well, so would it be fair to say 5 or accurate to say that the only two studies 6 commissioned by Augustine Medical to look into 7 airborne contamination in the operating room was 8 the Zink study and the Hall and Teenier study? 9 A To my recollection, that's correct. 10 Q When you say "to my recollection," you're 11 talking about 3M's recollection? 12 A Yes. 13 Q I kind of went off my outline here, so 14 I'm going to go back a little bit. 15 So the letters that you reviewed, would 16 it be accurate that they were involving the 17 assertions, all the letters were regarding the 18 letters by Dr. Augustine regarding airborne 19 contamination in the operating room? 20 A Well, they were either -- they were 21 either letters written by him or on his behalf 22 regarding this issue and then responses to them. 23 Q Okay. Did you review any of the Bair 24 Hugger manuals in preparation for today's 25 deposition?</p>

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 22	Page 24
<p>1 A Yes.</p> <p>2 Q Which ones?</p> <p>3 A A number of them. Early models of the 4 model 200, Model 250, model 500 and its variant 5 500 OR, 505, 750.</p> <p>6 Q 775?</p> <p>7 A And 775, yes.</p> <p>8 Q Is that the last Bair Hugger model 9 designed was a 775 for inoperative warming?</p> <p>10 A Well, there's a -- I don't recall the 11 current model of the one that 3M developed after 12 the acquisition, but I didn't review that 13 particular manual. Also a model 600.</p> <p>14 Q Okay. But to your knowledge, is there 15 any model that came after the 775?</p> <p>16 A Yes.</p> <p>17 Q Which model?</p> <p>18 A I don't remember the number. But -- 19 yeah, I'm sorry, I don't recall the number, but 20 it's a completely -- it was developed entirely at 21 3M.</p> <p>22 Q Okay. What's the difference between the 23 one made by 3M and the ones made by Arizant?</p> <p>24 A It's smaller, has a completely different 25 shape. It's a cone-shaped, rather than a</p>	<p>1 43.</p> <p>2 Q Okay. And that would be in the manual, 3 correct?</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q Okay. And the Model 200 and 250, they 6 were not to be used in an operating room, 7 correct?</p> <p>8 A That's right.</p> <p>9 Q Because of airborne contamination, right?</p> <p>10 A No, because of the high temperature 11 setting.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. Do you recall 3M or Arizant 13 mentioning at any point that they did not use the 14 200 series in the operating room because of 15 airborne contamination?</p> <p>16 A I don't remember anybody stating that. 17 That was not the reason.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. So if -- well, okay. 19 We'll get to that.</p> <p>20 So you reviewed the manuals, correct?</p> <p>21 A Yes.</p> <p>22 Q All the manuals? Did you notice any 23 inaccuracies or incorrect statements in the 24 manuals?</p> <p>25 A Not that I recall.</p>
<p>1 rectangular or roughly cuboid shape.</p> <p>2 Q Do you use the same blankets?</p> <p>3 A Yes. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q Same output temperature?</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q 43 degrees on high, 43 degree celsius?</p> <p>7 A Yes.</p> <p>8 Q And in fact, all of the Bair Hugger 9 models were set at 43 degrees celsius at the high 10 temperature, correct?</p> <p>11 A That's correct, although they did not all 12 have the same tolerance.</p> <p>13 Q Okay.</p> <p>14 A But the setpoint temperatures, the high 15 setpoint temperature is on all models were 43, 16 with the exception of the Model 200 and 250. 17 Those had higher temperatures.</p> <p>18 Q What were the temperatures of those?</p> <p>19 A Those are 40 -- I believe that the Model 20 200 was around 40 -- could reach temperatures 21 around 46.</p> <p>22 Q Within the tolerance, correct?</p> <p>23 A No, that was a setpoint temperature. It 24 might have been -- it might have been 44 or 46, I 25 don't remember precisely, but it was higher than</p>	<p>1 Q Okay. I mean, the manuals are reviewed 2 by Augustine Medical, Arizant or 3M, you know, to 3 make sure that they're accurate, correct?</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q Okay. And they're also reviewed by 6 regulatory, correct?</p> <p>7 A Yes.</p> <p>8 Q Okay. To make sure that there's not any 9 inaccurate statements, correct?</p> <p>10 A That's the purpose of the review, yes.</p> <p>11 Q And the manuals would consider any 12 contradictions or warnings, correct? In the 13 manuals will be the contradictions for the use of 14 the Bair Hugger or any warnings?</p> <p>15 A The contraindications?</p> <p>16 Q Yeah, you're right. Contraindications, 17 I'm sorry.</p> <p>18 A So I'm sorry, state it again?</p> <p>19 Q The manual contained contraindications, 20 correct?</p> <p>21 A And -- yes.</p> <p>22 Q And warnings, correct?</p> <p>23 A Yes, and cautions.</p> <p>24 Q And cautions, okay. And to the best of 25 3M's knowledge, the manuals are accurate?</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 26</p> <p>1 A To the best of our knowledge.</p> <p>2 Q Okay. Because when you sell a medical</p> <p>3 device you should be -- you should have accurate</p> <p>4 statements in the manuals, correct?</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q It's very important, correct?</p> <p>7 A Yes.</p> <p>8 Q You don't want to mislead the customers</p> <p>9 with inaccurate statements in the manual,</p> <p>10 correct?</p> <p>11 A We do our best not to mislead people,</p> <p>12 yes.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. The documents that you reviewed in</p> <p>14 preparation for today's deposition, were they all</p> <p>15 provided to you by counsel?</p> <p>16 A Not every one of them, no.</p> <p>17 Q Okay. What documents were provided to</p> <p>18 you by counsel?</p> <p>19 A The manuals, corporate structure</p> <p>20 documents, regulatory documents, legal documents,</p> <p>21 a number of categories of documents. I've got a</p> <p>22 bibliography that was produced by Dr. Augustine,</p> <p>23 for example. Things of that nature.</p> <p>24 Q Okay. And what documents did you look up</p> <p>25 yourself or review yourself that were not given</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 28</p> <p>1 wrote articles on patient warming or papers on</p> <p>2 patient warming?</p> <p>3 A Well, I wrote review documents, yes. Is</p> <p>4 that what you meant?</p> <p>5 Q Yeah. And do you plan on retiring</p> <p>6 anytime soon?</p> <p>7 A Within a couple of years.</p> <p>8 Q Your departure from the patient-warming</p> <p>9 business at 3M, was that your decision or 3M's</p> <p>10 decision?</p> <p>11 A That was my decision.</p> <p>12 Q Why?</p> <p>13 A Well, other opportunities had presented</p> <p>14 themselves with some new clinical research</p> <p>15 departments. And the patient-warming business is</p> <p>16 a very mature business at 3M, and so the</p> <p>17 investment in that business is somewhat less now</p> <p>18 than it was, and more significant investment is</p> <p>19 being made; the KCI business that 3M acquired.</p> <p>20 Q What's KCI?</p> <p>21 A It's a company, Kinetic Concepts</p> <p>22 Incorporated that they purchased.</p> <p>23 Q Does it have anything to do with warming?</p> <p>24 A There are aspects of that that include</p> <p>25 warming, or that include temperature management,</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 27</p> <p>1 to you by counsel?</p> <p>2 A I reviewed a number of documents in my</p> <p>3 library. I don't remember precisely which ones</p> <p>4 they were, but they were documents related to the</p> <p>5 activities that were conducted in the late 1990s</p> <p>6 and 2000s, just to refresh my memory.</p> <p>7 Q What type of documents, activities?</p> <p>8 A Clinical papers.</p> <p>9 Q Clinical papers? So articles?</p> <p>10 A Yes.</p> <p>11 Q So besides the clinical papers or</p> <p>12 articles, did you review any other documents that</p> <p>13 were not provided to you by counsel?</p> <p>14 A No.</p> <p>15 Q Okay. You've spent most of your life</p> <p>16 working in forced-air warming, correct?</p> <p>17 A A large percentage of it, yes.</p> <p>18 Q Since 1994?</p> <p>19 A Yes.</p> <p>20 Q And recently you have left the</p> <p>21 patient-warming division of 3M, correct?</p> <p>22 A I did.</p> <p>23 Q What year was that again?</p> <p>24 A 2018.</p> <p>25 Q Okay. But even afterwards you still</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 29</p> <p>1 how about that?</p> <p>2 Q Okay. What aspects?</p> <p>3 A The KCI business is an advanced wound</p> <p>4 care technology. And the components of that</p> <p>5 therapy can be used to infuse and withdraw fluids</p> <p>6 from abdominal cavities, for example, so that's a</p> <p>7 heat and mass transfer problem. It's not</p> <p>8 primarily temperature management, however.</p> <p>9 Q While you were -- I'll get back to it</p> <p>10 real quick. But has 3M considered getting into</p> <p>11 conductive heat warming instead of convective</p> <p>12 heat warming while you were there in the patient</p> <p>13 warming business?</p> <p>14 A Yes.</p> <p>15 Q Okay. And do you know whether or not</p> <p>16 they plan to come out with a conductive warming</p> <p>17 blanket?</p> <p>18 A To my knowledge, no.</p> <p>19 Q Okay. At one time they were involved</p> <p>20 with VitaHEAT, correct?</p> <p>21 A Yes.</p> <p>22 Q And that was a conductive blanket,</p> <p>23 correct?</p> <p>24 A Yes, it was.</p> <p>25 Q And that only lasted for about a year?</p>

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 30	Page 32
<p>1 A In that -- yes, something like that.</p> <p>2 Q Do you know why that terminated, their 3 relationship?</p> <p>4 A Well, I don't know precisely why it</p> <p>5 terminated, but the sales were terrible of that</p> <p>6 product, so probably related to the fact that we</p> <p>7 couldn't sell it.</p> <p>8 Q Okay. Do you know whether or not there 9 was any research and development by 3M with 10 respect to inventing or creating a conductive 11 warming device?</p> <p>12 A I missed part of that.</p> <p>13 Q Inventing or creating a conductive 14 warming device?</p> <p>15 A Not to my knowledge, other than VitaHEAT.</p> <p>16 Q Okay. And you were one of the people 17 that actually looked into VitaHEAT, correct?</p> <p>18 A Yes.</p> <p>19 Q Okay. And you believe it was a good 20 product?</p> <p>21 A No.</p> <p>22 Q No? I mean, there were studies -- you 23 agree that there were studies that show that it 24 warmed patients effectively?</p> <p>25 A There may have been, yeah.</p>	<p>1 used in the operating room, correct?</p> <p>2 A That's correct.</p> <p>3 Q Okay. Then the 500 came out, correct?</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q What year did the 500 came out?</p> <p>6 A I don't know what year that came out.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. And then the 500 OR?</p> <p>8 A That's right.</p> <p>9 Q Okay. The 500 was not supposed to be 10 used in an operating room as well, correct?</p> <p>11 A Yes, that's correct.</p> <p>12 Q It was not to be used in that operating 13 room, correct?</p> <p>14 A That's correct.</p> <p>15 Q Okay. The 500 OR was the first Bair 16 Hugger device to be used in an operating room, 17 correct?</p> <p>18 A Yes.</p> <p>19 Q And then after that came the 505?</p> <p>20 A I actually think there was a 502 --</p> <p>21 Q Okay.</p> <p>22 A -- that came after 500 OR and then the 505.</p> <p>23 Q Okay. Was the 502 to be used in the 24 operating room?</p>
<p>1 Q Okay.</p> <p>2 A I think there was a -- at the time I</p> <p>3 looked into the product, there was not very much</p> <p>4 clinical information regarding its performance.</p> <p>5 Q Now, I just want to get -- just to 6 understand the -- I like the term "genealogy" of 7 the Bair Hugger. Like the beginning of the 8 models and stuff, this is what I have, my 9 understanding. The first Bair Hugger to be 10 marketed was the 200 series, correct?</p> <p>11 A Yes.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. And that was -- the predicate 13 medical device for the 200 was an Aircast warmer, 14 correct?</p> <p>15 A Yes.</p> <p>16 Q The Sweetwater Aircast warmer that came 17 out -- the pan came out in 1984?</p> <p>18 A That was the predicate in the 510k</p> <p>19 submission to the FDA.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. And this is before you, Mr. Van 21 Duren, arrived at Augustine?</p> <p>22 A Yes, this was in 1987.</p> <p>23 Q Okay. And then 250 came out, correct?</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p> <p>25 Q Okay. And both of those were not to be</p>	<p>1 A Yes.</p> <p>2 Q Okay. And just going back, the 200 and 3 250 series, they were primarily made to be used 4 in the PACU?</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q Okay. And, in fact, that was the initial 7 purpose of the formation of Augustine is to 8 create a warming device to be used in the PACU?</p> <p>9 A Yes, the whole purpose was to rewarm patients --</p> <p>10 Q Okay.</p> <p>11 A -- in the PACU.</p> <p>12 Q Was the 500 -- withdraw the question. 13 So then after the 505 was the 750, 14 correct?</p> <p>15 A Yes.</p> <p>16 Q And then after that was a 775?</p> <p>17 A Yes.</p> <p>18 Q And there was also a new one out by 3M 19 that we don't know what the number is?</p> <p>20 A Yeah, I'm sorry, I can't remember the number.</p> <p>21 Q Does it have a name?</p> <p>22 A No, they're all numbered.</p> <p>23 Q Okay. And then there's a 600 series?</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 34	Page 36
<p>1 A There was.</p> <p>2 Q Okay.</p> <p>3 A That was a cooling device.</p> <p>4 Q A cooling device? Okay. So besides the 5 -- I've listed all the warming devices from the 6 200 to the 775, and then the new 3M -- I'm just 7 going to call it the cone device.</p> <p>8 A Okay.</p> <p>9 Q Fair enough?</p> <p>10 A Yes.</p> <p>11 Q I'm sure we can look it up on the 12 Internet. Is it to market already?</p> <p>13 A Yes.</p> <p>14 Q Okay. And you reviewed all the manuals 15 that we've discussed?</p> <p>16 A I did not review the 600 manual. I did 17 review the 502 manual.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. Who designed the original 200 19 series of Bair Hugger?</p> <p>20 A I believe Scott and Doug Augustine.</p> <p>21 Q Well, Scott Augustine is not an engineer, 22 correct?</p> <p>23 A No, he isn't.</p> <p>24 Q Was -- did he hire an engineer? Was it a 25 third-party company?</p>	<p>1 Dr. Augustine or had any conversations with him?</p> <p>2 A Somewhere between 2003 and 2005.</p> <p>3 Q Okay. Going back to the knowledge of 3M, 4 and when I say "3M" I'm including 3M, Arizant, 5 Augustine, all the companies. The issue of 6 airborne contamination, do you know how early the 7 issue was raised with Augustine Medical, like 8 what year?</p> <p>9 A I don't know precisely when that was 10 raised. It had to have been somewhere between 11 1987 and 1994.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. And when you joined Augustine 13 Medical in 1994, that was after the Zink and Hall 14 and Teenier studies, correct?</p> <p>15 A Yes, they were before 1994.</p> <p>16 Q Okay. Okay. Do you recall what, if 17 anything, Augustine Medical or Arizant or 3M did 18 to determine whether any of these issues of 19 airborne contamination were actually true or not?</p> <p>20 A I'm not aware of any activities that were 21 done to confirm the suspicions that there were 22 problems associated with that.</p> <p>23 Q Were there any activities to confirm that 24 the opposite is true, that there is no airborne 25 contamination?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 35</p> <p>1 A I believe he put it together himself.</p> <p>2 Q He put the 200 together himself?</p> <p>3 A I believe so, and his dad, Doug 4 Augustine.</p> <p>5 Q Okay. And then started the company?</p> <p>6 A Yes.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. And my understanding is that he 8 made it in his garage?</p> <p>9 A Yes, that's right.</p> <p>10 Q Kind of like the first PC, wasn't that 11 made in the garage?</p> <p>12 A I think a lot of inventions were made in 13 garages.</p> <p>14 Q Have you made anything in your garage, 15 inventions?</p> <p>16 A I have.</p> <p>17 MR. GORDON: I've made a mess.</p> <p>18 Q And just to be clear, in preparation for 19 today's deposition, especially specific topic 20 number one, which is the original design of the 21 Bair Hugger warming system, you've had no 22 conversations with Dr. Augustine to prepare for 23 today's deposition?</p> <p>24 A No.</p> <p>25 Q When was the last time that you have seen</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 37</p> <p>1 A I'm not aware of any activities that were 2 done to confirm the opposite.</p> <p>3 Q Okay. And when you say "you," you mean 4 3M, correct?</p> <p>5 A 3M.</p> <p>6 Q Okay. So as far as you know, until today 7 there is no activities done by 3M, Arizant or 8 Augustine to confirm that the Bair Hugger does 9 not cause airborne contamination in the operating 10 room?</p> <p>11 A Well --</p> <p>12 MR. GORDON: You're talking about 13 internal?</p> <p>14 MR. ASSAAD: Yes.</p> <p>15 A Internal activities?</p> <p>16 Q Yes.</p> <p>17 A I'm not aware of any internal activities.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. When you say "activities," you're 19 referring to testing, correct?</p> <p>20 A Testing, clinical trials.</p> <p>21 Q CFD?</p> <p>22 A There was some CFD activity looking at 23 particulates. Not contamination, but 24 particulates.</p> <p>25 Q And you've seen the results of those</p>

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 38	Page 40
<p>1 CFDs?</p> <p>2 A I've read those papers.</p> <p>3 Q The internal CFD?</p> <p>4 A I'm not sure I've read those internal</p> <p>5 ones.</p> <p>6 Q We're talking about internally. So</p> <p>7 internally 3M, Arizant, or Augustine did not</p> <p>8 perform any CFD analysis on the Bair Hugger to</p> <p>9 determine whether or not it can cause airborne</p> <p>10 contamination in the operating room?</p> <p>11 A I think all of the CFD work was</p> <p>12 commissioned outside of 3M.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. I'm just going to go through a</p> <p>14 list. We're going to create a list together</p> <p>15 about what 3M, Augustine and Arizant did</p> <p>16 internally to resolve the issue of whether or not</p> <p>17 the Bair Hugger causes airborne contamination in</p> <p>18 the operating room. Fair enough?</p> <p>19 A Sure.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. So we have two studies that were</p> <p>21 commissioned by Augustine which was Zink and</p> <p>22 Hall, correct?</p> <p>23 A Yes. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q Okay.</p> <p>25 A Out of order, but yes.</p>	<p>1 BY MR. ASSAAD:</p> <p>2 Q And that would have been in 2015,</p> <p>3 correct?</p> <p>4 A I think that was the date that he did</p> <p>5 that work.</p> <p>6 Q And that was a result of a lawsuit being</p> <p>7 filed?</p> <p>8 A I don't know what prompted that work, but</p> <p>9 I'm sure it was related to lawsuits, yeah.</p> <p>10 Q Okay. Let's -- okay. Let's start off</p> <p>11 before any lawsuit was filed, which I'll</p> <p>12 represent was in 2013, and then we'll go to what</p> <p>13 3M did after the lawsuit was filed, okay? So</p> <p>14 prior to 2013 we have Hall and Zink. What else?</p> <p>15 A Prior to 2013? It's a little difficult</p> <p>16 for me to remember precisely the order in which</p> <p>17 the contaminate papers appear. I mean, I'm just</p> <p>18 going to try to remember the ones that I can. I</p> <p>19 mean, I can think of one by Avadon, Michael</p> <p>20 Avadon.</p> <p>21 Q That wasn't commissioned by 3M though,</p> <p>22 was it?</p> <p>23 A No, that was an independent study.</p> <p>24 Q We're talking about what 3M actually did</p> <p>25 or Arizant or Augustine.</p>
<p>1 Q Okay. Hall was 1991?</p> <p>2 A Yeah.</p> <p>3 Q And Zink was 1993?</p> <p>4 A I think that's correct, yes.</p> <p>5 Q What else?</p> <p>6 A By Augustine?</p> <p>7 Q By Augustine, Arizant or 3M.</p> <p>8 A So are we talking particulate --</p> <p>9 particulates or?</p> <p>10 Q Anything to deal with airborne</p> <p>11 contamination in an operating room.</p> <p>12 A So that --</p> <p>13 Q Particulates, bacteria, calculation,</p> <p>14 engineering calculations, heat transfer,</p> <p>15 anything.</p> <p>16 MR. GORDON: Are you talking about just</p> <p>17 what they did internally?</p> <p>18 MR. ASSAAD: Internally.</p> <p>19 MR. GORDON: Because, I mean, even Hall</p> <p>20 and --</p> <p>21 MR. ASSAAD: Or they commissioned.</p> <p>22 MR. GORDON: That's why I was asking.</p> <p>23 A So I'm probably more familiar with the</p> <p>24 later activities. 3M commissioned some CFD work</p> <p>25 by Professor John Abraham.</p>	<p>1 A I'm -- again, I don't recall -- I don't</p> <p>2 recall papers or I don't recall any activity</p> <p>3 before 2013. Before -- yeah, that would be</p> <p>4 before -- I'm sorry, I don't recall any of the</p> <p>5 activities that occurred before 2013 related to</p> <p>6 this subject.</p> <p>7 Q Well, we can agree, and I think you</p> <p>8 testified to this in the past, that as far as</p> <p>9 what 3M or Arizant did with internal testing,</p> <p>10 they did no internal testing to determine whether</p> <p>11 or not the Bair Hugger causes airborne</p> <p>12 contamination, correct?</p> <p>13 A Well, those are -- those are activities</p> <p>14 that Arizant -- Augustine Medical or Arizant</p> <p>15 didn't have the capability of doing those kinds</p> <p>16 of studies internally.</p> <p>17 The design of the forced-air warming unit</p> <p>18 was such that the specifications for the filters</p> <p>19 were used as the justification or explanation for</p> <p>20 the size of particles that would be excluded from</p> <p>21 the internal circuitry of the warming unit.</p> <p>22 Q I'm going to restate my question again.</p> <p>23 I just need an answer to this.</p> <p>24 With respect to internal tests, studies,</p> <p>25 activities, 3M and Arizant and Augustine</p>

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 42	Page 44
<p>1 performed no internal tests, activities, 2 calculations to determine whether or not the Bair 3 Hugger causes airborne contamination in the 4 operating room, correct?</p> <p>5 A Those types of studies were commissioned 6 outside of the companies.</p> <p>7 Q And the only two studies that were 8 commissioned outside of Arizant, Augustine 9 Medical were the Zink and Hall study?</p> <p>10 A Well, there was a study at, I believe, 11 the University of -- or perhaps the Cleveland 12 clinic or University of Minnesota by Curtis that 13 was commissioned. I mean --</p> <p>14 Q Regarding airborne contamination?</p> <p>15 A Well, regarding perhaps the effects of 16 airborne contamination. Comparing infection 17 rates between HEPA filters and non HEPA filters.</p> <p>18 That would be the Curtis study.</p> <p>19 Q Is the Curtis study the one at the 20 Cleveland Clinic?</p> <p>21 A I think it's the Cleveland Clinic.</p> <p>22 Q Comparing the Mistral to the Bair Hugger, 23 correct?</p> <p>24 A Correct.</p> <p>25 Q I got that. And that was commissioned by</p>	<p>1 studies were commissioned by 3M and which ones 2 were conducted independently.</p> <p>3 Q Let's take away studies for now.</p> <p>4 A Okay.</p> <p>5 Q Take away studies that were commissioned. 6 Let's talk about internally; and I'll break this 7 up even more.</p> <p>8 The Bair Hugger produces heat, correct?</p> <p>9 A Produces heated air, yes.</p> <p>10 Q Heated air. And you agree with me that 11 between 80 and 90 percent of the heated air is 12 released into the environment?</p> <p>13 A Well, I think all of it is released into 14 the environment. 100 percent.</p> <p>15 Q But some of it is absorbed by the body?</p> <p>16 A No, none of the air is absorbed by the 17 body.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. None of the heat is absorbed by 19 the body?</p> <p>20 A The heat it, but not the air.</p> <p>21 Q Okay. Okay. Let me rephrase. 80 to 90 22 percent of the heat is absorbed by the body -- is 23 released into the air?</p> <p>24 A I don't think it's quite that high, 25 especially for the later models of the --</p>
Page 43	Page 45
<p>1 3M?</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 Q Okay. But there was still forced-air 4 warming against forced-air warming, it only 5 changes like the filter, correct?</p> <p>6 A Yes.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. My question is this: Besides Zink 8 and Hall, did 3M, Arizant or Augustine perform 9 any internal tests or commissioned any test to 10 determine whether or not forced-air warming 11 increases particulates over the sterile field?</p> <p>12 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 13 question.</p> <p>14 A Well, there were -- there was some 15 studies done by Olmsted and Sessler that looked 16 at particulate levels in an actual operating room 17 with forced-air warming.</p> <p>18 Q So now we have the Sessler-Olmsted study, 19 the Zink study and the Hall study. Any other 20 stuff that was done internally or commissioned by 21 3M, Arizant, or Augustine?</p> <p>22 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 23 question.</p> <p>24 A Well, again, off the top of my head, I 25 don't -- it's hard for me to remember which</p>	<p>1 Q Okay. Do you recall giving a 2 presentation regarding the waste heat with 3 respect to the Bair Hugger?</p> <p>4 A Do I?</p> <p>5 Q Mmm-hmm.</p> <p>6 A That's -- I may have; but no, I don't 7 recall giving one.</p> <p>8 Q Well, heat is released into the 9 environment, correct?</p> <p>10 A From?</p> <p>11 Q From the Bair Hugger.</p> <p>12 A Yes.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. Did 3M ever look at the effect of 14 the heat in an operating room environment 15 internally?</p> <p>16 A We did -- yeah, we did Schlieren 17 photography studies, I think around 2011, maybe 18 it was 2010.</p> <p>19 Q Are you sure it wasn't 2015?</p> <p>20 A I think it was earlier than that, but it 21 could have been that late. It's possible.</p> <p>22 Q Okay. What else?</p> <p>23 A Well, again, so you were talking about 24 internal studies related to particulates.</p> <p>25 Q Related to the effect of the Bair Hugger</p>

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 46	Page 48
<p>1 in an operating room environment. Let me back 2 up. Let's back up. I'm going to start all over 3 again. Okay.</p> <p>4 The Bair Hugger was designed, correct, to 5 be used in an operating room, correct?</p> <p>6 A No, it was not. It was originally 7 designed to be used in a PACU.</p> <p>8 Q The 500 OR was designed to be used in an 9 operating room?</p> <p>10 A Yes.</p> <p>11 Q And that was the first device to be used 12 in an operating room, correct?</p> <p>13 A Yes.</p> <p>14 Q Okay. And that's the environments of use 15 or one of the environments of use for a 500 OR is 16 to be used in the operating room?</p> <p>17 A Yes.</p> <p>18 Q That's why the OR is there, correct?</p> <p>19 A Yes.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. And 3M, or Arizant or Augustine is 21 aware that the OR is designed to remove 22 particulates away from the sterile field, 23 correct?</p> <p>24 A That's one of the design considerations, 25 yes.</p>	<p>1 field?</p> <p>2 A Well, I think one of the -- it doesn't 3 remove particles from the sterile field. No 4 operating room does that.</p> <p>5 Q What does it do then?</p> <p>6 A Well, it may reduce the population of 7 particles in the air, but it can't remove them 8 from the sterile field.</p> <p>9 Q So you want to use the word "reduction"; 10 is that better? Reduce particles over the 11 sterile field?</p> <p>12 A Over the sterile field?</p> <p>13 Q Yeah.</p> <p>14 A Yeah.</p> <p>15 Q Okay. Let's use that then. So one of 16 the purposes of the OR is to reduce the particles 17 over the sterile field?</p> <p>18 A Well, within the environment in the 19 operating room, not just the sterile field, the 20 entire operating room.</p> <p>21 Q Yes, fair enough. So reduces particles 22 from the operating room?</p> <p>23 A Yes.</p> <p>24 Q And that's why they have filtered air 25 coming in from the vents and exhaust vents to</p>
Page 47	Page 49
<p>1 Q Of an OR, correct?</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 Q I mean, that's the main purpose of an OR 4 is to try to keep the area over the surgical site 5 as sterile as possible, correct?</p> <p>6 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 7 question, lack of foundation, outside the scope.</p> <p>8 A I think that's a major concern of a 9 design consideration, yes, for an operating room.</p> <p>10 Q Is to have a sterile environment, or try 11 to get as much --</p> <p>12 A No.</p> <p>13 Q -- as close to sterile as possible?</p> <p>14 A No, not sterile.</p> <p>15 Q Try to remove as many particulates away 16 from the sterile field?</p> <p>17 A Well, and to evacuate gases and stuff 18 like that that are toxic. I mean, there are a 19 number of things that go into designing an 20 operating room. That's one of them.</p> <p>21 Q Okay. And that was known back in the 22 1980s and 1990s, correct?</p> <p>23 A I'm sorry, what was?</p> <p>24 Q That one of the purposes of an operating 25 room is to remove particulates from the sterile</p>	<p>1 remove the air area, correct?</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 Q Okay. And 3M, Arizant and Augustine were 4 aware that that is one of the purposes of an OR 5 is to reduce particulates over the sterile 6 fields?</p> <p>7 A Yes.</p> <p>8 Q In the operating room?</p> <p>9 A Yep.</p> <p>10 Q Because particulates carry bacteria?</p> <p>11 MR. GORDON: Object to the form.</p> <p>12 A They can.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. And that was known to 3M, Arizant 14 and Augustine, correct?</p> <p>15 A Well, I think that's universally known.</p> <p>16 Q Yes. I mean, that's why we have 17 operating rooms instead of doing operations 18 outside nowadays, correct?</p> <p>19 A One reason, yes.</p> <p>20 Q Is to control the environment?</p> <p>21 A Yes.</p> <p>22 Q Okay. And millions and millions of 23 dollars are spent on operating room designs?</p> <p>24 A Probably, yes.</p> <p>25 Q Okay. This is not new science?</p>

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

CONFIDENTIAL

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 50</p> <p>1 A No.</p> <p>2 Q Okay. And Augustine, who is an 3 anesthesiologist, and Arizant and 3M were aware 4 of one of the functions of the operating room is 5 to reduce particulates, correct?</p> <p>6 A Yes.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. What did Augustine do, Dr. 8 Augustine do to determine whether or not the Bair 9 Hugger in any way affected the ability of an 10 operating room to reduce particulates over the 11 sterile field?</p> <p>12 A I'm sorry, would you repeat that 13 question?</p> <p>14 Q And I'm going to go by company by 15 company.</p> <p>16 A Okay.</p> <p>17 Q What did Augustine Medical do, when they 18 existed, to determine whether or not the 500 OR 19 had any affect on the ability of an operating 20 room to reduce particulates over the sterile 21 field?</p> <p>22 A I don't know that anything was done to 23 investigate that.</p> <p>24 Q Augustine Medical was aware that heat 25 from the Bair Hugger blanket was being released</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 52</p> <p>1 A It will.</p> <p>2 Q Okay. What did Augustine Medical do to 3 determine the affect of the heat that's being 4 exhausted by the Bair Hugger, or emitted by the 5 Bair Hugger on the environment of the operating 6 room?</p> <p>7 A Well, primarily investigations were made 8 looking at the temperature management of patients 9 under those conditions. So the application of 10 heated air to patients under anesthesia, the 11 amount by which their core temperature changed 12 under those conditions was investigated in 13 response to being warmed by the Bair Hugger.</p> <p>14 Q So energy is being emitted, in a general 15 sense -- let's just call it heat because it just 16 makes it easier. Heat is being emitted by the 17 Bair Hugger, okay? Some of that is being 18 absorbed by the patient to warm the patient, 19 correct?</p> <p>20 A Mmm-hmm.</p> <p>21 Q Yes?</p> <p>22 A Yes.</p> <p>23 Q Okay. And the rest of that heat, which 24 is not absorbed by the patient, is being released 25 into the OR environment, correct?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 51</p> <p>1 into the environment of the OR around the 2 operating room table, correct?</p> <p>3 A Well, I mean heat, heat is a form of 4 energy that is absorbed by matter. It doesn't -- 5 it's not, it doesn't exist in like an ether. I 6 mean, it exists as a property of matter.</p> <p>7 Q Yes.</p> <p>8 A I mean, I'm not --</p> <p>9 Q We could get technical on it. You and I 10 have done it before, but I'm trying to keep it 11 simple, okay? We can go into the law of 12 thermodynamics, the second law of thermodynamics, 13 heat transfer and all of that stuff. I'm just 14 trying to keep it simple.</p> <p>15 So energy, let's do energy, is being 16 released around the operating room table?</p> <p>17 A So heated air could be released around 18 the operating room table, yes.</p> <p>19 Q And Augustine was aware of that?</p> <p>20 A Certainly.</p> <p>21 Q Okay. With respect to -- and heated air 22 is energy, correct? Heat is energy?</p> <p>23 A That's right.</p> <p>24 Q Okay. It's going to have an affect on 25 the environment?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 53</p> <p>1 A Yes.</p> <p>2 Q Okay. What did Augustine Medical do to 3 determine the effect of that excess heat that is 4 not absorbed by the human, its affect on the 5 operating room environment?</p> <p>6 A There were -- I think back in the '90s, I 7 did some calculations to determine the effect of 8 heated air on the temperature of the operating 9 room. And, of course, back then most operating 10 rooms were conventionally ventilated, not 11 laminar-airflow ventilated. So I used standard 12 design calculations to figure out if a forced-air 13 warming unit had an additional load on the HVAC 14 system of operating rooms.</p> <p>15 Q And do you know what year that was?</p> <p>16 A I don't know, but it's in my -- it's in 17 my library.</p> <p>18 Q Is that 1998?</p> <p>19 A It -- yeah, it could have been in that 20 era.</p> <p>21 Q Is that whether or not the flow 22 underneath the blanket was laminar or not 23 laminar?</p> <p>24 A No, no, no, this was -- this was a 25 heating load calculation that was done.</p>

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 54	Page 56
<p>1 Q Okay. And you performed the calculation?</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 Q Did you have any assistance?</p> <p>4 A I don't believe so.</p> <p>5 Q Okay. And you believe it was sometime in the '90s?</p> <p>6 A It was in the '90s, yes.</p> <p>7 Q Okay.</p> <p>8 A But the question was whether -- the questions that customers had was, did the -- does the Bair Hugger add an additional heat load that cannot be compensated by the HVAC system in their operating room.</p> <p>9 Q And was your analysis testing done in an operating room or calculations?</p> <p>10 A All calculations.</p> <p>11 Q All calculations. And in your calculations, did you take into account objects such as people, lights, and a patient and their heat loads?</p> <p>12 A I think it was a very simplified model that looked at mass airflow from the HVAC system in the operating room combined with the mass airflow from the Bair Hugger systems.</p> <p>13 Q So you didn't take into account any of</p>	<p>1 picked a size. There's a whole -- there's a whole manual on operating room design from either the --</p> <p>2 Q ASHRE?</p> <p>3 A Yeah, it's ASHRE. ASHRE has a whole manual on operating room design. I used their basic design calculations for airflow and size of the rooms.</p> <p>4 Q You have a degree in physiology, correct?</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q And a minor in chemistry?</p> <p>7 A A minor in biology.</p> <p>8 Q Biology, I'm sorry. Well, according to your CV, you have a master's in physiology, correct?</p> <p>9 A Yes.</p> <p>10 Q A bachelor's in biology?</p> <p>11 A Yes.</p> <p>12 Q And a minor in chemistry?</p> <p>13 A A minor in chemistry.</p> <p>14 MS. ZIMMERMAN: Much more employable than my poly-sci.</p> <p>15 Q Okay. You have no engineering degree, correct?</p> <p>16 A My physiology degree, I did half of it in</p>
<p>1 the other heat sources or obstructions in the operating room?</p> <p>2 A Correct. It was merely the additional heat load from the warming system.</p> <p>3 Q Okay. And what exactly were you trying to solve?</p> <p>4 A Whether the Bair Hugger would overpower conventional HVAC systems in operating rooms.</p> <p>5 Q When you say "overpower," what do you mean?</p> <p>6 A Make it so that the room temperature could not be controlled, because it was adding so much additional heat that the air-conditioning couldn't compensate.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. Did you look at the airflow, the affect of airflow in your calculations?</p> <p>8 A Certainly, yes.</p> <p>9 Q Okay. So you actually looked at airflow and whether or not there's a change in the airflow or any buoyancy?</p> <p>10 A No, not buoyancy.</p> <p>11 Q Okay. And for an operating room, did you look at an operating room size? You just looked at the HVAC load?</p> <p>12 A No, I looked at different sizes. I</p>	<p>1 the school of mechanical engineering at the University of Minnesota.</p> <p>2 Q And so you took the law of -- you took heat transfer?</p> <p>3 A I did.</p> <p>4 Q Okay. And thermodynamics?</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q And fluid transfer, fluid dynamics?</p> <p>7 A Fluid mechanics?</p> <p>8 Q Yes.</p> <p>9 A Yes.</p> <p>10 Q But you don't hold yourself out as an expert in HVAC systems, do you?</p> <p>11 A No.</p> <p>12 Q And you don't hold yourself out as an expert as an engineer, correct?</p> <p>13 A No.</p> <p>14 Q Okay. Did -- why were you the one doing the calculations on the affect of the Bair Hugger instead of someone that is actually skilled in the art of engineering or HVAC?</p> <p>15 A I think at the time we didn't have any mechanical engineers and it was of interest to me.</p> <p>16 Q So it was something that -- you took it</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 58	Page 60
<p>1 upon yourself?</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 Q Okay. That makes sense.</p> <p>4 Did you share your results with anybody?</p> <p>5 A Yes. Yeah.</p> <p>6 Q Who?</p> <p>7 A I mean, this was some time ago. I don't recall who got a report or a response. I'm sure there was an email response sent to a person who asked about it.</p> <p>11 Q Well, did someone ask you to do the testing or was -- you said you took it upon yourself?</p> <p>14 A Someone asked me the question and I did the calculations. This was a common response to the role that I had at the time at Arizant.</p> <p>17 Q Were there many institutions or hospitals that were asking for this information, the affect of the Bair Hugger on the HVAC system?</p> <p>20 A No.</p> <p>21 Q You said someone mentioned it or someone asked the question?</p> <p>23 A Someone sent an email to me and asked the question.</p> <p>25 Q Okay.</p>	<p>1 the Plaintiffs.</p> <p>2 MS. ZIMMERMAN: Genevieve Zimmerman also on behalf of Plaintiffs.</p> <p>4 MS. CAMPBELL: Tricia Campbell, and I believe Brett Emison is on by Zoom, with Langdon & Emison, on behalf of the Plaintiffs.</p> <p>7 MR. FARRAR: Kyle Farrar on Zoom on behalf of Plaintiffs.</p> <p>9 MR. GORDON: Corey Gordon and Charmaine Harris on behalf of 3M and the witness.</p> <p>11 MR. MCCAIG: Joshua McCaig for Centerpoint Medical Center, Centerpoint Orthopedics and Dr. Gregory Ballard.</p> <p>14 MR. KRONAWITTER: Joe Kronawitter for the Anesthesia Defendants on O'Haver.</p> <p>16 MS. DAVIS: Lucy Davis for Kansas City Anesthesia, Defendants in O'Haver.</p> <p>18 MR. BREER: Paul Breer here on behalf of Dr. Frevert and Rockhill Orthopaedics, PC appearing via Zoom.</p> <p>21 MR. MCGREVEY: Sean McGrevey for St. Luke's East Hospital in the Tie case.</p> <p>23 MR. ASSAAD: Is that everybody? I guess so.</p> <p>25 BY MR. ASSAAD:</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 59</p> <p>1 A I didn't know the answer, so I did this.</p> <p>2 Q Okay. So my understanding is -- and we'll go get your notes in a second -- you did a laminar-flow calculation, correct? Regarding the Bair Hugger, whether or not it affects the laminar flow in an operating room?</p> <p>7 A Oh, yes.</p> <p>8 Q And you also did whether or not the heat load of a Bair Hugger affects the cooling -- the HVAC system in an operating room, correct?</p> <p>11 A Mmm-hmm.</p> <p>12 Q Okay.</p> <p>13 MR. GORDON: You have to answer "yes" or "no."</p> <p>15 A Yes. Sorry.</p> <p>16 MR. ASSAAD: Thank you, Corey.</p> <p>17 Q With respect to -- let's just take a break.</p> <p>19 I'm going to go find your notes and so we can talk about it intelligently.</p> <p>21 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record.</p> <p>22 (Whereupon, a short recess was taken at 10:40 a.m.)</p> <p>24 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record.</p> <p>25 MR. ASSAAD: Gabriel Assaad on behalf of</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 61</p> <p>1 Q Going back to your calculations on the affect of heat on the HVAC system. Just to be clear, you did one calculation with one set of assumptions for a model OR; is that fair?</p> <p>5 A I believe that's correct, yes.</p> <p>6 Q You didn't look at any other sizes or any different ORs, you just used one OR?</p> <p>8 A I believe I used the dimensions that were relayed to me by the person asking the question of the operating room.</p> <p>11 Q Who was asking the question?</p> <p>12 A I don't remember.</p> <p>13 Q Okay.</p> <p>14 A A salesperson.</p> <p>15 Q To put it another way: You didn't do calculations for all ORs in the United States, you just used one OR?</p> <p>18 A No, just one.</p> <p>19 Q And you believe with respect to determining that question of the affect of the heat on the Bair Hugger and HVAC system, that it would be reasonable to use just one OR?</p> <p>23 A Well, to answer the specific question, yes. It was not meant to be a general solution, it was meant to answer a question that I believe</p>

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 62	Page 64
<p>1 the salesperson had about whether a HVAC system 2 would be overwhelmed by a Bair Hugger.</p> <p>3 Q Okay. But the conditions of the OR that 4 you used, was that provided to you by the sales 5 rep or by ASHRE?</p> <p>6 A The dimensions were provided by the sales 7 rep and then ASHRE provided a number of the other 8 factors like flow rates and air exchanges per 9 hour, that kind of thing.</p> <p>10 Q So you did a calculation to answer the 11 general question of whether or not the Bair 12 Hugger's heat has an affect on the HVAC system in 13 an OR?</p> <p>14 A Yes.</p> <p>15 Q I would like to go through the design 16 process, which is one of the topics. And I think 17 the best way I'm going to do it is just to go 18 through the history, the genealogy of the Bair 19 Hugger system; fair enough?</p> <p>20 A Yeah, sure.</p> <p>21 Q Okay. And just to go through the design 22 process, it would be fair to say that Dr. 23 Augustine identified a problem with patients, 24 rewarming patients, and created a product to 25 rewarm patients in the PACU, correct?</p>	<p>1 you have to look at the operating room's 2 environment of use, correct?</p> <p>3 A Yes.</p> <p>4 Q Okay. And that's different than the 5 PACU, correct?</p> <p>6 A Well, I'm not certain what Dr. Augustine 7 considered in the design phases; but in general, 8 yes, the conditions that exist where you're going 9 to operate the device must be considered.</p> <p>10 Q So, for example -- withdraw that.</p> <p>11 When Augustine Medical made the decision 12 to design a forced-air warming device for the 13 operating room, changes had to be made to the 14 Model 200 because of the environment of use at 15 the operating room instead of a PACU, correct?</p> <p>16 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 17 question.</p> <p>18 A Well, the Model 200 or the Model 250, 19 neither of those were modified to operate in the 20 operating room. A brand new design was 21 considered.</p> <p>22 Q I understand that. But the premise 23 design of a blower, a heating element, both 24 consist in the 200 series and the series that was 25 going to be used in the operating room, correct?</p>
<p>1 A That's correct.</p> <p>2 Q Okay. And so he identified the problem 3 and he designed the solution for the problem, 4 correct?</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q Okay. And that's -- the design process, 7 you identify a problem and you design a solution 8 for the problem?</p> <p>9 A In this case.</p> <p>10 Q Okay. And part of designing a product, 11 one of the key factors to look at is the 12 environment of use, correct?</p> <p>13 A Yes.</p> <p>14 Q Okay. In designing a medical device, for 15 example the Model 200, the environment of use was 16 in the PACU, correct?</p> <p>17 A Yes.</p> <p>18 Q And you need to look at the PACU in the 19 design process to determine what factors and what 20 issues are there in the PACU in designing a 21 medical device for the PACU, correct?</p> <p>22 A Yes.</p> <p>23 Q Okay. And the same thing with the 24 design. When Augustine decides to design a 25 forced-air warming unit for the operating room,</p>	<p>1 A Yes.</p> <p>2 Q Okay. But certain considerations had to 3 be made as part of the design process with 4 respect to having a medical device in an 5 operating room as compared to a PACU?</p> <p>6 A Yes.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. The intended use for the Model 200 8 or 250 was in the PACU?</p> <p>9 A That's correct. The site of intended use 10 was the PACU.</p> <p>11 Q Okay. And the site of the intended use 12 for the Model 500 was in the PACU?</p> <p>13 A For the?</p> <p>14 Q Model 500.</p> <p>15 A Yes.</p> <p>16 Q The intended use for the 500 OR was for 17 the operating room?</p> <p>18 A The site of intended use was in the 19 operating room, yes, in the 500 OR.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. And as part of the design process 21 you have to take, as part of your design 22 considerations, the environment of use, where the 23 device is going to be used?</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p> <p>25 Q Okay. And in fact, under 3M's code of</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 66	Page 68
<p>1 conduct it states under "Product safety: As part 2 of our mission to improve every life, 3M will 3 provide our customers with quality products that 4 are safe for their intended use." Correct?</p> <p>5 A That is correct. However, 3M had nothing 6 whatsoever to do with the 500 OR.</p> <p>7 Q I understand that. But they bought the 8 company, they bought Arizant, correct?</p> <p>9 A Yes.</p> <p>10 Q To meet their code of conduct, the 11 company, 3M, Arizant, or Augustine needs to know 12 the location of the intended use, correct? The 13 site of the intended use?</p> <p>14 A So the code of conduct at Arizant differs 15 from the code of conduct at 3M, and I don't 16 recall precisely what the code of conduct was at 17 Arizant, but it's not the same as the one at 3M.</p> <p>18 Q Well, I would assume that Arizant and 19 Augustine had a code of conduct that promoted 20 product safety?</p> <p>21 A Yes.</p> <p>22 Q Okay. And I assume both had a code of 23 conduct to design products that are safe for the 24 intended use?</p> <p>25 A Yes.</p>	<p>1 Q Okay. And you would agree that with 2 designing a medical device that's to be used in 3 an operating room, that environment of use must 4 be taken into consideration in designing that 5 medical device?</p> <p>6 A Yes.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. And as part of the design for the 8 500 OR, the first forced-air warming device by 9 Augustine to be used in an operating room, as 10 part of the design process the fact that it would 11 be used in an operating room, that environment of 12 use must be taken into consideration in the 13 design process?</p> <p>14 A Yes, as well as the condition of patients 15 in that environment.</p> <p>16 Q There's many different factors, but 17 that's one condition, is the environment of use?</p> <p>18 A Yes.</p> <p>19 Q Okay. And the reason why you take into 20 consideration the environment of use is in the 21 consideration of whether or not the medical 22 device would be safe for that particular 23 environment of use?</p> <p>24 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 25 question.</p>
Page 67	Page 69
<p>1 Q Okay. And also safe for the environment 2 of use?</p> <p>3 A I'm not entirely certain what you mean by 4 that.</p> <p>5 Q Well, they have to be aware of its 6 intended use, and, for example, the 500 OR the 7 intended use is for use in an operating room?</p> <p>8 A So intended use is a specific technical 9 term that the FDA uses to decide whether to clear 10 a product for use.</p> <p>11 Q Let's not get -- I don't really want to 12 get into the FDA. I'm talking about general 13 design --</p> <p>14 A But when you use the term "intended use," 15 that is a specific term used by the FDA.</p> <p>16 Q But it also has its ordinary meaning as 17 well, correct?</p> <p>18 A Well, I guess it depends on how you want 19 to use it. For a person who works in a medical 20 device industry, intended use has a very specific 21 meaning, and especially to the FDA.</p> <p>22 Q The environment of use must be taken into 23 consideration in the design process of a medical 24 device, correct?</p> <p>25 A Yes.</p>	<p>1 A So that's one consideration, yes.</p> <p>2 Q For example, 3M, Arizant or Augustine, 3 the company in general, was aware that the model 4 200, the 250 and the 500 was going to be used in 5 an operating room -- strike that.</p> <p>6 The Model 200, 250 and 500 was not safe 7 to be used in an operating room?</p> <p>8 A That's correct.</p> <p>9 Q Okay. What changed between the model -- 10 what changed between the devices that were not 11 intended for use in the operating room and the 12 505 to make it suitable to be used in an 13 operating room? I'm sorry, the 500 OR. I'm 14 sorry.</p> <p>15 A The nozzle temperature was lowered 16 because it was understood that insensate patients 17 would not be able to respond to very high 18 temperatures that existed with the 200, the 250, 19 and the 500 warming units. Those were acceptable 20 for use when patients were in the PACU, but those 21 were not acceptable for patients who were under 22 anesthesia.</p> <p>23 Q Anything else?</p> <p>24 A A filter was added.</p> <p>25 Q Well, there was a filter on the 200, 250</p>

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 70	Page 72
<p>1 --</p> <p>2 A A different filter was added.</p> <p>3 Q What was the change in filter?</p> <p>4 A I believe that at the time it was a 0.2 micron filter in the 500 OR warming unit.</p> <p>5 Q What was used before in the 200, the 250 and the 500?</p> <p>6 A I believe it was a 2 micron, 2.0 micron filter.</p> <p>7 Q So in the 200, model 200, it was a 2 micron filter?</p> <p>8 A Yes.</p> <p>9 Q And the model 250 it was a 2 micron filter?</p> <p>10 A Yes.</p> <p>11 Q In the model of 500 it was a 2 micron filter?</p> <p>12 A Yes.</p> <p>13 Q And then the model 500 OR changed to a .2 micron filter, correct?</p> <p>14 A Yes.</p> <p>15 Q Okay. And what was the nozzle temperature in the Model 200?</p> <p>16 A Something less than 46 degrees celsius or thereabouts.</p>	<p>1 A Correct, they're insensate.</p> <p>2 Q Okay. And that would be to prevent a thermal injury?</p> <p>3 A That or just making them too hot.</p> <p>4 Hyperthermia.</p> <p>5 Q Hyperthermia?</p> <p>6 A Hyperthermia.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. What studies were done or tests to support that the temperature setting for the OR needed to be reduced from 46 degrees -- or the temperature setting for the Bair Hugger that's used in an OR, that the output temperature needed to be reduced from 46 degrees to 43 degrees?</p> <p>8 A I'm not sure that any studies were conducted to set that temperature. That temperature was the result of numerous studies that were conducted around World War II in airmen to determine burn thresholds, mainly for pilots in aircraft cockpits to decide when they got burned. So those were used as -- those were used to inform the decision to lower the temperature of the nozzle to prevent burns.</p> <p>9 Q Okay. But those airmen were not anesthetized, correct?</p> <p>10 A Correct.</p>
<p>1 Q And what about the Model 250?</p> <p>2 A Same.</p> <p>3 Q And what about the model 500?</p> <p>4 A I believe the same there as well.</p> <p>5 Q And when you're talking about the nozzle temperature, you're talking about the temperature of the air exiting the end of the nozzle?</p> <p>6 A Yes.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. And then what was the 500 OR, the temperature at the end?</p> <p>8 A 43 degree celsius.</p> <p>9 Q And would it be fair that every other model after the 500 OR was 43 degrees celsius?</p> <p>10 A Yes. The high temperature subpoint was limited to 43 degree celsius.</p> <p>11 Q Okay. So my understanding that one of the reasons the temperature was reduced from 46 degrees celsius to 43 degrees celsius, according to 3M, was that anesthetized patients, the higher temperature would affect them differently?</p> <p>12 A They would not be able to respond by alerting a clinician that they were getting too hot, because they're under anesthesia.</p> <p>13 MS. ZIMMERMAN: So if the anesthesia is working, they can't talk.</p>	<p>1 Q Okay. Okay. Was there any clinical studies or scientific studies to show that for anesthetized patients, a company needs to reduce the output temperature from 46 degrees to 43 degrees?</p> <p>2 A There were clinical studies conducted on volunteer soldiers to establish what the threshold limit was for burns in skin. These tests were done right after World War II and determined that burns, burns are the result of an accumulation of energy in the tissue. And after World War II, it was possible to make measurements of that and also burn people to determine where that occurred.</p> <p>3 Now, these tests, of course, can no longer be conducted because it would be unethical, because we now know where the threshold occurs.</p> <p>4 Q Was there any concern with respect to using the 200 series, the 200 to 250 -- withdraw the question.</p> <p>5 Was there any concern with respect to the 200 that using the 200 in an operating room environment would cause airborne contamination?</p> <p>6 A I don't know. But the main reason was</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 74	Page 76
<p>1 its temperature.</p> <p>2 Q I understand the main reason, but there 3 are many factors that go into a design process. 4 One is the warming of the patient, the 5 temperature, correct?</p> <p>6 A Correct.</p> <p>7 Q Another factor that should go into the 8 design of a medical device that is used in an OR 9 is whether or not it would cause any type of 10 airborne contamination, correct?</p> <p>11 A Yes.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. Was that considered -- was there 13 any discussion -- strike that.</p> <p>14 Was airborne contamination one of the 15 reasons why the Model 200 should not be used in 16 an operating room?</p> <p>17 A I don't believe that was a consideration 18 because the Model 200 was never intended to be 19 used in an operating room.</p> <p>20 Q I understand that. But was one of the 21 considerations or one of the reasons why it 22 should not be used in an operating room is 23 because it could cause airborne contamination?</p> <p>24 A I don't believe so. Again, the model 200 25 and 250 were designed never to be used in an</p>	<p>1 So did you take into account -- strike that. 2 Did you warn to the clinicians that the 3 use of the 200 and 250 were prohibited in the 4 operating room?</p> <p>5 A We did warn that.</p> <p>6 Q Did you warn clinicians that the model 7 200 and 250 may cause airborne contamination and 8 therefore do not use in an operating room?</p> <p>9 A Not for that reason.</p> <p>10 Q So the answer to my question is 11 "no"?</p> <p>12 A No.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. If the company warned about 14 airborne contamination for use of the Model 200 15 or 250 in the operating room, would you know what 16 the basis of that warning would be coming from?</p> <p>17 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 18 question, assumes facts not in evidence.</p> <p>19 A I'm sorry, would you repeat that 20 question?</p> <p>21 Q Let me withdraw that question.</p> <p>22 Were there any tests done on the 200 or 23 250 to determine whether or not it could cause 24 airborne contamination?</p> <p>25 A Not to my knowledge.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 75</p> <p>1 operating room, primarily because of their 2 temperatures.</p> <p>3 Q Do you know whether or not 3M warned 4 clinicians that the Model 200 or 250 should not 5 be used in an operating room because it may cause 6 airborne contamination?</p> <p>7 A Well, 3M did not develop or sell the 8 Model 200 or 250. That was done by Augustine 9 Medical.</p> <p>10 Q Okay. When I say 3M, I mean 3M and the 11 companies that they have bought, which is 12 Arizant, that bought Augustine. So if I use "the 13 company," would that be better for you?</p> <p>14 A Well, I guess my point is that the Model 15 200 and 250 were obsoleted devices long before 3M 16 bought Arizant Healthcare.</p> <p>17 Q I understand. I'm going through the 18 design process.</p> <p>19 I would assume that when, you know, you 20 take what you learn from one device when you make 21 a new device, especially with forced-air warming, 22 correct?</p> <p>23 A Mmm-hmm, yes.</p> <p>24 Q Okay. So did -- and when I say "you," I 25 want to be referring to all the companies, okay?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 77</p> <p>1 Q I would like to show what's been marked 2 as Exhibit 1.</p> <p>3 MR. GORDON: Exhibit 2?</p> <p>4 MR. ASSAAD: Exhibit 2, I'm sorry. (Whereupon, Exhibit 2 was marked for identification.)</p> <p>5 BY MR. ASSAAD:</p> <p>6 Q What's been marked as Exhibit 2 is a 7 patent filed March 27, 1934, but issued on July 8 5, 1938, by E.J. Sweetland. Are you familiar 9 with this document?</p> <p>10 A I believe I've seen this before.</p> <p>11 Q And this is a predicate device for -- 12 provided to the FDA for the clearance of the 13 Model 200, correct?</p> <p>14 A I believe this is one of the predicate 15 devices. I think there is another one.</p> <p>16 Q Okay. And the Sweetland device is a cast 17 warmer, correct?</p> <p>18 A A cast dryer.</p> <p>19 Q Cast dryer. Did you review this document 20 in preparation for today's deposition?</p> <p>21 A No.</p> <p>22 Q But you've seen this document before?</p> <p>23 A I have.</p>

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 78	Page 80
<p>1 Q Okay. Do you know whether or not this is 2 where the company got the idea to create a 3 forced-air warming device for patients? 4 A I don't know that this is where 5 Dr. Augustine got the idea. 6 Q Okay. But you're aware that this is one 7 of the devices used to support their 510k FDA 8 clearance? 9 A As a substantially equivalent predicate 10 device, yes. 11 Q And the environment for use of the 12 Sweetland drying apparatus is outside of an 13 operating room, correct? 14 A Apparently so. 15 Q Okay. It's the same environment of use 16 as say, for example, the Model 200? 17 A Well, again, 1938, I'm just going by the 18 diagram here, it looks to me like the device is 19 intended to be used in a patient room, it looks 20 like a bed, so not even in a PACU. 21 Q Well, we could agree it's outside the 22 operating room? 23 A Well, I mean, yes. 24 Q Okay. 25 A By the diagram.</p>	<p>1 information is needed in the patent, correct? 2 A That's correct. But patents are a good 3 bit more sophisticated now than they were in 4 1938. 5 Q I understand. 6 What's been marked as Exhibit 3 is the 7 Model 200, 250 Operation Manual. Are you 8 familiar with this document? 9 A Yes. 10 Q And I believe this document was published 11 in 1988? 12 A I don't see a date on it, but it would 13 have to be around that time because -- 14 Q If you go to page -- 15 A Oh, yes. Copyright 1988, Augustine 16 Medical, Inc. 17 Q And you're familiar with this document, 18 correct? 19 A Yes. 20 Q Okay. I want to go over a few things 21 with this document. First of all, under the 22 introduction it talks about its intended use to 23 treat the common problem of post-operative 24 hypothermia, correct? First paragraph under 25 "Introduction."</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 79</p> <p>1 Q Okay. 2 A I haven't read the patent in quite some 3 time. 4 Q Do you know whether or not the Sweetland 5 device ever went to production? 6 A I have no idea. 7 Q That's all I was going to ask you on 8 that. Unless you feel like reading it just for 9 fun. 10 A Oh, I was just going to see if they had a 11 preferred location of operation. 12 (Whereupon, Exhibit 3 was marked for 13 identification.) 14 Q What's been marked as Exhibit Number 3 is 15 -- well, going back to the Sweetland patent, you 16 were looking to determine whether or not location 17 was listed in the patent -- 18 A Yes. 19 Q -- where it should be used? Is that 20 something that is usually listed in a patent? 21 A I doubt it in 1938. 22 Q Okay. What about now? 23 A It could be now. 24 Q It's basically up to the patent inventor 25 as well as the examiner as to whether that</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 81</p> <p>1 A Well, again, intended use is a very 2 specific, technical term used by the FDA. I'm 3 not sure that that fits the description of what 4 this describes. 5 Q Well, I guess there's -- I'm just -- I'm 6 using "intended use" as to what the device was 7 intended to be used for. Do you want me to use a 8 different word? Is there a better word that you 9 could use? I'm not speaking about regulatory. 10 Let me ask it this way: The Bair Hugger 11 250 system was to be used in a -- for 12 post-operative hypothermia, correct? 13 A And the significant discomfort that 14 occurs in patients that are cold after surgery; 15 so two things. 16 Q Okay. 17 A And can be used to treat shivering, so 18 actually three things. 19 Q But it was meant to be used in the 20 post-operative period of patients that went 21 through surgery? 22 A Yes, that's correct. 23 Q Okay. And so the Model 250, just so we 24 can list it out to be clear, one use was for 25 post-operative hypothermia, correct?</p>

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 82	Page 84
<p>1 A Yes.</p> <p>2 Q The second use was for significant 3 discomfort that occurs in patients that are cold 4 after surgery?</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q And the third use is that the Model 250 7 can be used to treat shivering and tremors?</p> <p>8 A Yes.</p> <p>9 Q All related to being cold after surgery?</p> <p>10 A Mmm-hmm, that's correct.</p> <p>11 Q Okay. Going back to one of the warnings, 12 I want to point you to warning number four. It 13 says, "The possibility of airborne contamination 14 should be considered if patients with infected 15 wounds are treated with the Bair Hugger." Did I 16 read that correctly?</p> <p>17 A Yes.</p> <p>18 Q So that's one of the warnings that -- on 19 the device of Model 200 and 250 is the 20 possibility of airborne contamination should be 21 considered if patients with infected wounds are 22 treated with the Bair Hugger?</p> <p>23 A Yes, that's a warning.</p> <p>24 Q Okay. And what was the basis of this 25 warning?</p>	<p>1 question, incomplete.</p> <p>2 A In patients with infected wounds.</p> <p>3 Q Okay. So with a patient with an infected 4 wound, the Bair Hugger might spread any bacteria 5 in an infected wound in the environment?</p> <p>6 A Well, again, the warning actually says, 7 "the possibility of airborne contamination should 8 be considered." It doesn't say that it will 9 occur, it just says "should be considered."</p> <p>10 Q Okay.</p> <p>11 A That's the warning.</p> <p>12 Q Should be considered if patients with 13 infected wounds are treated with the Bair Hugger, 14 correct?</p> <p>15 A Yes.</p> <p>16 Q And when treated with the Bair Hugger, 17 you're treated with warm air blowing over your 18 body?</p> <p>19 A Yes.</p> <p>20 Q And as a result of warm air blowing over 21 your body, one of the concerns and what they 22 warned for is that if you have an infected wound 23 bacteria, that the possibility of airborne 24 contamination should be considered?</p> <p>25 A In the case of a patient with an infected</p>
Page 83	Page 85
<p>1 A I have no idea where it originated.</p> <p>2 Given that this is the first type of this device, 3 probably was an appeal to logic.</p> <p>4 Q Can you elaborate a little bit more on 5 that?</p> <p>6 A Well, since there was no -- there were no 7 existing forced-air warming devices at the time, 8 my guess is that the design team conducted a risk 9 analysis of the device and came up with these 10 warnings to address risks that were identified 11 during the risk mitigation process.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. So the design team at the time was 13 Dr. Scott Augustine and his dad Doug Augustine, 14 correct?</p> <p>15 A Well, there may have been a couple of 16 others as well, yes.</p> <p>17 Q Okay. Do you know anyone else?</p> <p>18 A Randy Arnold.</p> <p>19 Q Anyone else?</p> <p>20 A Not by name, no. Sorry.</p> <p>21 Q Okay. And based on the risk analysis and 22 the fact that the Bair Hugger blows air, one of 23 the warnings they gave is the potential for 24 airborne contamination?</p> <p>25 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the</p>	<p>1 wound, yes.</p> <p>2 Q And that's airborne contamination in the 3 PACU?</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q Okay. Because bacteria could move 6 through the air, correct?</p> <p>7 A Again, that's what the warning is 8 suggesting, that it should be considered.</p> <p>9 Q Okay. Because bacteria can move through 10 the air?</p> <p>11 A Yes.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. And bacteria is what causes 13 infections?</p> <p>14 A It's what causes bacterial infections.</p> <p>15 It doesn't cause viral infections.</p> <p>16 Q Viruses cause viral infections?</p> <p>17 A Correct.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. Viruses and bacteria can travel 19 through the air?</p> <p>20 A I'm sorry?</p> <p>21 Q Viruses and bacteria can travel through 22 the air?</p> <p>23 A Yes.</p> <p>24 Q Okay. And that's probably more 25 understood now with the whole COVID pandemic than</p>

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 86	Page 88
<p>1 ever before?</p> <p>2 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the</p> <p>3 question, lack of foundation, vague.</p> <p>4 A I'm not an expert in microbiology. I</p> <p>5 don't know if it's understood now more by</p> <p>6 clinicians than it was in the past.</p> <p>7 Q The general public.</p> <p>8 MR. GORDON: Same objection.</p> <p>9 A Again, I don't know what the general</p> <p>10 public knows about transmission of microorganisms</p> <p>11 in an operating room.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. Well, I'm talking about in</p> <p>13 general. Like everyone is wearing masks because</p> <p>14 of COVID, you know. People are aware that COVID</p> <p>15 travels through the air.</p> <p>16 A There still seems to be some controversy</p> <p>17 about the effectiveness of those devices.</p> <p>18 Q I want to go to page 5. And</p> <p>19 Dr. Augustine started his company, I believe, in</p> <p>20 1987, correct?</p> <p>21 A I think '87 was the first commercial</p> <p>22 product introduction, yes.</p> <p>23 Q Okay. Which was the 200?</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p> <p>25 Q Let's look at the average temperature of</p>	<p>1 A I don't know.</p> <p>2 Q All right. And then after the Model 200,</p> <p>3 I want to show you what the Model 500 is.</p> <p>4 Let's mark this as Exhibit Number 4.</p> <p>5 (Whereupon, Exhibit No. 4 was marked for</p> <p>6 identification.)</p> <p>7 BY MR. ASSAAD:</p> <p>8 Q What's been marked as Exhibit Number 4 is</p> <p>9 the Model 500, 500 E and 500 J. Have you seen</p> <p>10 this document before?</p> <p>11 A Yes.</p> <p>12 Q What is it?</p> <p>13 A It's a service manual for the Model 500</p> <p>14 warming system.</p> <p>15 Q And do you know what year the Model 500</p> <p>16 came out?</p> <p>17 A I don't know what year it was introduced.</p> <p>18 Let's see, this manual probably has a copyright</p> <p>19 date. It looks like -- well, that can't be</p> <p>20 right. August of '78 would not be right. Oh,</p> <p>21 it's an Augustine numbering system. I don't know</p> <p>22 what year it came out.</p> <p>23 Q Okay.</p> <p>24 A It would have been before 1994.</p> <p>25 Q Okay.</p>
Page 87	Page 89
<p>1 air surrounding the patient. It says the</p> <p>2 temperature settings here, "heat off, ambient</p> <p>3 temperature, low" -- we'll use celsius -- "33</p> <p>4 degrees celsius, medium 37.6 degrees celsius, and</p> <p>5 high 43.1 degree celsius," correct?</p> <p>6 A That's correct.</p> <p>7 Q And I read that correctly, correct?</p> <p>8 A You did read that correctly.</p> <p>9 Q And so in the Model 200 the air coming</p> <p>10 out of the air nozzle is 43 degree celsius,</p> <p>11 correct?</p> <p>12 A No, that's not what table says. It says,</p> <p>13 "Average temperature of air surrounding the</p> <p>14 patient." So this is an average of the air</p> <p>15 completely surrounding the patient in operation.</p> <p>16 Q Okay. So this is what it estimates</p> <p>17 surrounding the patient?</p> <p>18 A They may have estimated it.</p> <p>19 Q Okay.</p> <p>20 A It says "average," so I'm assuming that</p> <p>21 was a measurement.</p> <p>22 Q Okay. Do you know how they came up with</p> <p>23 this number?</p> <p>24 A No, I was not working there then.</p> <p>25 Q Okay.</p>	<p>1 A That I know for certain.</p> <p>2 Q If you look under the warnings on page 2,</p> <p>3 it has a similar warning as the Model 200. It</p> <p>4 says, "Due to the possibility of airborne</p> <p>5 contamination, the Bair Hugger warming cover</p> <p>6 should not be applied to services with open,</p> <p>7 infected wounds." Did I read that correctly?</p> <p>8 A Yes.</p> <p>9 Q And that's the same warning as the Model</p> <p>10 200 and 250, correct?</p> <p>11 A No, I believe it's different. I believe</p> <p>12 this one says "open, infected wounds." I don't</p> <p>13 think the previous one said "open."</p> <p>14 Q You're right. That's correct. So they</p> <p>15 changed the warning to state "open, infected</p> <p>16 wounds"?</p> <p>17 A Yes.</p> <p>18 Q Do you know why the warning was changed?</p> <p>19 A Again, most likely because a risk</p> <p>20 mitigation analysis determined that the real risk</p> <p>21 occurred when there were infected wounds that</p> <p>22 were open covered with the warming system.</p> <p>23 Q A risk to who?</p> <p>24 A Well, it could be a risk to the patient,</p> <p>25 it could be a risk to the clinicians. I don't</p>

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

CONFIDENTIAL

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 90</p> <p>1 know -- again, I don't have that risk mitigation 2 document, but I'm guessing just based on the 3 difference in language. 4 Q But that would be part of the design 5 process to look at the potential risks of a 6 device, correct? 7 A Well, yes, it's part of the design 8 process. But it's a separate process where the 9 risks of the device are analyzed separately from 10 the design of it. 11 Q I understand that. But the design 12 process would include evaluating the device for 13 potential risks? 14 A It does. 15 Q Okay. And in preparation for today's 16 deposition, did you review any documents or have 17 any discussions as to the source of data used to 18 put these warnings in for the Model 200, 250 and 19 the 500? 20 A No. 21 Q Okay. But you were aware of these 22 warnings previously, correct? 23 A Yes. 24 Q Okay. And the reason behind the warning 25 was that blowing air over an open, infected wound</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 92</p> <p>1 infected wound and cause harm to other people, 2 including the patient? 3 A Yes. 4 Q Okay. Therefore, at this time, and the 5 time of the 500, the company was aware that the 6 Bair Hugger device can blow bacteria into the 7 environment? 8 A Again, I don't know if it was aware. It 9 identified as a potential risk the possibility 10 that the device could blow bacteria from an open, 11 infected wound. 12 Q And I understand that. They identified 13 the risk that the Bair Hugger can blow bacteria 14 from a patient into the environment? 15 A From an open, infected wound. 16 Q Okay. Well, when you say "open, infected 17 wound," that means there's bacteria in the wound, 18 correct? 19 A Yes. 20 Q Okay. But there is also bacteria on the 21 patient's skin, correct? 22 A Yes. 23 Q Are you saying that the Bair Hugger is 24 going to distinguish between the bacteria on the 25 patient's skin and the bacteria in an open wound</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 91</p> <p>1 could be a source of bacteria that could cause 2 airborne contamination, correct? 3 A The reason for the warning was a 4 mitigation due to a risk that was identified 5 during the risk mitigation process. 6 Q So a risk was identified that blowing 7 warm air over an open, infected wound may cause 8 airborne contamination? 9 A Most likely, yes. 10 Q Okay. And they were concerned that the 11 fact of possible airborne contamination could 12 cause -- could be a source of bacteria that could 13 cause harm to either the patient or other people 14 in the PACU? 15 A I suspect that was the risk that was 16 trying to be mitigated, yes. 17 Q Because they were concerned that the 18 device can aerosolize bacteria from a wound and 19 contaminate the area around the patient? 20 A Not just any wound, it says "an open, 21 infected wound." 22 Q Okay. For the Model 500? 23 A Yep. 24 Q Okay. An open infected wound could 25 aerosolize the bacteria that is on the open,</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 93</p> <p>1 and decide to just blow the bacteria and the open 2 wound into the environment and not on the skin? 3 A No. What I'm saying is that the 4 designers of this system identified this as a 5 potential risk and used this warning to mitigate 6 it. 7 Q And maybe I can simplify this a little 8 bit. The warning here states -- they identify an 9 open, infected wound as a source of bacteria, 10 correct? 11 A They did. 12 Q Okay. So the concern is, there's a 13 source of bacteria and there's a possibility that 14 when the Bair Hugger blower blows over that 15 source of bacteria, that it could cause -- it may 16 cause airborne contamination, correct? 17 A That appears to be the concern. 18 Q Okay. And it was a risk identified as 19 early as 1988? 20 A Yes. 21 Q Okay. And part of the design process -- 22 and let me know if you agree with me -- is you 23 identify a problem, correct, a potential problem? 24 A Well, go ahead and continue. 25 Q I just want to go step-by-step.</p>

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 94	Page 96
<p>1 A Okay.</p> <p>2 Q You identify a potential problem,</p> <p>3 correct?</p> <p>4 A That can be part of the design process,</p> <p>5 yes.</p> <p>6 Q You try to design around the problem or</p> <p>7 to solve the problem, potential problem, correct?</p> <p>8 A Yes.</p> <p>9 Q And if you can't design around it, you</p> <p>10 provide a warning?</p> <p>11 A So we're talking about two different</p> <p>12 things here. So the design process is the</p> <p>13 process involved in developing a product. Risk</p> <p>14 mitigation is the process that is used to</p> <p>15 minimize the risks caused by the product.</p> <p>16 Q And you're way more accurate than I am.</p> <p>17 But the risk mitigation is kind of a part of the</p> <p>18 design, overall design process?</p> <p>19 A Well, it's included as part of the design</p> <p>20 process.</p> <p>21 Q Okay.</p> <p>22 A But risk mitigation is a strictly</p> <p>23 controlled, managed process that the FDA is very</p> <p>24 concerned about.</p> <p>25 Q We'll forget about the FDA. I don't want</p>	<p>1 cannot design a solution to design out a</p> <p>2 solution, correct -- to design out of a problem?</p> <p>3 A The goal of the design process is to try</p> <p>4 and find an engineering solution; and if you</p> <p>5 can't do that, then mitigate it by instructions,</p> <p>6 labeling, warnings.</p> <p>7 Q And based on the fact that there is a</p> <p>8 warning in Exhibit Number 4, that would mean that</p> <p>9 the company could not design out a solution for</p> <p>10 this possible risk?</p> <p>11 A Well, at the time they couldn't design it</p> <p>12 out and mitigated it by a warning.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. In other words, if there is a</p> <p>14 warning, the risk could not be eliminated through</p> <p>15 a design?</p> <p>16 A At that time.</p> <p>17 Q At that time. At the time, do you know</p> <p>18 what was done to try to design out or eliminate</p> <p>19 the risk through engineering design?</p> <p>20 A I'm not aware of any engineering activity</p> <p>21 that went into mitigating this. I think it was</p> <p>22 mitigated by warning about it.</p> <p>23 Q Okay. I would like to show you what's</p> <p>24 been marked -- what will be marked as Exhibit</p> <p>25 Number 5.</p>
<p>1 to talk about the FDA, but any medical device</p> <p>2 manufacturer.</p> <p>3 A Well, the risk mitigation process is</p> <p>4 approved by the FDA and the standards</p> <p>5 promulgating bodies and we follow that.</p> <p>6 Q I understand that. But regardless of the</p> <p>7 FDA, 3M's code of ethics wants to provide safe</p> <p>8 products, correct?</p> <p>9 A Of course.</p> <p>10 Q And whether or not it's the FDA requiring</p> <p>11 you to do it or internally, it's good business</p> <p>12 practice to identify risks of your product and to</p> <p>13 try to design -- design a solution or to warn</p> <p>14 about it, correct?</p> <p>15 A Yes.</p> <p>16 Q Okay. And in this situation, do you know</p> <p>17 whether or not the company tried to design out --</p> <p>18 to make a design to avoid the possibility of</p> <p>19 airborne contamination regarding the Bair Hugger</p> <p>20 warming cover when applied to surfaces with open,</p> <p>21 infected wounds?</p> <p>22 A Well, they attempted to mitigate it by</p> <p>23 using a warning.</p> <p>24 Q Okay. And you agree with me that the</p> <p>25 warning should only -- should be used if you</p>	<p>1 (Whereupon, Exhibit 5 was marked for</p> <p>2 identification.)</p> <p>3 BY MR. ASSAAD:</p> <p>4 Q Have you seen Exhibit Number 5 before?</p> <p>5 A I've seen this hose card before, yes.</p> <p>6 Q And I don't know the exact date of this,</p> <p>7 but I assume it does not apply to the Model 200</p> <p>8 series. So it's either the 500 -- 500 OR or</p> <p>9 something past it?</p> <p>10 A I'm sorry, say that one more time?</p> <p>11 Q Do you know -- withdraw the question.</p> <p>12 Based on looking at this document, do you</p> <p>13 know when this document was created?</p> <p>14 A Well, it says, "Do not use 200 series</p> <p>15 warming units in the operating room." So I'm</p> <p>16 assuming that it had to be at least somewhere</p> <p>17 between 1987 and relatively recent times.</p> <p>18 Q Okay.</p> <p>19 A Since it's warning about using a product</p> <p>20 that existed in 1987.</p> <p>21 Q And it specifically states: "Do not use</p> <p>22 the 200 series" -- "Do not use the 200 series</p> <p>23 warming units in the OR. Thermal injury and</p> <p>24 airborne contamination may result." Did I read</p> <p>25 that correctly?</p>

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

CONFIDENTIAL

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 98</p> <p>1 A Yes.</p> <p>2 Q So is it fair to say that one of the 3 reasons that -- one of the warnings that the 4 company is giving with respect to the 200 series 5 is that thermal injury and airborne contamination 6 may result if you use the Model 200 in the 7 operating room?</p> <p>8 A Yes.</p> <p>9 Q Okay. When was the Model 200 phased out, 10 if you know?</p> <p>11 A I don't know when its manufacturing was 12 terminated. I don't know.</p> <p>13 Q Was it terminated before or after the 14 introduction of the 500?</p> <p>15 A Probably about the same time.</p> <p>16 Q Okay. So when the manufacturing of the 17 500 began, they stopped manufacturing the 200 18 around the same time?</p> <p>19 A I think that's correct.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. Now, as you can see here on this 21 warning -- withdraw that question.</p> <p>22 The warning has two aspects, thermal 23 injury and airborne contamination, correct?</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p> <p>25 Q Okay. Thermal injury as a result of the</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 100</p> <p>1 A I've scanned parts of this document this 2 week.</p> <p>3 Q Okay. I'm sorry to do this to you. I do 4 have one more question on Exhibit Number 5. This 5 is me.</p> <p>6 We can agree, if you look at the second 7 page on Exhibit 5, the copyright date is 1994, 8 correct?</p> <p>9 A Where is it?</p> <p>10 Q The bottom under it says, "printed on 100 11 percent recycled paper," the line below it.</p> <p>12 A I see date of issue, 12/1/94.</p> <p>13 Q Yes.</p> <p>14 A Is that right?</p> <p>15 Q Yes, so 1994?</p> <p>16 A So December 1st, 1994.</p> <p>17 Q That talks about actually the patent 18 number. The date of issue is 12/1/94.</p> <p>19 A Oh.</p> <p>20 Q Does that give you an idea of what 21 device -- is it referring to the blanket?</p> <p>22 A This is a Model 560 full surgical 23 blanket.</p> <p>24 MR. GORDON: Gabe, I can see they're 25 sequentially numbered, but are these the same</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 99</p> <p>1 200 has a higher temperature that may cause 2 thermal injury?</p> <p>3 A Yes.</p> <p>4 Q Okay. The airborne contamination is a 5 result of the 200 blowing air in the operating 6 room?</p> <p>7 A Yes.</p> <p>8 Q Okay.</p> <p>9 MR. GORDON: Are you done with 5?</p> <p>10 MR. ASSAAD: Yes, I think -- am I done 11 with 5?</p> <p>12 MS. ZIMMERMAN: Yes. I mean, you never 13 know.</p> <p>14 (Whereupon, Exhibit 6 was marked for 15 identification.)</p> <p>16 BY MR. ASSAAD:</p> <p>17 Q What's been marked as Exhibit 6 is a 18 document titled -- I gave you the wrong one 19 because I wrote on it.</p> <p>20 "Bair Hugger Sales Training Manual, dated 21 August 22-24, 1995." Do you see this?</p> <p>22 A Yes.</p> <p>23 Q Have you seen this document before?</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p> <p>25 Q Are you familiar with this document?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 101</p> <p>1 document?</p> <p>2 MS. ZIMMERMAN: I believe so.</p> <p>3 MR. ASSAAD: Yes. 237, 238.</p> <p>4 MR. GORDON: Okay.</p> <p>5 A So this is a hose card.</p> <p>6 BY MR. ASSAAD:</p> <p>7 Q Yes.</p> <p>8 A This looks to me like a package insert.</p> <p>9 Q Okay.</p> <p>10 A Unrelated.</p> <p>11 Q To the hose card?</p> <p>12 A It's unrelated to the hose card.</p> <p>13 Q But it's still part of the whole package?</p> <p>14 A No. This is actually part of the -- this 15 is the mechanical part of the blanket that allows 16 it to connect to the nozzle.</p> <p>17 I think this looks like instructions that 18 were included -- instructions for use that were 19 included with the blanket, and it's for a Model 20 560.</p> <p>21 Q Blanket?</p> <p>22 A Yep.</p> <p>23 Q Okay. So it's after 1994?</p> <p>24 A Well, since the issue date -- oh, that 25 was the patent date. Yeah, 1994 or after.</p>

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 102	Page 104
<p>1 Q Okay. And the Model 560, is that a whole 2 body blanket?</p> <p>3 A It's a whole body surgical blanket, yes.</p> <p>4 Q Okay. The 500 series blankets are 5 surgical blankets, correct?</p> <p>6 A All of -- yes, I believe that's correct.</p> <p>7 Yes.</p> <p>8 Q And the 300 series blankets are for PACU, 9 nonsurgical?</p> <p>10 A Correct, full body, nonsurgical.</p> <p>11 Q So if it's for a surgical blanket, it's 12 for use in the operating room?</p> <p>13 A Yes, which is another reason it warns 14 against not using a Model 200.</p> <p>15 Q Okay. Exhibit No. 6 is the "Bair Hugger 16 Sales Training Manual." Just give me a second 17 while I pull it up on my computer.</p> <p>18 A Before you ask your next question, could 19 I take a break?</p> <p>20 MR. ASSAAD: Sure, let's take a break.</p> <p>21 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record.</p> <p>22 (Whereupon, a short recess was taken from 23 12:08 p.m. until 12:23 p.m., after which, the 24 following transpired.)</p> <p>25 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record.</p>	<p>1 temperature as we discussed before, correct? The 2 increased temperature of the Model 200, correct?</p> <p>3 A Yes.</p> <p>4 Q And then the other warning was airborne 5 contamination?</p> <p>6 A Yes.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. In an OR?</p> <p>8 A In an operating room, yes.</p> <p>9 Q Okay. And I just want to clarify and try 10 to simplify it a little bit. An open, infected 11 wound has bacteria, correct?</p> <p>12 A By definition.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. So blowing air over the -- blowing 14 the air can aerosolize the bacteria into the 15 operating room?</p> <p>16 A Well, that was the -- that was the risk 17 that was identified, yes.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. So the company identified that 19 risk as early as the early 1990s?</p> <p>20 A Perhaps even before.</p> <p>21 Q Okay. Going back to Exhibit No. 6, 22 you're familiar with this document, correct?</p> <p>23 A Yes.</p> <p>24 Q I would like you to turn to page -- it's 25 page 3, but there's multiple 3s, but page 18 of</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 103</p> <p>1 BY MR. ASSAAD:</p> <p>2 Q Mr. Van Duren, just to be clear, the 3 company was aware that blowing air can cause 4 bacteria to aerosolize?</p> <p>5 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 6 question.</p> <p>7 A Again, the risk mitigation determined 8 that blowing air on an infected open wound could 9 conceivably blow bacteria, yes.</p> <p>10 Q In an operating room?</p> <p>11 A In an operating room. Well, I think 12 probably in any location because it was a warning 13 on the Model 200, which was not permitted in an 14 operating room.</p> <p>15 Q And the reason to not use the Model 200 16 was because it could cause airborne contamination 17 in the operating room?</p> <p>18 A No. The reason not to use it was because 19 the temperature was too high to use on insensate 20 patients.</p> <p>21 Q Well, in Exhibit 5 it says, "Do not use 22 200 series warming units in the OR. Thermal 23 injury and airborne contamination may result."</p> <p>24 A Okay. Perhaps both were considered.</p> <p>25 Q Thermal injury would be a result of the</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 105</p> <p>1 the whole document?</p> <p>2 A The Bates number?</p> <p>3 Q The Bates number is 7046.</p> <p>4 Now, I just want to clarify something. 5 You mentioned before that the Model 500 used a .2 6 micron filter. But here it states "The Model 500 7 and the 500 OR warming units use a .2 micron 8 filter." Do you see that?</p> <p>9 A I do see that.</p> <p>10 Q Do you want to correct a previous 11 statement or is the document incorrect?</p> <p>12 A I may have been incorrect about the Model 13 500. The Model 200 and Model 250 had an 14 extremely different type of air filter than was 15 used later, so it's possible that the 500 and 500 16 OR used the 0.2 micron filter.</p> <p>17 Q But the 500 was not supposed to be used 18 in an operating room, correct?</p> <p>19 A That is correct.</p> <p>20 Q What was the difference between the 500 21 and the 500 OR?</p> <p>22 A Well, they were mechanically different. 23 The filters were different; the filter sizes were 24 different. I believe the airflow was different. 25 Like if you think there's a comparison table in</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 106	Page 108
<p>1 this document somewhere that compares the airflow 2 of a 500 and a 500 OR. I'd have to hunt that 3 down, but right now I can only find a table for 4 operating room versions for the warming units. 5 Q Maybe as we go through the document 6 you'll see it, and you can raise it if you see 7 it.</p> <p>8 A Okay.</p> <p>9 Q That's not a big deal at this point. 10 So it goes on, under "Air filter," it 11 says, "The Model 500 and 500 warming units use a 12 .2 micron filter. This grade of filtration is 13 identical to the laminar flow systems in 14 operating rooms." Did I read that correctly?</p> <p>15 A Yes.</p> <p>16 Q Okay. A .2 micron filter is not a HEPA 17 filter, correct?</p> <p>18 A No.</p> <p>19 Q It goes on, it says, "It is important to 20 note that the Model 200 and 250 units have a 21 reduced filtration level, more porous filter, 22 and, therefore, should never be used in the 23 operating room." Did I read that correctly?</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p> <p>25 Q Okay. What is it -- is the only reason</p>	<p>1 reps, correct? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Okay. So the sales reps are told, "It's 4 important to note that the Model 200 and 250 5 warming units have a reduced filtration level, 6 more porous filter, and therefore, should never 7 be used in the operating room." I read that 8 correctly, right? 9 A Yes. 10 Q So in layman's terms, because of the 11 reduced filtration level, that made the Model 200 12 and 250 unacceptable to be used in an operating 13 room environment, correct? 14 A Well, according to the paragraph, it 15 looks like the purpose was to maintain the same 16 level of filtration that existed in the HVAC 17 system in the operating room with that of the 18 warming unit. 19 Q Okay. I understand that's what the 20 statement says before. What was it about -- what 21 tests were done or analysis to support the 22 statement that because the 250 and 200 have a 23 more porous filter, that it should never be used 24 in an operating room? 25 A Again, I don't know that any testing was</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 107</p> <p>1 that the Model 200 and 250 -- or one of the 2 reasons why a Model 200 and 250 should never be 3 used in an operating room is because of the 4 filter being used?</p> <p>5 A According to this document, yes.</p> <p>6 Q Okay. And according to the company?</p> <p>7 A Yes.</p> <p>8 Q Okay.</p> <p>9 MR. GORDON: I'm sorry, did you say --</p> <p>10 MR. ASSAAD: According to the company.</p> <p>11 MR. GORDON: No, no, did you say that was 12 the only reason?</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: No, one of.</p> <p>14 MR. GORDON: One of, okay. I misheard.</p> <p>15 BY MR. ASSAAD:</p> <p>16 Q What studies were done to support this 17 statement that the air filter used by the Model 18 200 and 250 was inadequate and therefore should 19 never be used in an operating room?</p> <p>20 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 21 question, mischaracterizes the document.</p> <p>22 A I don't know that studies were done to 23 develop that warning.</p> <p>24 Q Okay. So why was the warning in there -- 25 why -- this is what is being taught to the sales</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 109</p> <p>1 done; but the point here, according to this 2 paragraph, is to maintain similar filtration 3 levels to what already exists in an operating 4 room.</p> <p>5 Q Okay. Any other reason that you're aware 6 of?</p> <p>7 A Well, the temperature was elevated in the 8 200 and 250 units.</p> <p>9 Q I understand. We're talking about 10 filtration here --</p> <p>11 A Oh, okay.</p> <p>12 Q -- filter.</p> <p>13 A I'm sorry, so what was the --</p> <p>14 Q Any other reason besides maintaining the 15 level of -- the same filtration as what occurs in 16 the operating room?</p> <p>17 A Well, not according to this paragraph, 18 no.</p> <p>19 Q Okay. Were any analysis -- I'm going to 20 use an analysis, it can be studies, calculations, 21 any type of scientific analysis -- withdraw that 22 question.</p> <p>23 The concern here about the filter is to 24 avoid any type of airborne contamination with 25 respect to the 200 and 250, correct?</p>

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 110	Page 112
<p>1 A Again, the concern from this paragraph 2 looks like the point here is to try and maintain 3 the filtration level similar to what exists in 4 the operating room.</p> <p>5 Q Okay. Why did the company want to 6 maintain the same level of filtration that is 7 used in an operating room for the Bair Hugger 8 device?</p> <p>9 A I'm assuming it was an appeal to logic. 10 You certainly didn't want to make it worse.</p> <p>11 Q Okay. But if the air is already filtered 12 in the operating room -- the air is already 13 filtered by the HVAC system, correct?</p> <p>14 A Correct.</p> <p>15 Q So all the air in the operating room is 16 filtered, correct?</p> <p>17 A Yes.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. So why would you want -- what was 19 the purpose of maintaining that filtration for 20 the Bair Hugger device that is just going to draw 21 from that filtered air?</p> <p>22 A Well, again, I don't know precisely the 23 reason, but it's possible that contaminants could 24 be introduced into the room that were not 25 filtered by the HVAC system in that room. And</p>	<p>1 them. 2 Q Okay. So the purpose of the filtration 3 was to prevent airborne contamination. One of 4 the purposes is to use the filtration to prevent 5 airborne contamination in the operating room?</p> <p>6 A Again, I think that's a likely reason 7 that it was done. But the stated reason was to 8 make sure that it wasn't worse than what already 9 existed in the operating room.</p> <p>10 Q Okay. And that would only filter -- 11 withdraw that question.</p> <p>12 MS. ZIMMERMAN: Do you mind if we take a 13 quick break?</p> <p>14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record. 15 (Whereupon, a short recess was had.)</p> <p>16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record.</p> <p>17 BY MR. ASSAAD:</p> <p>18 Q During the design process of the Bair 19 Hugger devices, 3M was aware that the bioburn in 20 an operating room increases as a result of 21 personnel being in the operating room shedding 22 squamous and bacteria?</p> <p>23 A Yes.</p> <p>24 Q Okay. And they were aware that in most 25 operating rooms the bioburn would be directed</p>
<p>1 they didn't want to have filtration systems that 2 had lower efficacy than those that had already 3 exited in the room.</p> <p>4 Q So there are contaminants in the 5 operating room?</p> <p>6 A Yeah.</p> <p>7 Q Such as personnel?</p> <p>8 A Well, the people in the operating room 9 have bacteria on their skin and microorganisms, 10 yes.</p> <p>11 Q Okay. So one of the concerns was you 12 wanted to filter the contaminants from the -- the 13 added contaminants in the operating room from 14 people through the Bair Hugger system so it 15 wouldn't reintroduce those contaminants into the 16 environment, correct?</p> <p>17 A I think that's a likely explanation. But 18 again, the reason is that they didn't want to 19 have filtration levels that were lower than that 20 that had already existed in the HVAC system.</p> <p>21 Q Well, do you know what the filtration 22 levels are in the other devices that filter air 23 in the operating room, such as the anesthesia 24 machine or the -- any of the other --</p> <p>25 A Some of them don't have any filters on</p>	<p>1 towards the ground and out the vents, correct?</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 Q And they're also aware that the Bair 4 Hugger would be -- the intake manifold of the 5 Bair Hugger, which draws in the air, is going to 6 be where much of the bacteria and bioburn is 7 directed towards the ground?</p> <p>8 A It can be.</p> <p>9 Q And one of the purposes of the filter was 10 to filter any added contaminants that came from 11 the personnel that would be brought in by the 12 suction of the Bair Hugger and out into the 13 patient?</p> <p>14 A Yes.</p> <p>15 Q Okay. And 3M was aware that heat rises?</p> <p>16 A No, we're not aware of that.</p> <p>17 Q The company wasn't aware that heat is 18 less buoyant and rises?</p> <p>19 A Heat is a form of energy, so heated air 20 rises, yes. But heat itself only goes from an 21 area of high energy to lower energy, regardless 22 of direction.</p> <p>23 Q Warm air rises, correct?</p> <p>24 A Well, it's the cold air actually pushing 25 down that makes the warm air appear to rise.</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 114	Page 116
<p>1 Q That's technically correct. We can go 2 down that route.</p> <p>3 Cold air is -- goes down and force the 4 hot air to rise, correct?</p> <p>5 A Correct.</p> <p>6 Q And there's something called thermal 7 plumes, correct?</p> <p>8 A Yes.</p> <p>9 Q And the company was aware of thermal 10 plumes?</p> <p>11 A Yes.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. As early as the 1990s?</p> <p>13 A Yes, I believe so.</p> <p>14 Q And, in fact, even before the 1990s, I 15 mean, this is not new science that hot air -- 16 cold air goes down and hot air rises, correct?</p> <p>17 A Thermal plumes have been visualized since the 1920s.</p> <p>18 Q And thermal plumes can carry bacteria, 19 and 3M was aware of that?</p> <p>20 A They can.</p> <p>21 Q Okay. And the company was aware of that?</p> <p>22 A Yes.</p> <p>23 Q Okay. And in fact, the company did 24 Schlieren tests that showed the thermal plumes</p>	<p>1 could see the thermal plumes in the Schlieren 2 photography?</p> <p>3 A That we did internally?</p> <p>4 Q Yes.</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q Okay. And in fact, the Schlieren 7 photography just confirmed what science already 8 knew regarding the affects of hot air in an 9 environment?</p> <p>10 A In certain environments, yes.</p> <p>11 Q Okay. I mean, in any environment in the 12 world, hot air is always going to be less dense 13 than cold air?</p> <p>14 A That's true, but that doesn't always mean that thermal plumes always exists.</p> <p>15 Q I get that, that's why I asked the 16 question that I did. Okay?</p> <p>17 A And I wanted to make it clear --</p> <p>18 Q Okay.</p> <p>19 A -- that the conditions under which we visualized Schlieren photography were not those that exist in an operating room.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. But thermal plumes did exist in 21 the Schlieren photography as we've discussed 22 before?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 115</p> <p>1 coming off the Bair Hugger device?</p> <p>2 A Well, we did Schlieren photography. I'm not sure I would call them tests; but we experimented with Schlieren devices to see if we could visualize these plumes, yes.</p> <p>3 Q And you did visualize these plumes?</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q And you did Schlieren photography with 6 the Bair Hugger under drapes?</p> <p>7 A Yes.</p> <p>8 Q Surgical drapes?</p> <p>9 A Yes.</p> <p>10 Q And thermal plumes were evident in the 11 photography, correct?</p> <p>12 A Correct.</p> <p>13 Q And the thermal plumes would be seen 14 going up, correct?</p> <p>15 A Correct.</p> <p>16 Q Because cold air is more dense and it 17 goes down and the thermal plumes forms with the 18 hot air and go up, correct?</p> <p>19 A Yes.</p> <p>20 Q And they carry bacteria up, correct?</p> <p>21 A They can.</p> <p>22 Q Okay. And you could see that -- you</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 117</p> <p>1 A Yes.</p> <p>2 Q Okay. And the Schlieren photography was 3 never done in an OR?</p> <p>4 A Not by anybody at Arizant or 3M.</p> <p>5 Q Okay. Anyone?</p> <p>6 A I don't believe so.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. And in fact, 3M is aware that in a 8 non-OR environment, a Bair Hugger covered with a 9 surgical drape will form thermal plumes, correct?</p> <p>10 A Yes.</p> <p>11 Q Okay. They have no evidence of what 12 would occur because they never tested the thermal 13 plumes that would form in an OR environment as a 14 result of the Bair Hugger?</p> <p>15 A I'm sorry, would you repeat that one?</p> <p>16 Q They never did any studies to determine 17 the thermal plume -- the effect of the Bair 18 Hugger creating thermal plumes in an OR?</p> <p>19 A When you said "we never did," you mean we never -- internally or?</p> <p>20 Q Internally.</p> <p>21 A We did not do that internally.</p> <p>22 Q So therefore, internally there's no 23 evidence as to whether or not thermal plumes 24 would form or not form in an operating room</p>

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 118	Page 120
<p>1 environment?</p> <p>2 A There are a couple of studies that show 3 the effect of conventional and laminar airflow 4 ventilation in an operating room and their 5 ability to suppress thermal plumes, both 6 generated by human beings and also warmed 7 patients.</p> <p>8 Q Okay. A human being generates 9 approximately 100 watts, correct?</p> <p>10 A Usually a little more than that if 11 they're awake and sitting up or standing. About 12 150 watts.</p> <p>13 Q The Bair Hugger generates about 850 14 watts?</p> <p>15 A Perhaps a little less than that.</p> <p>16 Q 800?</p> <p>17 A You know, I don't know precisely what it 18 is.</p> <p>19 Q My point is, it's on a scale of three to 20 four times more energy producing than a human 21 being standing?</p> <p>22 A Power.</p> <p>23 Q Power?</p> <p>24 A Producing, yes.</p> <p>25 Q Okay. Power is energy?</p>	<p>1 of the plumes that are generated and the effect 2 of those plumes in an operating room because no 3 study was done in an operating room with respect 4 to the plume formation of a Bair Hugger device?</p> <p>5 A No, that's incorrect. There is a study 6 that shows the suppression of thermal plumes 7 using a Bair Hugger in an operating room.</p> <p>8 Q Is that the Settles?</p> <p>9 A No, it's by Shirozu.</p> <p>10 Q The CFD study by Shirozu?</p> <p>11 A No, this is a -- this is an array that 12 was placed, that he built, placed over the 13 operating room table that measured air velocities 14 in three directions.</p> <p>15 Q Okay. We're talking about internally 16 here though. 3M did not perform any Schlieren 17 studies to show the thermal plumes -- did not 18 perform any studies internally to show that 19 thermal plumes created by the Bair Hugger in an 20 operating room?</p> <p>21 A Well, we don't have an operating room so 22 we would not have been able to conduct those kind 23 of studies. And Schlieren, it's not a 24 quantitative study, it's qualitative.</p> <p>25 Q Okay. And nothing was ever done in</p>
Page 119	Page 121
<p>1 A No, power is the rate of energy 2 utilization.</p> <p>3 Q So the rate of energy produced by a Bair 4 Hugger is three to four times more than a human 5 being?</p> <p>6 A In that range.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. And 3M clearly saw thermal plumes 8 outside the OR environment doing Schlieren 9 photography, correct?</p> <p>10 A Yes.</p> <p>11 Q 3M -- or the company has no evidence of 12 the size of the thermal plumes or if any exists 13 of the Bair Hugger device being used in an 14 operating room?</p> <p>15 A We do have clinical data that show the 16 effect of thermal plumes being generated by human 17 beings in an operating room setting, being 18 suppressed or being reversed by laminar and 19 conventional airflow.</p> <p>20 Q Well, we're talking about the Bair Hugger 21 here, which has three to four times more --</p> <p>22 A Yes.</p> <p>23 Q -- power than a human, correct?</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p> <p>25 Q Okay. Same question: 3M has no evidence</p>	<p>1 operating room internally by 3M?</p> <p>2 A We don't have --</p> <p>3 Q Either commissioned or --</p> <p>4 A Correct.</p> <p>5 Q Okay. 3M has access to operating rooms 6 though, correct?</p> <p>7 A Human operating rooms?</p> <p>8 Q Yes.</p> <p>9 A No, not to my knowledge.</p> <p>10 Q Well, when Dr. Abraham wanted access to 11 an operating room to do a CFD study and to take 12 measurements, 3M got access for him, correct?</p> <p>13 A Not on the 3M property or campus.</p> <p>14 Q No, but they have access. I didn't say 15 access on campus, but they have access. If they 16 wanted to use an operating room to do an 17 analysis, 3M has the ability to have access to 18 operating rooms in the country?</p> <p>19 A I don't know. Maybe.</p> <p>20 Q Well, they have in the past. I mean, the 21 Abraham study was done in Southdale.</p> <p>22 A Well, I don't know how he was able to 23 gain access to an operating room. I mean, he 24 might have done that himself.</p> <p>25 Q Well, Augustine had access to an</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 122	Page 124
<p>1 operating room when he commissioned studies, 2 correct?</p> <p>3 A Yes.</p> <p>4 Q Okay.</p> <p>5 A But he was a practicing physician at the time.</p> <p>6 Q And have you been to the fawfacts.com 7 website anytime recently?</p> <p>8 A No.</p> <p>9 Q You've been during the past, correct?</p> <p>10 A Years ago, yes.</p> <p>11 Q There was videos of testing done in an 12 operating room with 3M personnel in the videos, 13 correct?</p> <p>14 A Yes, I believe so. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q So clearly they have access to operating 16 rooms?</p> <p>17 A Those people who did the studies did, yes.</p> <p>18 Q Now, prior to the Schlieren study on the 19 effects of the Bair Hugger heat generation on the 20 thermal plumes and in an operating room, what 21 studies internally did the company perform to 22 determine the effects of the energy generated by 23 the Bair Hugger system on the operating room</p>	<p>1 bacteria?</p> <p>2 A It could be, yes.</p> <p>3 Q Okay. The company was aware that the 4 increase of bacteria over a surgical site would 5 increase the risk of infection?</p> <p>6 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 7 question.</p> <p>8 A So the relationship between recovering 9 bacteria above or around a surgical site and its 10 relationship to the subsequent development of a 11 post-surgical infection has not been well 12 established.</p> <p>13 Q I understand that maybe the quantitative 14 amount has not been established. But I think 15 most surgeons would agree that the more bacteria 16 you have over a surgical site, the increase of a 17 likelihood of infection that could occur?</p> <p>18 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 19 statement, I guess. Are you asking him to agree 20 with you?</p> <p>21 Q You're aware of the International 22 Consensus of Orthopedic Surgeons?</p> <p>23 A Yes, I'm aware.</p> <p>24 Q ICOS. And they -- one of their 25 statements is that they want to reduce the risk</p>
<p>1 environment?</p> <p>2 A There was some computational fluid 3 dynamics studies conducted. I mean, internal 4 studies, is that what you're getting at?</p> <p>5 Q Yes.</p> <p>6 A I mean, to my knowledge, that might have 7 been it. The computational, the CFD models.</p> <p>8 Q Well, let's back up a little bit. During 9 the design process, the company was aware that 10 heat was going to be generated and released into 11 the environment, correct?</p> <p>12 A Yes.</p> <p>13 Q They were aware that heat was going to be 14 generated and released into an operating room 15 environment, correct?</p> <p>16 A Yes.</p> <p>17 Q They were aware that the heat was going 18 to have an affect on the environment?</p> <p>19 A Yes.</p> <p>20 Q The company was aware that hot air is 21 less dense than cold air, and the cold air would 22 lower and the hot air would rise, correct?</p> <p>23 A Yes.</p> <p>24 Q Okay. They were aware that the hot air 25 that rises would be carrying particles and</p>	<p>1 of infection by reducing the amount of bacteria 2 over the surgical site?</p> <p>3 A Yes.</p> <p>4 Q You don't disagree with that?</p> <p>5 A Well, I don't disagree with that 6 recommendation, no.</p> <p>7 Q Okay.</p> <p>8 A I do not disagree that that is a 9 recommendation they make.</p> <p>10 Q Okay. You're aware that most surgeons 11 want to minimize the amount of bacteria over the 12 surgical site?</p> <p>13 A Yes.</p> <p>14 Q In fact, all surgeons probably want to do 15 that?</p> <p>16 A I think it's a concern that surgeons 17 have, yes.</p> <p>18 Q Statistically speaking, the more bacteria 19 that is over the surgical site, the higher the 20 chances of an infection of the surgical site?</p> <p>21 A I think that many surgeons believe that, 22 yes.</p> <p>23 Q Okay. And 3M was aware of that?</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p> <p>25 Q The company was aware of that?</p>

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

CONFIDENTIAL

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 126</p> <p>1 A Yes.</p> <p>2 Q And the company was aware that the Bair 3 Hugger was generating heat, hot air; that hot air 4 is going to rise and form thermal plumes; that 5 the hot air was going to -- can carry particles 6 and bacteria; and that surgeons wanted to reduce 7 bacteria over the surgical site, correct?</p> <p>8 A Yes, we're generally aware of all of 9 that. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q And 3M or the company performed no 11 studies internally before putting the products on 12 the market, the 500, the 500 OR, the 505 or the 13 750, did no internal studies to determine whether 14 or not the plumes generated by the Bair Hugger 15 device would carry bacteria onto the surgical 16 site?</p> <p>17 A Internal studies, no. But we were aware 18 of thermal-hydraulic studies, and operating room 19 airflow studies that showed that the air in a 20 conventionally ventilated operating room had 21 enough momentum to reverse or suppress the 22 thermal plumes that arose from the blanket or 23 from humans standing near the patient.</p> <p>24 Q From humans and the patient, but not a 25 Bair Hugger system, correct?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 128</p> <p>1 effect, yes.</p> <p>2 Q Okay. Because particles carry bacteria?</p> <p>3 A They can.</p> <p>4 Q And according to Dr. Wenzel, the expert 5 hired by 3M, Dr. Wenzel, 40 percent of particles 6 carry bacteria?</p> <p>7 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 8 question, mischaracterizes Dr. Wenzel's 9 testimony, also outside the scope of 30(b)(6) 10 deposition, and also lack of foundation.</p> <p>11 Q You may answer.</p> <p>12 A I'm sorry, would you repeat it?</p> <p>13 Q You understand that 3M was aware of 14 Dr. Wenzel's testimony in the multi-district 15 litigation?</p> <p>16 A Yes.</p> <p>17 Q Have you read his testimony?</p> <p>18 A No.</p> <p>19 Q You're aware that 3M retained Dr. Wenzel 20 as an expert in infectious disease?</p> <p>21 A Yes.</p> <p>22 Q And Dr. Wenzel is more knowledgeable than 23 probably anyone in the patient-warming business 24 at 3M on infectious disease?</p> <p>25 MR. GORDON: Same objections.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 127</p> <p>1 A Well, we looked at both, both human 2 beings, patient and the Bair Hugger.</p> <p>3 Q What study, internal study are you 4 referring to with respect to the Bair Hugger?</p> <p>5 A It's not an internal study. These are 6 studies where people looked at the suppression of 7 thermal plumes in a conventionally ventilated 8 operating room.</p> <p>9 Q Okay. With the Bair Hugger?</p> <p>10 A With a warming device. I'm not sure if 11 it was Bair Hugger.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. And you stated in your last 13 30(b)(6) deposition that we did in the MDL, that 14 every single study performed, looking at 15 particles over the surgical site, showed an 16 increase on the amount of particles when the Bair 17 Hugger is used as compared to when the Bair 18 Hugger is not used?</p> <p>19 A In absolute numbers, yes.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. And the International Consensus of 21 Orthopedic Surgeons recommends or statements 22 states that to reduce bacteria over the surgical 23 site, that one should reduce the amount of 24 particles over the surgical site?</p> <p>25 A I think there's a statement to that</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 129</p> <p>1 A He's a recognized world expert in 2 infectious disease.</p> <p>3 Q Okay. Now, with respect to the 505 OR 4 and we'll get to the manual. During the design 5 process, you will agree with me that the company 6 did absolutely no testing or no analysis to 7 determine whether or not the 505 OR model would 8 increase particles over the surgical site before 9 it was marketed?</p> <p>10 A So not to be overly technical, but there 11 is no such thing as a 505 OR model.</p> <p>12 Q My fault. The 500 OR.</p> <p>13 A Okay.</p> <p>14 Q The first model to be used in the 15 operating room.</p> <p>16 A Okay.</p> <p>17 Q You agree with me that the company did no 18 analysis of whether or not putting a Bair Hugger 19 device in the operating room would or would not 20 increase particles in the surgical site?</p> <p>21 A To my knowledge, no study like that was 22 conducted.</p> <p>23 Q Okay. Even though it was a concern as 24 early as 1991, that the Bair Hugger may increase 25 particles over the surgical site?</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 130</p> <p>1 A And again, this was the reason for 2 selecting a filter media that had at least the 3 same level of filtration as the HVAC system in 4 the operating room.</p> <p>5 Q Yes, but that's only one source, one 6 mechanism to increase particulates over the 7 surgical site was the filter, correct?</p> <p>8 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 9 question.</p> <p>10 A It is a mechanism.</p> <p>11 Q Another mechanism is the heat generation 12 and thermal plumes?</p> <p>13 MR. GORDON: Same objection.</p> <p>14 A They could be.</p> <p>15 Q It's a mechanism that could raise 16 particles from a lower point -- or raise them 17 over the surgical table, correct?</p> <p>18 A They could.</p> <p>19 Q Okay. And the company never looked at 20 that issue?</p> <p>21 A To my knowledge, no.</p> <p>22 Q Okay.</p> <p>23 MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a five-minute 24 break.</p> <p>25 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 132</p> <p>1 Q Okay. Well, surgeons as well, 2 International Consensus of Orthopedic Surgeons --</p> <p>3 A Yep.</p> <p>4 Q -- do not want to increase particulates 5 over the sterile field?</p> <p>6 A I assume that that's true.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. Especially orthopedic surgeons?</p> <p>8 A Yes.</p> <p>9 Q Okay. Because orthopedic surgeons are 10 aware that it takes a very minimal amount of 11 bacteria to cause a deep joint infection?</p> <p>12 A It can, yes.</p> <p>13 Q Yes. Okay. And 3M is aware that every 14 single study that looked at this issue shows an 15 increase of particulates in absolute numbers when 16 the Bair Hugger is used, correct?</p> <p>17 A Yes.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. Until this day, 3M does not warn 19 that the Bair Hugger may increase the amount of 20 particulates over the sterile field, correct?</p> <p>21 A That's correct.</p> <p>22 Q Okay. With respect to the Bair Hugger 23 devices before the 500 OR that were not intended 24 to be used in an operating room environment, 3M 25 was aware that blowing air over a patient may</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 131</p> <p>1 (Whereupon, a recess was taken at 1:05 2 p.m. until 1:42 p.m., after which, the following 3 transpired.)</p> <p>4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record.</p> <p>5 BY MR. ASSAAD:</p> <p>6 Q Are you ready to continue, Mr. Van Duren?</p> <p>7 A Yes.</p> <p>8 Q Okay. During the design process, one of 9 the goals is to identify potential risks of the 10 device?</p> <p>11 A Yes.</p> <p>12 Q And one of the -- and in an operating 13 room, one of the risks, a risk of a device could 14 be causing airborne contamination?</p> <p>15 A Could be.</p> <p>16 Q Yes. And the company was aware that 17 surgeons do not want devices that could increase 18 particulates over the sterile field?</p> <p>19 A I mean, I would say that we assumed that 20 that was true, yes.</p> <p>21 Q I mean, Dr. Augustine was an 22 anesthesiologist. He understands the sterile 23 field and the concept of maintaining a sterile 24 field?</p> <p>25 A Right, but you said "surgeons."</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 133</p> <p>1 aerosolize bacteria?</p> <p>2 A I think it was -- again, the risk 3 management program determined that blowing air 4 over an open, infected wound could aerosolize 5 bacteria.</p> <p>6 Q Because there's bacteria on the open 7 wound, we discussed this already.</p> <p>8 A Right.</p> <p>9 Q But there's also bacteria on the 10 patient's skin, correct?</p> <p>11 A Yes.</p> <p>12 Q And for the same reasons why it might 13 aerosolize the bacteria on an infected wound, the 14 same reasons would occur with bacteria on a 15 patient's skin, correct?</p> <p>16 A Well, I believe that the risk management 17 team recognized that there was a large difference 18 between those two conditions and warned against 19 the latter.</p> <p>20 Q I understand what the risk management 21 team did, but from a science standpoint, from a 22 design standpoint, 3M -- or the company was aware 23 that there was bacteria on a patient's skin?</p> <p>24 MR. GORDON: Object, asked and answered.</p> <p>25 A Yes, we recognize that.</p>

34 (Pages 130 to 133)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 134	Page 136
<p>1 Q And for the same mechanism that bacteria 2 can be aerosolized that are on open, infected 3 wounds, the same could happen for the bacteria 4 that is on a patient's skin?</p> <p>5 A I don't know that the mechanism is 6 identical.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. 3M was aware that -- the company 8 was aware that bacteria in an operating room, one 9 of the main sources of bacteria are from the 10 people that are in the operating room, the 11 patient and the staff?</p> <p>12 A Those are sources of bacteria in the 13 operating room, yes.</p> <p>14 Q Okay. And with respect to the warnings 15 of possibility of airborne contamination on the 16 air blowing from the Bair Hugger over an open, 17 infected wound may cause airborne contamination, 18 the changing of a filter does not address that 19 risk of changing of a filter?</p> <p>20 A Not likely.</p> <p>21 Q Okay. Because the generation of the 22 airborne contamination, the possibility of 23 airborne contamination is from the bacteria 24 that's on the patient, not what's being drawn in 25 from the Bair Hugger device, correct?</p>	<p>1 A I think it's probably .2. 2 Q Okay. .2, which is the same filter that 3 is used in the 505, correct? 4 A Yes. 5 Q And the same filter that is used in the 6 750, correct? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And the same filter that is used in the 9 775, correct? 10 A Yes. 11 Q Okay. So even with the point -- just say 12 it's incorrect as a .2 micron filter, the company 13 warned about the possibility of airborne 14 contamination? 15 A They did. 16 Q Okay. And that is because the filter -- 17 the mechanism of airborne contamination addressed 18 in the 500, the filter -- the filter issue 19 doesn't address that mechanism of blowing hot air 20 over the open, infected wound, correct? 21 A That's correct. 22 Q Okay. And you were aware of other 23 manufacturers of forced-air warming devices, 24 correct? 25 A Yes.</p>
<p>1 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 2 question, lack of foundation also. 3 A Again, based on the language of the 4 warning, that would suggest that that's true. 5 Q Okay. The 500 device also contained a 6 warning regarding the possibility of airborne 7 contamination, correct? You can look at Exhibit 8 if you need to. 9 A Yeah, I think we saw that. That's the 10 one where it said "open contaminated wounds," 11 "open, infected wounds." Yes. 12 Q Okay. The 500 device had a .02 micron 13 filter, correct? 14 A .2, I believe. 15 Q Well, if you look at page 7675 of Exhibit 16 4, Bates number 7675, it says, "filter system .02 17 micron filter." Left-hand column, third from the 18 bottom? 19 A Yes, I see that. 20 Q Okay. So according to the Model 500 21 service manual, Exhibit 4, the Model 500 had a 22 .02 micron filter, correct? 23 A That's what is written there. I'm not 24 sure that that is correct. 25 Q Okay. But what do you think it is?</p>	<p>1 Q And other manufacturers also warn 2 about -- currently warn about the potential of 3 airborne contamination? 4 A I don't know for a fact that they do 5 that. It wouldn't surprise me to find out that 6 they do. 7 Q Why wouldn't it surprise you? 8 A They all follow what we do. 9 Q Well, you currently don't warn about 10 airborne contamination in the 505, and the 750 11 and the 775, correct? 12 A I don't believe that there's a warning 13 there about that. 14 Q Okay. So they're not following what you 15 do currently, because you don't warn about it 16 currently, correct? 17 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 18 question, it's argumentative. 19 A Again, to my knowledge, we don't 20 currently do it. 21 Q Okay. But other manufacturers such as 22 Mistral or Stryker warn about airborne 23 contamination such as the Mistral device? 24 A They may. I have not looked at their 25 manual in some time.</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 138	Page 140
<p>1 Q And that wouldn't surprise you?</p> <p>2 A That wouldn't surprise me.</p> <p>3 Q Why not?</p> <p>4 A Because virtually every manufacturer</p> <p>5 copied us and perhaps they haven't removed that</p> <p>6 warning yet.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. You're familiar with the Stryker</p> <p>8 Mistral, correct?</p> <p>9 A Yes.</p> <p>10 Q Do you know when that device came out?</p> <p>11 A No, I don't know what year that came out.</p> <p>12 Q For the purposes of this next couple of</p> <p>13 questions, let's just assume that the Stryker</p> <p>14 Mistral came out after the 505.</p> <p>15 A Okay.</p> <p>16 Q Okay? And you agree with me that the 505</p> <p>17 device does not warn about airborne</p> <p>18 contamination?</p> <p>19 A I can't say completely for certain, but</p> <p>20 I'll -- for the purposes of argument, I'll take</p> <p>21 your word for it.</p> <p>22 Q I'll pull it up at the next break, but I</p> <p>23 think -- I'm 99.9 percent sure that it does not</p> <p>24 warn about airborne contamination.</p> <p>25 So assuming that the Mistral came</p>	<p>1 Q Okay. And the advantages and</p> <p>2 disadvantages of their devices as compared to the</p> <p>3 company's device?</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q And actually it's -- a lot of it is also</p> <p>6 in the sales material given to the sales reps to</p> <p>7 distinguish the Bair Hugger from other devices?</p> <p>8 A Yes.</p> <p>9 Q Okay. And the company is aware that</p> <p>10 Stryker Mistral warns about airborne</p> <p>11 contamination?</p> <p>12 MR. GORDON: Object, lack of foundation.</p> <p>13 A Again, I don't recall looking at their</p> <p>14 manual, but it wouldn't surprise me if they do.</p> <p>15 Q Okay.</p> <p>16 A They could have looked at earlier</p> <p>17 versions of our operating manual and just copied</p> <p>18 the warnings directly from there as a</p> <p>19 possibility. I just don't know.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. But what we do know is that they</p> <p>21 currently warn for airborne contamination?</p> <p>22 MR. GORDON: Same objection.</p> <p>23 A I'd have to see the actual warning in</p> <p>24 their manual.</p> <p>25 Q Okay. It is what it is, right?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 139</p> <p>1 after -- the forced-air warming device came after</p> <p>2 the 505, and I'm just trying to address the fact</p> <p>3 that you mentioned that they probably were</p> <p>4 copying what 3M was doing. 3M wasn't warning</p> <p>5 about airborne contamination, then they wouldn't</p> <p>6 be copying 3M on any of the warnings, correct, on</p> <p>7 the airborne contamination?</p> <p>8 MR. GORDON: A couple of objections.</p> <p>9 First of all, lack of foundation. Secondly, I've</p> <p>10 let you go on and on about Mistral, but this is</p> <p>11 really way outside of the scope of 30(b)06</p> <p>12 topics.</p> <p>13 MR. ASSAAD: Let me bring it in and maybe</p> <p>14 I can bring it in just to correct your objection.</p> <p>15 BY MR. ASSAAD:</p> <p>16 Q As part of the design process, you look</p> <p>17 at your competitors to see what they're doing and</p> <p>18 what is out in the field, as an engineer would,</p> <p>19 to determine the best way to design something?</p> <p>20 A That would be one way, yes.</p> <p>21 Q Okay.</p> <p>22 A Yep.</p> <p>23 Q And the company keeps track of what other</p> <p>24 competitors are doing?</p> <p>25 A Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 141</p> <p>1 A Well, I'd have to see it.</p> <p>2 Q Okay. Between the 505 -- the 500 and the</p> <p>3 500 OR, the company removed the warning regarding</p> <p>4 the possibility of airborne contamination,</p> <p>5 correct?</p> <p>6 A Yes.</p> <p>7 Q What studies were conducted prior to the</p> <p>8 marketing of the 500 OR to determine that the</p> <p>9 warning about the possibility of airborne</p> <p>10 contamination was not needed in the manual?</p> <p>11 A I'm unaware of any studies that were</p> <p>12 conducted. But a risk mitigation process</p> <p>13 certainly was undertaken, and the warning would</p> <p>14 have been removed as a result of the</p> <p>15 determination that it was no longer necessary.</p> <p>16 Q Okay. So the company -- the company's</p> <p>17 position that the warning regarding airborne</p> <p>18 contamination that was in the 500 was not needed</p> <p>19 in the 500 OR based on their risk assessment?</p> <p>20 A Yes.</p> <p>21 Q And that was not based on any internal</p> <p>22 studies that you're aware of sitting here today?</p> <p>23 A That I'm aware of, no.</p> <p>24 Q Okay. And it was not based on any</p> <p>25 external studies that you're aware of today prior</p>

36 (Pages 138 to 141)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 142	Page 144
<p>1 to the marketing of the 500 OR?</p> <p>2 A None that I can recall.</p> <p>3 Q What was the basis or the reasoning</p> <p>4 behind the termination that the warning for the</p> <p>5 possibility of airborne contamination was not</p> <p>6 needed for the 500 OR?</p> <p>7 A Well, I haven't seen the risk management</p> <p>8 document, so I don't know precisely why that was</p> <p>9 removed. But labeling is one of the things that</p> <p>10 is strictly controlled by the ECO system once a</p> <p>11 product is released; so there had to have been a</p> <p>12 risk management process supplied to that</p> <p>13 labeling.</p> <p>14 Q Sitting here today, you have not -- you</p> <p>15 don't have an explanation as to why that warning</p> <p>16 was removed from the 500 OR?</p> <p>17 A Only that it would have been removed as a</p> <p>18 result of the risk mitigation process.</p> <p>19 Q But you don't know what occurred during</p> <p>20 the risk mitigation process?</p> <p>21 A I don't.</p> <p>22 Q Okay. But the removal of the warning was</p> <p>23 not based on any tests that you're aware of?</p> <p>24 A None that I'm aware of.</p> <p>25 Q Okay. And you're assuming that there was</p>	<p>1 A Yes.</p> <p>2 Q And as a result of your admission that</p> <p>3 every single study that looked at the increase of</p> <p>4 particles as a result of the Bair Hugger, you</p> <p>5 would agree that there is going to be a risk of</p> <p>6 infection as a result of the Bair Hugger?</p> <p>7 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the</p> <p>8 question.</p> <p>9 A I wouldn't agree to that.</p> <p>10 Q Okay. You would agree that surgeons,</p> <p>11 especially orthopedic surgeons, would opine that</p> <p>12 if you increase particles over the surgical site</p> <p>13 you increase the risk of infection?</p> <p>14 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the</p> <p>15 question, also lack of foundation.</p> <p>16 A I would agree.</p> <p>17 Q Does 3M take a different position as to</p> <p>18 the science and the opinions of the orthopedic</p> <p>19 community with respect to particles and the risk</p> <p>20 of infection?</p> <p>21 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the</p> <p>22 question, also lack of foundation.</p> <p>23 A Well, I'm not sure what you mean by a</p> <p>24 different opinion. Do we believe that bacteria</p> <p>25 cause infections? Is that the question?</p>
Page 143	Page 145
<p>1 a scientific basis for the removal of the warning</p> <p>2 from the 500 OR device?</p> <p>3 A Well, I'm assuming that there was a</p> <p>4 logical basis for its removal that depended on</p> <p>5 evidence to overturn the justification for its</p> <p>6 existence in the first place, which could have</p> <p>7 been an appeal to reason.</p> <p>8 The existence of a warning based on a</p> <p>9 proposed risk may not have had any basis and</p> <p>10 scientific fact. It might have just been someone</p> <p>11 thought that was a good idea.</p> <p>12 Q Sitting here today, the company doesn't</p> <p>13 know?</p> <p>14 A That's correct.</p> <p>15 Q And at the time when the 500 OR was</p> <p>16 marketed, the company was aware that hospitals</p> <p>17 and surgeons would not want devices that caused</p> <p>18 airborne contamination in the operating room,</p> <p>19 correct?</p> <p>20 A Well, we're certainly aware that the</p> <p>21 desire among surgeons in the operating room is to</p> <p>22 minimize particulates and contamination, yes.</p> <p>23 Q Because the medical community is of the</p> <p>24 opinion that if you increase particulates, you</p> <p>25 increase the risk of infection?</p>	<p>1 Q Would orthopedic surgeons want to</p> <p>2 minimize the amount of particles over the</p> <p>3 surgical site? Correct?</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q Orthopedic surgeons believe that there is</p> <p>6 a correlation between the amount of particles and</p> <p>7 the risk of a deep joint infection in orthopedic</p> <p>8 surgery?</p> <p>9 MR. GORDON: I'm going to object, lack of</p> <p>10 foundation.</p> <p>11 A I believe that's true.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. 3M is aware of what the medical</p> <p>13 community, especially the orthopedic surgeons'</p> <p>14 opinion on between the correlation of particles</p> <p>15 and deep joint infections?</p> <p>16 A Yes, we're aware of their opinion.</p> <p>17 Q Okay. Does 3M disagree with the medical</p> <p>18 community and orthopedic surgeons that increasing</p> <p>19 particles over the surgical site increases the</p> <p>20 risk of a deep joint infection in orthopedic</p> <p>21 surgery?</p> <p>22 MR. GORDON: Same objections.</p> <p>23 A Well, in a qualitative way we agree with</p> <p>24 that.</p> <p>25 Q Okay. The company is aware that the Bair</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 146	Page 148
<p>1 Hugger increases particles over the surgical site?</p> <p>3 A We know from some studies that particle counts are increased over the surgical site when Bair Hugger is used.</p> <p>6 Q Which, in turn, means that the Bair Hugger has an affect on the airflow of an operating room system, correct?</p> <p>9 A Those are two different things.</p> <p>10 Q Well --</p> <p>11 A One does not derive from another.</p> <p>12 Q Let's back up a little bit. You know when Bair Hugger is used particles increase over the surgical site?</p> <p>15 A They can, yes.</p> <p>16 Q Okay. And there's a correlation between the Bair Hugger use and particles over the surgical site?</p> <p>19 A Yes.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. And through the laws of physics, there has to be something that the Bair Hugger does to increase particles over the surgical site, correct?</p> <p>24 A I'm trying to understand what you mean by "through the laws of physics."</p>	<p>1 it's a possible explanation of the mechanism, yeah.</p> <p>3 Q Okay. Well, it's a probable explanation?</p> <p>4 A Okay, probable.</p> <p>5 Q I mean, you have the studies that were done, you have the Bair Hugger, everything is the same except the Bair Hugger is on and the Bair Hugger is off, correct? You have the control, okay, like everything is constant except one thing changes, the Bair Hugger, correct?</p> <p>11 A Yes.</p> <p>12 Q The studies that we're referring to. Therefore, one could conclude, more likely than not, that the Bair Hugger is increasing particles over the surgical site?</p> <p>16 A Or that -- yeah, the increase is related to the use of the Bair Hugger. Yep.</p> <p>18 Q And as a result of the Bair Hugger is reducing the effect of the operating room ventilation system to reduce particles over the surgical site?</p> <p>22 A Well, again, I don't know -- well, the effect, yes. Yep.</p> <p>24 Q Okay. The company is aware that the medical community is of the opinion that the</p>
Page 147	Page 149
<p>1 Q Well, there's a cause and effect. The Bair Hugger blows hot air, correct?</p> <p>3 A Yes.</p> <p>4 Q And as a result, the Bair Hugger blows in hot air, there's an increase in particles over the surgical site?</p> <p>7 A Yes.</p> <p>8 Q Okay. So I don't want to go into all the physics and the science, but would you agree with me that when the Bair Hugger is off, there's less particles over the surgical site?</p> <p>12 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also incomplete hypothetical.</p> <p>14 A I would agree that in certain studies that that is the case, yes.</p> <p>16 Q And that is because the operating room ventilation system, which is designed to reduce particles over the surgical site, is not being affected by the Bair Hugger?</p> <p>20 A So that's a probable explanation, but I don't know the exact mechanism for the increase.</p> <p>22 Q But it's the most likely explanation?</p> <p>23 A It's an explanation.</p> <p>24 Q What other explanations would there be?</p> <p>25 A Well, I don't know. I'm just saying that</p>	<p>1 increase of particulates over the surgical site increases the risk of a surgical site infection?</p> <p>3 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the question; also, lack of foundation.</p> <p>5 A Well, I think there are quantitative thresholds that that is the case, yes.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. And with respect to the quantitative thresholds, 3M has never performed a study to determine whether or not the increase in particles that are over the surgical site caused by the Bair Hugger, has a -- increases the risk of a deep joint infection in orthopedic surgery?</p> <p>13 A Well, I think the study by Curtis would suggest that the difference in particulate counts, assuming that HEPA filtration reduces those, makes no difference.</p> <p>17 Q Curtis evaluated the filters, correct?</p> <p>18 A Well, the whole systems.</p> <p>19 Q Okay. But the filter, the main difference -- the Curtis study dealt with the HEPA -- the question was: Does the HEPA filter make a difference with deep joint infections?</p> <p>23 A Yes.</p> <p>24 Q That was the question presented in that study, correct? They're both forced-air warming</p>

38 (Pages 146 to 149)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 150	Page 152
<p>1 units, correct?</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 Q Okay. They both blow hot air, correct?</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q Okay. And what we're talking about here 6 is the increase in particulates as a result of 7 the hot air -- the increase of particulates over 8 the surgical site as a result of the hot air 9 over-generated by the Bair Hugger machine?</p> <p>10 A Well, again, I don't know that the 11 increase is caused by the hot air. It could just 12 be caused by the air. It may not have to be hot.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. 3M is unaware of whether or not -- 14 strike that.</p> <p>15 3M does not know whether the increase of 16 particulates over the sterile field caused by the 17 Bair Hugger has any affect on patients with 18 respect to infection rates. That was a bad 19 question. Let me rephrase it.</p> <p>20 You mentioned the qualitative versus 21 quantitative issue about the particles, correct?</p> <p>22 A Yes.</p> <p>23 Q Okay. We can all agree that 3M is aware 24 that the Bair Hugger increases particles over the 25 surgical site?</p>	<p>1 A I don't know.</p> <p>2 Q You're assuming?</p> <p>3 A I'm assuming.</p> <p>4 Q Okay. During the risk mitigation 5 process, during the design process, I would 6 assume that the company relies on medical 7 personnel or doctors and surgeons to help 8 evaluate any of the risks associated with a 9 device?</p> <p>10 A Is that a question --</p> <p>11 Q Yes.</p> <p>12 A -- I'm not sure. So the risk mitigation 13 process includes a variety of disciplines. Some 14 could be medical doctors.</p> <p>15 Q Has 3M or the company ever consulted with 16 any orthopedic surgeons, such as Parvizi or Dr. 17 Mont, and informed them this device increases 18 particles over the surgical site, would you 19 consider that a risk factor for orthopedic 20 surgeries?</p> <p>21 A I don't know that that information has 22 been presented exactly like that to them.</p> <p>23 Q So even though 3M is aware that the Bair 24 Hugger, through whatever mechanism we don't know, 25 okay? Whether it's the filter or the hot air,</p>
<p>1 A In absolute numbers, yes.</p> <p>2 Q 3M is unaware of whether or not that 3 increase of particles increases the risk of a 4 deep joint infection in orthopedic surgeries, 5 correct?</p> <p>6 A Well, again, taking the data from Curtis 7 would suggest that if HEPA filtration produces 8 lower particle counts, then there's no 9 difference.</p> <p>10 Q But Curtis didn't measure particle 11 counts?</p> <p>12 A Right. They measured the actual outcome 13 of --</p> <p>14 Q Of infection rates?</p> <p>15 A Yep.</p> <p>16 Q Of infection rates?</p> <p>17 A Correct.</p> <p>18 Q Curtis did not measure whether or not the 19 particle generation over the surgical site was 20 different than the Bair Hugger than the Mistral, 21 right?</p> <p>22 A I am assuming though, based on the design 23 of the experiment, that the particulate counts 24 are lower with the HEPA filter.</p> <p>25 Q You don't know one way or another?</p>	<p>1 whatever, but what we do know is that the Bair 2 Hugger increases particles over the surgical 3 site, the company has not consulted with any 4 orthopedic surgeons to determine whether or not 5 that is a risk for deep joint infections in 6 orthopedic surgeries?</p> <p>7 A I am not aware of consultations with any 8 of those orthopedic surgeons.</p> <p>9 Q Okay. And with respect to the issues of 10 particles over the surgical site and its 11 correlation with a deep joint infection, the 12 company would agree that there are surgeons or 13 scientists out on the field that are better or 14 more knowledgeable about the actual risk factors 15 than 3M?</p> <p>16 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 17 question.</p> <p>18 A I'm sorry, would you rephrase or restate 19 the question?</p> <p>20 Q It wasn't clear. When it comes to the 21 risk of particles over the surgical site and the 22 risk of a deep joint infection, there are experts 23 in the field in the medical community that are 24 more knowledgeable than 3M regarding the 25 correlation between particles and infections?</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 154	Page 156
<p>1 A I suspect that's true.</p> <p>2 Q And the company has never consulted any 3 of these experts and told them, "studies are 4 showing that the Bair Hugger increases particles 5 over the surgical site, should we be concerned 6 about this?"</p> <p>7 A I don't know that we presented data in 8 exactly that format to orthopedic surgeons or 9 infectious disease specialists.</p> <p>10 Q Or in other words, we are aware that Bair 11 Hugger increases particles over the surgical 12 site, you know, should we put a warning on this 13 to warn physicians? Was that ever discussed with 14 any of the experts in periprosthetic joint 15 infection?</p> <p>16 A I don't know. Those notes would appear 17 in the risk mitigation process.</p> <p>18 Q 3M is a platinum member of the 19 International Consensus of Orthopedic Surgeons, a 20 donor, correct?</p> <p>21 A Yes.</p> <p>22 Q And they were involved in the last one, 23 correct? They attended the meetings?</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p> <p>25 Q During the issue of forced-air warming,</p>	<p>1 BY MS. ASSAAD:</p> <p>2 Q When the 500 OR was discussed, came out, 3 they removed the warning about airborne 4 contamination, correct?</p> <p>5 A I believe so, yes.</p> <p>6 Q Okay. And roughly that was the early 7 90s, correct?</p> <p>8 A It was, yes.</p> <p>9 Q It was before you got there?</p> <p>10 A Yes.</p> <p>11 Q Okay. And as time passed by from the 12 1994 to the present, there have been studies 13 regarding the Bair Hugger increasing airborne 14 particulates over the sterile field, correct?</p> <p>15 A There have been.</p> <p>16 Q Okay. At any time during the period from 17 the 500 OR to the present, were there any 18 discussions at 3M regarding adding back a warning 19 about airborne contamination?</p> <p>20 A Not that I recall.</p> <p>21 Q To the present?</p> <p>22 A Yeah, to the present.</p> <p>23 Q Even until today?</p> <p>24 A Not that I recall.</p> <p>25 Q Well, as part of the design process</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 155</p> <p>1 did they tell the doctors, "Hey, all the studies 2 here indicate that there is an increase of 3 particles over the surgical site when the Bair 4 Hugger is used." You know, "that is information 5 that you should use before conducting your vote." 6 Was that ever done by 3M?</p> <p>7 A No, but again, those are experts at those 8 meetings. They're well aware of the research.</p> <p>9 Q Well, you assume that they're well aware 10 of the research?</p> <p>11 A Well, they're experts.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. At those meetings did 3M inform 13 those experts that based on strong, scientific 14 evidence and all the studies that have been 15 conducted, that Bair Hugger increases particles 16 over the surgical site?</p> <p>17 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 18 question.</p> <p>19 A No.</p> <p>20 MR. ASSAAD: Okay. Let's take a break.</p> <p>21 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record. 22 (Whereupon, a break was taken from 2:22 p.m. 23 until 2:37 p.m., after which, the following 24 transpired.)</p> <p>25 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 157</p> <p>1 there's also the label, correct?</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 Q Okay. And you're here today as a 30(b)6 4 witness, you know, to discuss 3M's knowledge 5 regarding the design process, which includes the 6 label, correct?</p> <p>7 A Yes.</p> <p>8 Q And when you say "not to my knowledge," 9 are you saying there's been no discussions, you 10 being 3M --</p> <p>11 A Yep.</p> <p>12 Q -- regarding changing the label to add 13 airborne contamination?</p> <p>14 A Again, I don't recall any discussions 15 having to do with changing the label in response 16 to concerns about particulates.</p> <p>17 Q What about adding information regarding 18 airborne contamination in the label?</p> <p>19 A Again, not that I recall.</p> <p>20 Q Was there anyone that 3M is aware of in 21 the company that suggested that a warning 22 regarding airborne contamination should be added 23 to the label?</p> <p>24 A I don't believe so.</p> <p>25 Q Okay. And the purpose of the label is to</p>

40 (Pages 154 to 157)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 158	Page 160
<p>1 educate customers and to warn customers about the 2 product, correct?</p> <p>3 A Yes. One of its purposes.</p> <p>4 Q And 3M understands its customers rely on 5 3M to provide clear instructions for use, 6 correct?</p> <p>7 A Yes.</p> <p>8 Q And for contraindications, correct?</p> <p>9 A Well, warnings and cautions.</p> <p>10 Q And contraindications?</p> <p>11 A Yes, I think that can be one as well.</p> <p>12 Q Actually on the label it says, 13 "contraindicated for aorta clamp"?</p> <p>14 A Yes.</p> <p>15 Q Okay. And 3M has been, the company, 16 Augustine, Arizant and 3M, have been in the 17 forced-air warming business longer than any other 18 company?</p> <p>19 A Yeah, I think so.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. And therefore, when it comes to 21 forced-air warming, they're -- I don't want to 22 say they're the most knowledgeable, but there 23 probably is no one more knowledgeable than 24 forced-air warming devices than the company?</p> <p>25 A Probably.</p>	<p>1 Q Okay.</p> <p>2 A As well as other warming devices.</p> <p>3 Q Have you ever suggested adding a warning 4 to the Bair Hugger device?</p> <p>5 A I don't believe I have.</p> <p>6 Q Did you ever raise a -- I mean, you 7 informed 3M at some point that this issue about 8 particles over the sterile field was something 9 that was discussed back in the '90s?</p> <p>10 A I'm sorry, what was the question?</p> <p>11 Q You informed 3M that this issue -- when 12 the issue arose when Augustine was sending his 13 letters, you informed 3M that this is nothing 14 new, we've been discussing this since I joined 15 the company in 1994?</p> <p>16 A Well, actually, I don't think I was responsible for transmitting that knowledge. I mean, I think there was a whole team of people involved in business development who transferred that information to 3M.</p> <p>21 Q An I'm not talking about during the 22 purchase. I'm talking about when Augustine was 23 sending letters regarding the affect on airflow 24 that the Bair Hugger has or increasing particles 25 over the surgical site, that wasn't something</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 159</p> <p>1 Q And 3M is more knowledgeable with respect 2 to any of the studies, externally and internally, 3 that are done on forced-air warming devices, the 4 Bair Hugger, than any other person in the world?</p> <p>5 A The company is more knowledgeable --</p> <p>6 Q Yeah.</p> <p>7 A The question is: Is the company more knowledgeable than any person in the world?</p> <p>9 Q Yeah.</p> <p>10 A Oh, I mean, there certainly could be some experts who know more than the company.</p> <p>12 Q Who knows more about the Bair Hugger than 13 you?</p> <p>14 A Oh, I would think, you know, Professor Sessler, probably, you know, at least its therapeutic applications.</p> <p>17 Q I'm talking about the overall Bair Hugger, its risk, its design, its -- you know, 19 about the studies that are out there?</p> <p>20 A Yeah, probably me.</p> <p>21 Q Okay. And that was your -- one of your 22 main roles was to keep up-to-date on all the 23 literature regarding the Bair Hugger devices, 24 correct?</p> <p>25 A Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 161</p> <p>1 that was new to you in 2012?</p> <p>2 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 3 question, misstates and mischaracterizes the 4 timeline.</p> <p>5 A So it wasn't new to me, but I did not see most of those letters.</p> <p>7 Q Do you remember informing Gary Hansen in 8 2012 with respect to the Scott Augustine issue, 9 and you said, "The following point I want to emphasize is that Scott did not just have a recent epiphany concerning forced-air warming and particulates. Clinicians had expressed concerns about this very issue even while Scott was at Augustine Medical"?</p> <p>15 A Yes, that sounds like something I wrote.</p> <p>16 Q So it wasn't something new to you regarding particulates over the surgical site when you -- when Scott Augustine started sending letters to 3M?</p> <p>20 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the question.</p> <p>22 A Well -- I'm sorry, could you restate it?</p> <p>23 Q You have known since 1994 there was an issue with particulates over the surgical site caused by the Bair Hugger?</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 162	Page 164
<p>1 A Well, I've known that customers had 2 perceptions about whether that was appropriate or 3 not.</p> <p>4 Q Okay. And with respect to the issue of 5 determining whether or not the Bair Hugger 6 increases particles over the surgical site, no 7 testing was done by 3M except for Hall and Zink 8 until 2013, correct?</p> <p>9 A Regarding particles or regarding bacteria 10 or infection?</p> <p>11 Q Particles or bacteria.</p> <p>12 A Well, two different things.</p> <p>13 Q Okay.</p> <p>14 A So are we talking about --</p> <p>15 Q Let's talk about particles. Okay, let's 16 start this way: 3M never conducted any particle 17 tests with respect to the Bair Hugger in an 18 operating room until they commissioned this test 19 done by Sessler and Olmsted, correct?</p> <p>20 A That's correct.</p> <p>21 Q With respect to bacteria, the only test 22 done with bacteria by 3M or the company was Zink 23 and Hall?</p> <p>24 A Well, I mean, there were studies that 25 looked at infection rates. Like Oguz, for</p>	<p>1 looked at the absolute number of particles, 2 there's always an increase when the Bair Hugger 3 was used on average, correct?</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q Okay. And in fact, 3M personnel were 6 actually involved in that study and actually went 7 to the Netherlands to help conduct the study, 8 correct?</p> <p>9 A They were not involved in the study, they 10 went to observe.</p> <p>11 Q Were you one of the people that went to 12 observe?</p> <p>13 A Yes.</p> <p>14 Q Okay. And just to be clear, no studies 15 were done by 3M prior to the Sessler-Olmsted 16 study with respect to the particles?</p> <p>17 A Not to my recollection.</p> <p>18 Q Now, you mentioned other studies, CFD was 19 done internally at 3M?</p> <p>20 A There was -- I'm trying to recall. Well, 21 part of what year again are we talking about?</p> <p>22 Q Prior to the litigation.</p> <p>23 A So --</p> <p>24 Q 2013?</p> <p>25 A -- '13. I think there was some work done</p>
Page 163	Page 165
<p>1 example, looked like at the difference between 2 infectious particle-forming units or 3 colony-forming units in operating rooms with and 4 without forced-air warming.</p> <p>5 Q Okay. So you have Oguz and that was in 6 2016, '17, '15?</p> <p>7 A It might have been. I'm not sure about 8 the year.</p> <p>9 Q But internally or commissioned by 3M or 10 the company looking at bacteria, it was only Zink 11 and Hall, correct?</p> <p>12 A Well, I mean, I'd have to confirm in my 13 library, but that's probably correct.</p> <p>14 Q So from Zink, which was 2003 to the 15 present, no tests were done with respect to 16 bacteria counts over the surgical site that were 17 either done or commissioned by the company?</p> <p>18 A No, but there were studies done. I mean, 19 Michael Avadon's study, for example.</p> <p>20 Q I'm saying commissioned or done by the 21 company.</p> <p>22 A Well, again, I'd have to confirm by 23 looking at my library, but I think that's right.</p> <p>24 Q Okay. And with respect to the 25 Sessler-Olmsted study on particles, when you</p>	<p>1 by Memarzadeh. I'm not sure that we -- I don't 2 think we commissioned him to do it.</p> <p>3 Q But with respect to 3M or the company, 4 they did not -- was there any CFD performed 5 internally --</p> <p>6 A Before 2013, I don't believe so.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. What about after 2013?</p> <p>8 A There may have been some done, but I'm 9 not entirely certain about that either. At that 10 point there were external investigators that were 11 doing that work.</p> <p>12 Q What other studies were performed prior 13 to 2013 -- or strike that.</p> <p>14 What other internal studies were done by 15 3M or the company with respect to bacteria at any 16 time?</p> <p>17 A Internal studies?</p> <p>18 Q Yeah.</p> <p>19 A None that I know of.</p> <p>20 Q What about with respect to particulates, 21 besides Sessler and Olmsted? I'm just trying to 22 get a list of everything that was done internally 23 by 3M.</p> <p>24 A Well, with respect to particles, I don't 25 think there were any.</p>

42 (Pages 162 to 165)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 166	Page 168
<p>1 Q By the way, just to clarify something 2 with respect to the Sessler-Olmsted study on 3 particles, 3M was involved in the editing of the 4 manuscript, correct?</p> <p>5 A I think we got to see the manuscript. I 6 don't believe I made any edits to that 7 manuscript.</p> <p>8 Q What about Gary Hansen?</p> <p>9 A He might have.</p> <p>10 Q Changing gears a little bit. We've been 11 talking about the risks of particle and bacteria 12 over the surgical site. You would agree with me 13 that for certain operations, such as orthopedic 14 implants, the risk of increased particles is 15 greater than for maybe some other surgeries such 16 as colorectal?</p> <p>17 A No, I wouldn't agree with that.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. In marketing the Bair Hugger 500 19 OR and beyond, for all the Bair Huggers that are 20 listed in the OR, 3M did not distinguish or 21 determine the type of benefit for different type 22 of surgeries that the Bair Hugger may be used in, 23 correct?</p> <p>24 A 3M didn't, no.</p> <p>25 Q Okay. And they did not commission a</p>	<p>1 A The study by Frisch did show that. But, 2 again, small study and the infection rates in 3 orthopedic implant surgeries are exceedingly low; 4 and therefore, to have appropriate power to 5 detect differences, the studies have to be 6 enormous.</p> <p>7 Q Let me put this way: When 3M marketed 8 and designed the Bair Hugger for intraoperative 9 warming, there were no studies conducted by 3M to 10 identify benefits, if any, for the different type 11 of surgeries that the Bair Hugger could be used 12 for?</p> <p>13 A Well, the benefits to maintaining 14 normothermia are not exclusive to particular 15 kinds of surgery. They're related to the 16 physiology of human beings under anesthesia.</p> <p>17 Q Was there a study done with respect to 18 the benefits of using the forced -- the Bair 19 Hugger in orthopedic hip and knee implants prior 20 to using them in orthopedic surgeries?</p> <p>21 A No. I'm sure that forced-air warming was 22 used in lots of hip and knee implant surgeries 23 before any studies were done.</p> <p>24 Q With respect to -- with respect to the 25 studies on forced-air warming and infection risk,</p>
<p>1 study with respect to, for example, the benefits 2 of forced-air warming for orthopedic implant 3 surgeries?</p> <p>4 A No.</p> <p>5 Q And in fact, with respect to hip and knee 6 implant surgeries, there's no scientific evidence 7 that forced-air warming -- intraoperative 8 forced-air warming has any benefit for those 9 surgeries?</p> <p>10 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 11 question; also outside of the scope of the 12 30(b)(6).</p> <p>13 You can answer.</p> <p>14 A So I'm aware of at least one study that I 15 can think of, and that was a study by Scott at -- 16 and I've forgotten the institution where it was 17 conducted, a composite outcome of surgical site 18 infections plus post-operative infections was 19 reduced by intraoperative warming.</p> <p>20 Q But that was for all surgeries, which 21 included orthopedic surgery.</p> <p>22 A It included orthopedic surgery, yeah.</p> <p>23 Q But other studies, such as Frisch, showed 24 that there's no benefit for maintaining 25 normothermia for orthopedic surgeries, correct?</p>	<p>1 3M relies on the Sessler study of 1996, Curt 2 Sessler study, correct?</p> <p>3 A One of.</p> <p>4 Q And that was a dirty surgery, correct?</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q Okay. And based on that study, 3M 7 marketed the Bair Hugger, used that to support 8 the Bair Hugger to be used in all surgeries?</p> <p>9 A Well, that wasn't the only surgical study 10 that showed benefit to intraoperative 11 normothermia. There were several studies that 12 looked at things like bleeding, transfusion 13 rates, you know, a number of -- a number of 14 outcomes other than infections to demonstrate 15 that intraoperative normothermia was beneficial.</p> <p>16 Q But my question is with respect to 17 orthopedic surgery and knee and hip surgeries. 18 There were no studies to evaluate the 19 risk-benefit analysis for orthopedic surgeries 20 such as hip and knee by 3M or the company?</p> <p>21 A That's correct.</p> <p>22 Q Okay. You agree with me that a deep 23 joint infection is a serious complication of hip 24 and knee surgeries, correct?</p> <p>25 A Very serious.</p>
	43 (Pages 166 to 169)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 170	Page 172
<p>1 Q Okay. And that risk would outweigh any 2 of the benefits provided by forced-air warming of 3 hip and knee patients during intraoperative 4 forced-air warming, correct? 5 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 6 question, incomplete hypothetical, lack of 7 foundation and outside the scope. 8 A So other than death, other than the 9 prevention of death, probably there aren't other 10 outcomes that are more important than a deep 11 joint infection. 12 Q Let me ask it this way, make it simple. 13 Assuming that the Bair Hugger device 14 significantly increases the risk of deep joint 15 infection, that assumption, you would agree that 16 that risk would outweigh any benefits for the use 17 of forced-air warming during hip and knee 18 surgeries? 19 A No. The prevention of death would be 20 more important than the increased risk of 21 surgical site infection. 22 Q Are you saying that forced-air warming 23 prevents death? 24 A I'm just saying that if -- when you look 25 at the study by Scott, for example, 30-day</p>	<p>1 device? 2 A I don't believe any substantial ones. 3 There may have been some airflow changes. 4 Q Do you know if the airflow changed 5 between the 500? 6 A I think it went from 28 to 33. I was 7 just reading that in the manual here. 8 Q Okay. So besides the physical -- I mean, 9 the real changes we look at between the devices 10 are going to be the filter, and the airflow and 11 the temperature output, correct? 12 A Yes. 13 Q Okay. Whether the device is smaller or 14 bigger, that's irrelevant for the function of the 15 device? 16 A For those characteristics, yes. 17 Q I mean, the characteristics that matter 18 for warming are -- is the temperature coming out 19 of a nozzle and the airflow coming out of the 20 nozzle, correct? 21 A Yes. 22 Q And filtration deals with whether or not 23 what particles are coming out of the nozzle, 24 correct? 25 A Yes.</p>
<p>1 all-cause mortality is reduced in patients, in 2 the group of patients assigned to intraoperative 3 warming and normothermia. 4 So I'm not saying that Bair Hugger 5 reduces death, but I am saying that normothermia, 6 intraoperative normothermia, which is reduced 7 with all-cause mortality, 30-day mortality. 8 Q And you're relying on the Scott study? 9 A Among -- yeah, yeah. 10 Q Scott study? 11 A Hmm? 12 Q That's what you're relying on, the Scott 13 study? 14 A Yes. And I believe there are a couple by 15 P.J. Devereux in Canada as well that showed -- 16 had similar outcomes. 17 Q With respect to -- with respect to the 18 change in the device between the 500 and the 500 19 OR, besides the filter and the temperature, were 20 there any other changes done? 21 A Well, the whole device is different. 22 Q Let me rephrase that. I understand that 23 the shape of the device and everything, but with 24 the air flow coming out and the temperature and 25 the filter, were there any output changes of the</p>	<p>1 Q Okay. But we agree inside the hose there 2 are particles and bacteria? 3 A It's not sterile, that's correct. 4 Q Okay. So whether or not it prevents 5 additional particles coming in through the bottom 6 of the machine or through the filter, there would 7 be bacteria or particles coming out of the hose 8 because the hose is usually contaminated with 9 bacteria and particles? 10 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 11 question. 12 A I'm sorry, would you restate that? 13 Q The filter is not going to prevent 14 particles and bacteria coming out of the end of 15 the hose because particles and bacteria are 16 inside the hose? 17 MR. GORDON: I'll object to the form of 18 the question. 19 A Again, it's not sterile; so yeah, I would 20 expect. 21 Q And 3M is aware that on many studies that 22 have done microbiological tests on the inside of 23 the hose, that there is usually bacteria that is 24 found inside the hose? 25 A Yes.</p>

44 (Pages 170 to 173)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 174	Page 176
<p>1 Q Okay.</p> <p>2 A But we're also aware that studies where a 3 blanket is put at the end of the hose are 4 generally sterile, have sterile conditions under 5 the blanket.</p> <p>6 Q Are you talking about Avadon?</p> <p>7 A Avadon is one, yeah.</p> <p>8 Q But not Oguz? Oguz found --</p> <p>9 A Not Oguz. That was a multi-site sampling 10 study.</p> <p>11 Q Okay.</p> <p>12 A And I think also Mike Reed and his 13 colleagues also found that doing essentially what 14 Michael Avadon did, that they were unable to 15 recover bacteria from beneath the blanket.</p> <p>16 Q On a published study?</p> <p>17 A No.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. You're aware that the published 19 study came out of Stanford recently?</p> <p>20 A Yes.</p> <p>21 Q Okay. That found bacteria coming out of 22 the blanket, correct?</p> <p>23 A Well, I think it was out at the end of 24 the nose nozzle, if I remember correctly.</p> <p>25 Q So between the 500 and the 500 OR, the</p>	<p>1 Q What was the airflow?</p> <p>2 A I don't recall the exact number. 48 CFM, 3 I believe, but it could be even more.</p> <p>4 Q And the same filter?</p> <p>5 A The same filter material, yes.</p> <p>6 Q Okay. And then the 775, I kind of 7 counted as a combination between the 505 and the 8 750, correct?</p> <p>9 A Well, I think it's -- it mainly has a fan 10 switch that lowers the fan speed.</p> <p>11 Q To the same, that would be for the 505 12 and then the high speed would be like the 750?</p> <p>13 A Yes.</p> <p>14 Q The same temperature, 43 degrees?</p> <p>15 A Same temperatures.</p> <p>16 Q And same filter?</p> <p>17 A And same filter.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. And I understand there's some 19 other design changes, like the 750 had the 20 temperature sensor at the end of the hose as 21 compared to inside the machine, like the 505?</p> <p>22 A That's right.</p> <p>23 Q Okay. And during the generations of the 24 500 OR to the present, it's 3M's testimony that 25 they never considered adding a warning regarding</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 175</p> <p>1 change of temperature to 43 degrees celsius in 2 500 OR increased the airflow, correct?</p> <p>3 A By a small amount, yes.</p> <p>4 Q To 33. And put a .2 micron filter?</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q Okay. The 505 has a .2 micron filter?</p> <p>7 A Yes.</p> <p>8 Q Same temperature as the 500?</p> <p>9 A Yes.</p> <p>10 Q And the same airflow?</p> <p>11 A Well, the same upper limit temperature.</p> <p>12 Q Upper limit temperature?</p> <p>13 A Yep.</p> <p>14 Q And the same airflow?</p> <p>15 A I'm not entirely certain that it's 16 exactly the same, but it's roughly the same.</p> <p>17 Q Okay. And then the 705, same 18 temperature?</p> <p>19 A 750?</p> <p>20 Q 750. Same temperature?</p> <p>21 A Yes.</p> <p>22 Q 43 degrees?</p> <p>23 A Yes.</p> <p>24 Q Increased airflow?</p> <p>25 A Substantially higher airflow.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 177</p> <p>1 like airborne contamination?</p> <p>2 A Not to my recollection did we ever 3 consider that.</p> <p>4 Q Okay. And with respect to studies, the 5 only epidemiology study conducted regarding 6 infection rates and Bair Hugger is the McGovern 7 study, correct?</p> <p>8 A I'm sorry, would you restate it?</p> <p>9 Q The only epidemiology study conducted 10 between -- any relationship between the Bair 11 Hugger and deep joint infections is the McGovern 12 study?</p> <p>13 MR. GORDON: I'll object to the form of 14 the question and assumes facts not in evidence.</p> <p>15 How are you defining epidemiology study?</p> <p>16 MR. ASSAAD: As everyone else would 17 define it.</p> <p>18 MR. GORDON: Why did you exclude 19 Augustine then?</p> <p>20 A So I'm sorry, would you restate it once 21 more?</p> <p>22 BY MR. ASSAAD:</p> <p>23 Q With respect to epidemiology studies 24 looking at Bair Hugger and deep joint infections, 25 what studies are out there?</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 178	Page 180
<p>1 A Well, I think Curtis is one, Scott is 2 one; not strictly limited to deep joint, but 3 orthopedic procedures.</p> <p>4 Q Let me rephrase my question: With 5 respect to an epidemiological study determining 6 if there was a correlation between forced-air 7 warming and deep joint infection rates, what 8 studies are out there?</p> <p>9 A Well, I mean, I think I did an 10 epidemiological study that was internal that 11 looked at a number of Bair Hugger warming units 12 versus reported infection rates from the -- I 13 think it was AHRQ or CDC, I don't remember, one 14 of those, so that one was done.</p> <p>15 Q McGovern?</p> <p>16 A The McGovern.</p> <p>17 Q Okay. What else?</p> <p>18 A I mean, I'm sorry, I am just not 19 recalling any epidemiological studies.</p> <p>20 Q Now, would you agree with me that the 21 epidemiological study that you did was not 22 published?</p> <p>23 A Oh, yeah, it was not published.</p> <p>24 Q And it was not peer reviewed?</p> <p>25 A No.</p>	<p>1 talking about. 2 As you defined it, you know that 3 Augustine meets that criteria. I understand why 4 you don't want to talk about it, but you can't 5 make believe that it doesn't exist.</p> <p>6 MR. ASSAAD: Are you representing that 7 the Augustine paper, I assume the one that you're 8 referring to is 2017 --</p> <p>9 MR. GORDON: That's right.</p> <p>10 MR. ASSAAD: -- is peer reviewed?</p> <p>11 MR. GORDON: It is peer reviewed, 12 published, and it is completely fraudulent.</p> <p>13 MR. ASSAAD: Well, that wasn't my 14 question. You agree that it's peer reviewed?</p> <p>15 MR. GORDON: Absolutely.</p> <p>16 MR. ASSAAD: Okay.</p> <p>17 BY MR. ASSAAD:</p> <p>18 Q Let me ask it this way: Is 3M aware of 19 any epidemiological study that states that there 20 is no correlation between forced-air warming and 21 deep joint infections?</p> <p>22 A I don't believe so.</p> <p>23 Q Since the application -- withdraw the 24 question.</p> <p>25 The McGovern study was published prior to</p>
Page 179	Page 181
<p>1 Q Would you agree with me that the only 2 peer reviewed, epidemiological study that looked 3 at forced-air warming and periprosthetic joint 4 infections is the McGovern-Reed study?</p> <p>5 MR. GORDON: I object to the form of the 6 question.</p> <p>7 And Gabe, you're an officer of the Court. 8 How can you ask that question? You know that 9 Augustine has a peer reviewed, published 10 epidemiological study that does exactly what you 11 said. I don't know why --</p> <p>12 MR. ASSAAD: Peer reviewed?</p> <p>13 MR. GORDON: Yes, peer reviewed, 14 published study. And I do know why you want to 15 avoid mentioning it, but when you frame the 16 question that way. I thought you were --</p> <p>17 MR. ASSAAD: Do you want him to step out 18 so you can make your objection? But I don't like 19 you coaching the witness.</p> <p>20 MR. GORDON: I don't like you -- I mean, 21 you know, that that's not -- the McGovern is not 22 the only study.</p> <p>23 MR. ASSAAD: I'm asking for peer review.</p> <p>24 MR. GORDON: Yeah. That's why I tried to 25 clarify, because I didn't know what you're</p>	<p>1 the 775 being released into the market, correct?</p> <p>2 A Yes, I think so. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q And I can't remember the year the 750 was 4 released into the market. Was it 2012 or before 5 then?</p> <p>6 A It was -- oh, it was before that.</p> <p>7 Q Okay.</p> <p>8 A Yeah.</p> <p>9 Q So the 775. So when the McGovern study 10 came out and prior to the marketing of the 775, 11 were there any discussions by 3M to warn about 12 the risk of the Bair Hugger device in orthopedic 13 surgeries?</p> <p>14 A I don't believe so.</p> <p>15 Q And Michael Reed is a paid consultant for 16 3M currently, correct?</p> <p>17 A I don't believe so.</p> <p>18 Q At any point?</p> <p>19 A A paid consultant?</p> <p>20 Q Yes.</p> <p>21 A I don't think so.</p> <p>22 Q Was he ever doing research on behalf or 23 receive any grants from 3M?</p> <p>24 A Well, unintentionally, yes. He was hired 25 by a group of researchers to help them continue a</p>

46 (Pages 178 to 181)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 182	Page 184
<p>1 study, yes. This was after the study was 2 commissioned. I assume you're referring to the 3 Rio study, right? 4 Q Yeah. 5 A Yeah. 6 Q And Michael Reed headed one of the issues 7 in the International Consensus of the Orthopedic 8 Surgeons meetings, right? 9 A He what? 10 Q He headed one of the issues, one of the 11 questions regarding forced-air warming in the 12 meeting of the International Consensus? 13 A Yes. 14 MR. GORDON: 2018, right? That's what 15 you're asking about? 16 MR. ASSAAD: Yeah. 17 BY MR. ASSAAD: 18 Q And 3M has had meetings with Michael Reed 19 regarding or Mr. Reed regarding his studies on 20 forced-air warming? 21 A Not to my knowledge. 22 Q You're not aware of any meetings back in, 23 like, 2012 or 2013? 24 A Unaware of any. 25 Q Okay. You understand that Mr. Reed is</p>	<p>1 question, compound. 2 A So I think the McGovern study came out 3 before the acquisition by 3M, so that would have 4 been Arizant, I think? What year was that study? 5 Q 2011. 6 A Oh, right after. I don't recall any -- I 7 don't recall any discussions about whether we 8 should do a study, because we thought that the 9 McGovern study had some substantial flaws. 10 Q Well, as we've discussed before, every 11 study has limitations, correct? 12 A Yes. 13 Q Okay. And you rely on Zink and Hall and 14 Teenier, which have significant limitations in 15 your marketing material to your consumers, 16 correct? 17 A Well, again, I was talking about the 18 McGovern study that there were -- 19 Q I understand that. But there's articles 20 that 3M relies upon to market their devices, and 21 those articles have limitations as well, correct? 22 A Yes. 23 Q Okay. I don't know if you recall, but I 24 used the term "flaws" and you said "limitations" 25 but now you're using the term "flaws." So are we</p>
<p>1 one of the authors of the McGovern study? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Okay. And you read his depo -- 4 deposition in the multi-district litigation? 5 A Not recently, but I did read it prior to 6 the first deposition. 7 Q After the McGovern study came out and 8 prior to the 775, were there any discussions at 9 3M to conduct a study to determine whether or not 10 there is a correlation between forced-air warming 11 and deep joint infections? 12 A Well, I think we had -- we had 13 discussions about the pragmatic issues regarding 14 the studies of that size. We certainly had 15 discussions about the McGovern study. 16 Q I'm aware of those. I'm wondering -- 17 there's a McGovern study that correlates or is in 18 association between forced-air warming and deep 19 joint infections. 20 Did 3M have any discussions regarding 21 performing a study to determine whether or not 22 that it's actually true or not? Or even putting 23 a warning identifying what was in the study to 24 its customers? 25 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the</p>	<p>1 going to use the term flaws or limitations? 2 A Limitations is good. 3 Q Limitation is a more correct response? 4 A Yes. 5 Q Okay. So assuming that the McGovern has 6 limitations, but every study that 3M uses to 7 promote the Bair Hugger product has limitations 8 as well, correct? 9 A I'm sure that you could find limitations 10 in every study, yes; but not every limitations 11 are equivalent. 12 Q I understand that. You would agree that 13 the Zink study has significant limitations? 14 A I mean, it's quite old, yes. 15 Q And it was eight patients? 16 A Yes. 17 Q Okay. I mean, it's a very, very, very, 18 very, very, very weak study. Do you agree? 19 A Very small. 20 Q A very weak study? 21 A Okay, yeah. 22 Q If you look at the McGovern study 23 compared to the Zink study, the McGovern study is 24 a much stronger study than the Zink study? 25 A Well, it recruited more subjects. I get</p>

47 (Pages 182 to 185)

CONFIDENTIAL

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 186</p> <p>1 we could argue about whether it's stronger.</p> <p>2 Q And then you rely upon the Hall and 3 Teenier study in many of your marketing 4 materials, correct?</p> <p>5 A I don't think we rely on those materials 6 any longer for modern marketing materials.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. But you have in the past?</p> <p>8 A Yeah.</p> <p>9 Q Up until recently, I think, until like 10 maybe 2015, 2016, I've seen it.</p> <p>11 A It's possible, but we don't any longer.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. And that's a study that's not even 13 published, correct?</p> <p>14 A Which study?</p> <p>15 Q Hall and Teenier.</p> <p>16 A Right, it's a poster.</p> <p>17 Q It's a poster.</p> <p>18 MR. ASSAAD: Let's mark this as Exhibit 19 Number 7.</p> <p>20 (Whereupon, Exhibit Number 7 was marked 21 for identification.)</p> <p>22 BY MR. ASSAAD:</p> <p>23 Q In fact, I would like to point out about 24 this poster on the second page, the study which 25 3M has relied upon in numerous marketing</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 188</p> <p>1 or the company has conducted no study to 2 determine whether the statement is accurate or 3 not, correct?</p> <p>4 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 5 question.</p> <p>6 A Well, again, there are numerous studies 7 of the use of Bair Hugger in the operating room 8 that look at microbial contamination in the 9 operating room. Now, we may not have funded 10 those studies, but there are studies.</p> <p>11 Q Well, this study was commissioned by 12 Augustine, correct?</p> <p>13 A Yes.</p> <p>14 Q Okay. And based on the knowledge back 15 then, the authors here said "the device may cause 16 alteration of air movement in the OR"?</p> <p>17 A May.</p> <p>18 Q Okay, "may." Which means air movements 19 within the OR is caused by blowing air in the OR, 20 correct?</p> <p>21 A That's --</p> <p>22 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 23 question and also lack of foundation.</p> <p>24 Q I mean, you're not going to alter air 25 movement within the OR by the type of filter that</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 187</p> <p>1 materials and publications under "discussion" 2 states: "The device may cause alteration of air 3 movements within the OR, and it is unknown if 4 this results in increased contamination of the 5 surgical field or operating instruments." 6 Do you see where it says that under 7 "discussion"?</p> <p>8 A Yeah, I do see this.</p> <p>9 Q Okay. So this study that 3M relies 10 upon -- and I think if you even want to look at 11 Exhibit Number 6, it identifies these two 12 studies. The device -- it states, "The device 13 may cause alteration of air movements within the 14 OR, and it is unknown if this result is increased 15 contamination of the surgical field or operating 16 instruments," correct?</p> <p>17 A I see that, yes.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. So number one, the device may 19 cause alteration of air movements within the OR, 20 correct?</p> <p>21 A Right. I mean, they acknowledge it may 22 cause.</p> <p>23 Q This is 1991, correct?</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p> <p>25 Q Okay. And 3M has conducted no study --</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 189</p> <p>1 you're using on the device, correct?</p> <p>2 A Well, no, that would be the air being 3 exhausted from the unit.</p> <p>4 Q So the effect, when it says "the device 5 may cause alteration of air movements within the 6 OR," that is as a result of the heated air being 7 blown out of the Bair Hugger device?</p> <p>8 A Well, I don't think it necessarily has to 9 be heated, but I think that's what they're 10 getting at is that the movement of air from the 11 device.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. And "it is unknown if this results 13 in increased contamination of the surgical field 14 or operating instruments." I read that 15 correctly?</p> <p>16 A Yes.</p> <p>17 Q So the study is saying that as of 1991, 18 according to the study commissioned by Augustine, 19 it is unknown if it's going to be an increased 20 contamination of the surgical field, correct?</p> <p>21 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 22 question. Totally mischaracterizes. That's what 23 the study was about. They're setting up what the 24 study is about. Go on to the next page where 25 they answer the question.</p>

48 (Pages 186 to 189)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 190	Page 192
<p>1 MR. ASSAAD: I'm going to get there. I'm 2 going to get there. 3 BY MR. ASSAAD: 4 Q "It is unknown if this results in 5 increased contamination of the surgical field," 6 correct? That's what it says? 7 A That's what it says. 8 Q Okay. "Or operating instruments," 9 correct? 10 A Yes. 11 Q So you don't want to contaminate -- a 12 surgeon doesn't want to contaminate the surgical 13 field or the operating instruments, correct? 14 A That's true. 15 Q Okay. If you want to go to the 16 conclusions, the last paragraph, it says: "The 17 risks of this method of thermal maintenance --" 18 can we agree thermal maintenance is blowing hot 19 air, right? 20 A Bair Hugger, yes. 21 Q "The risk of this method of thermal 22 maintenance" -- "the risks of this method of 23 thermal maintenance," which means the risk of 24 using the Bair Hugger, correct? 25 A Right, not just infection risk.</p>	<p>1 don't know. 2 Q Okay. Well, I don't see -- I mean, you 3 have the anesthesia machine, you have the 4 anesthesia cart, you have the table. You don't 5 see any of the dishes on the table, the OR table 6 or any other table in the room, correct? 7 A Yeah, I was just looking to see what 8 their method section had to say about that. 9 Q And, in fact, as we've discussed before, 10 we're talking about the risk of this method of 11 thermal maintenance, which is using hot air, 12 okay? Hot air we discussed is going to bring the 13 contaminants up and mix with the air above it, 14 not below it, correct, where the air is coming 15 out of? 16 A I'm sorry, would you restate it? 17 Q As the hot air comes out of the Bair 18 Hugger -- 19 A Yes. 20 Q -- the Bair Hugger is on the operating 21 room table. These, I believe, are dental -- 22 dental patients? 23 A Yes. 24 Q Okay. So the Bair Hugger is on them, 25 correct?</p>
<p>1 Q Is not completely known. That's the 2 conclusion, right? 3 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 4 question, incomplete -- 5 A This study of bacterial contamination in 6 six locations reveals that the Bair Hugger does 7 not increase the rate of contamination. 8 Q So the six locations that they use in the 9 Hall and Teenier study did not increase bacterial 10 -- did not show increased bacterial levels on the 11 dishes, right? 12 A That's correct. 13 Q Okay. And you agree with me that none of 14 the locations are on the surgical site, correct? 15 Diagram one. 16 A Yeah, I was looking to see whether they 17 actually -- oh, okay. Yeah. It doesn't look 18 like they put any on the surgical site. 19 Q And actually all of them, all the dishes 20 were on the floor? 21 A Yes. 22 Q Okay. None of them were on instrument 23 tables, correct? 24 A I don't know if five or six, were they -- 25 they may have all been placed on the floor. I</p>	<p>1 A Yes. 2 Q On their legs? 3 A Mmm-hmm. 4 Q Blowing hot air down, correct? The hot 5 air is less dense than the cold air, correct? 6 A Yes. 7 Q So it's going to cause an upward flow, 8 correct? 9 A Yes. 10 Q Okay. So putting the dishes -- 11 A Assuming that it's not counteracted by 12 the conventional ventilation in the operating 13 room. 14 Q Okay. So putting the Apgar dishes on the 15 ground really doesn't show you the effect of the 16 Bair Hugger on the surgical site or on the 17 instrument tables, correct? 18 A That's fair. 19 Q Okay. So you would agree with me that 20 the Hall study does not answer the question of 21 whether or not the Bair Hugger increases bacteria 22 on the surgical site or the instruments that are 23 on an instrument table? 24 A That's correct. 25 Q Thank you. Going back to Hall, it's the</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 194	Page 196
<p>1 last paragraph, says: "The risks of this method 2 of thermal maintenance are not completely known."</p> <p>3 When did 3M become aware of whether or 4 not thermal maintenance such as the Bair Hugger 5 was a risk or not a risk with respect to 6 contamination of the surgical site?</p> <p>7 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 8 question.</p> <p>9 Q Well, as you said. I'll withdraw the 10 question.</p> <p>11 Hall didn't answer the question of 12 whether or not the Bair Hugger increases bacteria 13 over the surgical site or on the instrument 14 tables as you stated previously, correct?</p> <p>15 A Yes.</p> <p>16 Q Okay. When was there conclusive evidence 17 that the Bair Hugger did not increase bacteria 18 over the surgical site or the operating -- or the 19 table in which the operating instruments were on?</p> <p>20 A Well --</p> <p>21 Q If it ever did.</p> <p>22 A Well, I mean, the outcome of interest, of 23 course, is surgical site infection. And at least 24 in the case of Kurz' 1995 paper, it was a 25 reduction in surgical site infection in patients</p>	<p>1 Q We don't know whether or not Scott was 2 deep joint -- superficial or deep joint, correct?</p> <p>3 A We don't, yeah.</p> <p>4 Q Okay. So the question I have is: There 5 is no evidence, conclusive evidence, randomized 6 control style -- study, observational, 7 retrospective, that forced-air warming reduces 8 the incident of deep joint infections, correct?</p> <p>9 A To my knowledge, there hasn't been a 10 study large enough to show that, yeah.</p> <p>11 Q So what we have, is what we do know, 12 according to orthopedic surgeons, particulates -- 13 an increase in particulates and bacteria 14 increases the risk of deep joint infections for 15 hip and knee implant surgeries?</p> <p>16 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 17 question.</p> <p>18 A That's what most of them believe.</p> <p>19 Q That's well-settled in the orthopedic 20 science world, correct?</p> <p>21 MR. GORDON: Same objection.</p> <p>22 Q And the study of De Ruge (phonetic) 23 supports that, correct?</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p> <p>25 Q So okay. So what we can look at, because</p>
<p>1 with the Bair Hugger who had higher temperatures, 2 higher core temperatures.</p> <p>3 Q I'm not talking about outcomes. I'm 4 talking about --</p> <p>5 A Right. But that's -- the particulate 6 measures are just surrogates for the actual 7 outcome of interest, which is a decrease in 8 surgical site infection.</p> <p>9 Q Or increase?</p> <p>10 A Or increase.</p> <p>11 Q More particles, may mean more increase, 12 correct?</p> <p>13 A Maybe. But what we really care about is 14 the reduction in surgical site infection.</p> <p>15 Q Okay. Well, there is absolutely no 16 evidence that forced-air warming reduces the 17 incident of deep joint infections, correct?</p> <p>18 A No. I think the Scott -- Scott's paper, 19 the orthopedic -- there is a group of orthopedic 20 patients in there that had a composite outcome 21 that was --</p> <p>22 Q Scott refers to SSIs.</p> <p>23 A I'm sorry?</p> <p>24 Q Scott refers to SSIs.</p> <p>25 A Yes, right.</p>	<p>1 you're right, doing a large enough study on deep 2 joint infections might be impossible to do, 3 correct?</p> <p>4 A It might be.</p> <p>5 Q Okay. So we can look at the second best 6 thing of whether or not the Bair Hugger increases 7 bacteria over the surgical site, correct?</p> <p>8 A Well, not really. Because, again, that's 9 a surrogate for surgical site infection. We 10 don't know the relationship. While it's true 11 that many surgeons believe that an increase in 12 bacteria or particles over the surgical site can 13 increase infection risk, it's not settled 14 completely.</p> <p>15 There is a not a fixed relationship 16 between an increased measurement of particles or 17 bacteria at the surgical site and a subsequent 18 increase in surgical site infection.</p> <p>19 Q Maybe I'll just ask it this way. Maybe 20 we can agree on something.</p> <p>21 There is no study out there that -- there 22 is no study that a forced-air warming device does 23 not increase the bacterial load over the surgical 24 site?</p> <p>25 A I'm sorry? State it one more time.</p>

50 (Pages 194 to 197)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 198	Page 200
<p>1 Q That forced-air warming does not increase 2 the bacterial load over the surgical site?</p> <p>3 A Well, I mean, there are studies that show 4 that it increases the particulate load. That's 5 different than the --</p> <p>6 Q I'm saying the opposite here. There is 7 no study that indicates that the Bair Hugger does 8 not increase the bacterial load over the surgical 9 site?</p> <p>10 A Okay. Yeah, that's true.</p> <p>11 Q Okay. There's no study that concludes 12 that the Bair Hugger does not increase the risk 13 of deep joint infection for hip and knee 14 implants?</p> <p>15 A I'm sorry? Say it one more time.</p> <p>16 Q There's no study that concludes that the 17 Bair Hugger does not increase the risk for a deep 18 joint infection in hip and knee implants?</p> <p>19 A That does not increase it?</p> <p>20 Q Yeah.</p> <p>21 A I don't believe there is one.</p> <p>22 Q Okay. 3M has never eliminated the 23 possibility that Bair Hugger can cause airborne 24 contamination?</p> <p>25 A Well, I mean, the Bair Hugger system has</p>	<p>1 A Particulates are merely a surrogate.</p> <p>2 Q Let's break it up then, okay? 3M cannot 3 scientifically state that the Bair Hugger does 4 not increase particles over the sterile field, 5 correct?</p> <p>6 A That it does not?</p> <p>7 Q Yeah.</p> <p>8 A Well, we have evidence that it does.</p> <p>9 Q Okay. So they can't state that, correct?</p> <p>10 A Well, we wouldn't.</p> <p>11 Q Okay. 3M cannot state that the Bair 12 Hugger does not increase bacterial contamination 13 over the sterile field, correct?</p> <p>14 A I'm sorry, say it one more time?</p> <p>15 Q 3M cannot state that the Bair Hugger does 16 not increase bacterial contamination over the 17 sterile field.</p> <p>18 A Well, again, I don't think we have 19 evidence to that.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. What we do have evidence is that 21 it increases particles over the sterile field, 22 correct?</p> <p>23 A We do have a study that shows that.</p> <p>24 Q And we do have evidence --</p> <p>25 A Small amounts.</p>
<p>1 filters and the blankets also form a portion of a 2 system that reduces particulates. So, I mean, 3 I'm not exactly sure what you're asking me to 4 agree to.</p> <p>5 Q There is no study that 3M is aware of 6 that concludes that Bair Hugger does not cause 7 airborne contamination over the surgical site in 8 an operating room?</p> <p>9 A That eliminates Bair Hugger as a cause of 10 bacterial contamination?</p> <p>11 Q Yeah, or particulates.</p> <p>12 A Or particulates.</p> <p>13 Q Airborne contamination in general.</p> <p>14 A Well, again, I can't think of a study 15 that would directly speak to that.</p> <p>16 Q Okay. In other words, if 3M wanted to 17 make a statement that the Bair Hugger does not 18 contaminate the sterile field, they would not 19 have any study to support that statement, 20 correct?</p> <p>21 A Well, they may not, but that's not the 22 critical outcome. The critical outcome is the 23 development of a post-surgical site infection. 24 That's the outcome that we're interested in.</p> <p>25 Q I understand that.</p>	<p>1 Q In an operating room a significant number 2 of particles are squamous, correct?</p> <p>3 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 4 question.</p> <p>5 A They can be, yes.</p> <p>6 Q Well, you're aware of the amount of 7 squamous that are generated during an operation 8 by the personnel in the patient in the operating 9 room, correct?</p> <p>10 A Yes.</p> <p>11 Q In the order of millions?</p> <p>12 A Yes.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. And you're aware of studies or 14 literature that indicates that the majority of 15 particles generated in an operating room is from 16 the personnel in the operating room?</p> <p>17 A Yes.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. And you agree that there is a 19 significant amount of the squamous that are 20 generated can carry bacteria?</p> <p>21 A Yes.</p> <p>22 Q Okay. And according to 3M's own expert, 23 he estimated about 40 percent of the squamous in 24 the operating room carry bacteria?</p> <p>25 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 202</p> <p>1 question, mischaracterizes the evidence, 2 misstates the evidence, lack of foundation and 3 also way beyond the scope of the 30(b)(6) 4 deposition.</p> <p>5 A Is this Angalingous (phonetic)? 6 Q Wenzel. 7 A Oh, Wenzel. So I'm sorry, would you 8 state it one more time? 9 Q Bacteria travel on squamous, correct? 10 A Among other things. 11 Q And everyone has bacteria on them, 12 correct? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Okay. A lot of bacteria, to be quite 15 honest? 16 A Yes. 17 Q So much we don't even think about it? 18 A Correct. 19 Q And -- 20 A Well, we don't know it. 21 Q -- and we can agree that a significant 22 number of the squamous that are in an operating 23 room contain bacteria? 24 A Yes. 25 Q Okay. And some say up to 40 percent of</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 204</p> <p>1 A Yes. 2 Q Therefore increasing the bacteria load of 3 the surgical site? 4 A Well, again, particles are not bacteria. 5 Q I understand that. But if a certain 6 percentage of particles carry bacteria, if you 7 increase the particles, logic would mean that you 8 would increase the bacteria? 9 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 10 question. 11 A Well, I mean -- 12 Q Statistics. 13 A Okay. 14 Q I mean, if you increase -- if you have a 15 million particles and 40 percent of them carry 16 bacteria, and you take that and you increase that 17 load of particles over the surgical site, some of 18 those particles will have bacteria on them, 19 right? 20 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 21 question, incomplete hypothetical, lack of 22 foundation, and beyond the scope of this 23 deposition, 30(b)(6). 24 A I mean, that makes mathematical sense, 25 yes.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 203</p> <p>1 the squamous carry bacteria? 2 A I'll assume that that's correct. 3 Q And 3M agrees to that? 4 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 5 question and beyond the scope. 6 A I mean, if Professor Wenzel said that 7 that's the number, then that's probably correct, 8 but that doesn't have anything to do with Bair 9 Hugger. 10 Q And with respect to the environment of 11 use and designing, for example, the 775, this is 12 information that 3M is aware of, you know, that 13 there's squamous in the operating room, correct? 14 A Yeah, particles. 15 Q That squamous carry bacteria? 16 A Yeah. 17 Q Okay. That physicians want to reduce 18 particles over the surgical site? 19 A Yes. 20 Q They want to reduce bacteria over the 21 surgical site? 22 A Yes. 23 Q Okay. That 3M was aware that the Bair 24 Hugger increases particles over the surgical 25 site?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 205</p> <p>1 Q And logical sense, correct? 2 MR. GORDON: Same objections. 3 A Yes. 4 Q Okay. And therefore -- withdraw that 5 question. 6 3M, with all of this knowledge, chose not 7 to warn physicians or hospitals regarding this 8 increased load -- or increase in bacteria over 9 the surgical site -- over the surgical site as a 10 result of the Bair Hugger, correct? 11 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 12 question, assumes facts not in evidence, 13 incomplete hypothetical, and beyond the scope of 14 30(b)(6). 15 A So I'm not seeing the relationship 16 between the skin squamous and the Bair Hugger. 17 What does one have to do with the other? I don't 18 understand that connection. 19 Q Forget about the skin squamous for now. 20 The mere fact that the Bair Hugger increases 21 particles over the surgical site you agree, 22 correct? 23 A It can, yes. 24 Q It does. Not it can, it does. 25 A Okay.</p>

52 (Pages 202 to 205)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 206	Page 208
<p>1 Q In every study it showed that, correct?</p> <p>2 A Well, in the studies that we know of, the</p> <p>3 particulate counts went up over the surgical</p> <p>4 site.</p> <p>5 Q We only know what we know because of</p> <p>6 science and a lot of this is through peer</p> <p>7 reviewed studies, correct?</p> <p>8 A Yes.</p> <p>9 Q Are you aware of studies that Bair Hugger</p> <p>10 does not increase particles over the surgical</p> <p>11 site?</p> <p>12 A I am not.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. So we know that increased</p> <p>14 particles of surgical site, therefore, it is</p> <p>15 going to increase the bacterial load over the</p> <p>16 surgical site, agree?</p> <p>17 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the</p> <p>18 question.</p> <p>19 A It may increase the bacterial load over</p> <p>20 the surgical site. I don't know where those</p> <p>21 bacteria end up landing. Obviously there's a lot</p> <p>22 of complex airflow that can sweep them away.</p> <p>23 Q As someone that's been in the medical</p> <p>24 device community for 30 years, you would agree</p> <p>25 that orthopedic surgeons -- that information</p>	<p>1 A But there's no evidence that it does.</p> <p>2 Q Okay. But there is evidence that 3M is</p> <p>3 aware that many orthopedic surgeons are of the</p> <p>4 opinion that increased particles over the</p> <p>5 surgical site increases the risk of a deep joint</p> <p>6 infection?</p> <p>7 MR. GORDON: Objection, asked and</p> <p>8 answered several times.</p> <p>9 A Well, I think we know from the</p> <p>10 International Consensus Committee that they do</p> <p>11 believe that.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. So the orthopedic surgeons know it</p> <p>13 or believe it. 3M is aware of the increased</p> <p>14 particles, but 3M chooses not to add a warning or</p> <p>15 add any caution regarding orthopedic surgeries or</p> <p>16 hip and knee implant surgeries in their manual,</p> <p>17 correct?</p> <p>18 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the</p> <p>19 question.</p> <p>20 A Well, again, the International Consensus</p> <p>21 Committee reviewed these papers and chose not to</p> <p>22 restrict the use of forced-air warming in</p> <p>23 orthopedic surgeries. They know all of this</p> <p>24 information.</p> <p>25 So yes, it's true, many orthopedic</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 207</p> <p>1 would want to be known to orthopedic surgeons</p> <p>2 that do hip and knee implant surgeries, correct?</p> <p>3 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the</p> <p>4 question, lack of foundation, outside the scope</p> <p>5 of this deposition.</p> <p>6 A I suspect that some would want to know</p> <p>7 that, yes.</p> <p>8 Q Okay. And 3M was aware of that</p> <p>9 information?</p> <p>10 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the</p> <p>11 question.</p> <p>12 A Well, in fact, this was published in a</p> <p>13 top tier journal.</p> <p>14 Q I understand that. But --</p> <p>15 A There's no attempt to hide it.</p> <p>16 Q Huh?</p> <p>17 A There was no attempt to hide it.</p> <p>18 Q 3M chose not to put any warnings in their</p> <p>19 manuals regarding the increase of particles as a</p> <p>20 result of the Bair Hugger over the surgical site,</p> <p>21 correct?</p> <p>22 A Because there is no evidence that it</p> <p>23 increases the risk of surgical site infection.</p> <p>24 Q And there's no evidence that it doesn't</p> <p>25 either?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 209</p> <p>1 surgeons believe that this could be a problem,</p> <p>2 but they as a group decided, based on the</p> <p>3 evidence that we're talking about, that it didn't</p> <p>4 -- it didn't -- it wasn't enough of a reason to</p> <p>5 restrict its use.</p> <p>6 Q The International Consensus didn't have</p> <p>7 any internal documents that 3M had or all the</p> <p>8 information that 3M had, correct?</p> <p>9 A Well, I think they have all of the</p> <p>10 publicly available science that we have.</p> <p>11 Q They didn't have the Schlieren testing</p> <p>12 that 3M had, correct?</p> <p>13 A Yeah, but that's a qualitative.</p> <p>14 Q They didn't have the CFD studies that 3M</p> <p>15 had?</p> <p>16 A Well, first of all, Schlieren doesn't</p> <p>17 show particulates.</p> <p>18 Q I understand that, but it shows thermal</p> <p>19 plumes.</p> <p>20 A They are all completely aware of that.</p> <p>21 It's hard to find an orthopedic textbook that</p> <p>22 doesn't have a Schlieren picture in it.</p> <p>23 Q My question is: Let's talk about what</p> <p>24 the International Consensus had. They had</p> <p>25 published studies, correct?</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 210	Page 212
<p>1 A Yes.</p> <p>2 Q They didn't have --</p> <p>3 A Plus the experience of their researchers.</p> <p>4 Q They didn't have the knowledge that 3M --</p> <p>5 all the knowledge that 3M has, correct?</p> <p>6 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the</p> <p>7 question, lack of foundation, beyond the scope.</p> <p>8 A Well, what knowledge do you mean?</p> <p>9 Q Well, do you know whether or not any of</p> <p>10 the voting members of the International Consensus</p> <p>11 was aware of the fact that every single study</p> <p>12 that looked at particulates over the surgical</p> <p>13 site showed an increase over the surgical site of</p> <p>14 particulates when the Bair Hugger was used?</p> <p>15 A Well, I'm not aware of whether they are</p> <p>16 aware of that. But again, they are experts whose</p> <p>17 professional careers are dependent on being aware</p> <p>18 of the literature.</p> <p>19 Q Let me ask you: Does a corporation such</p> <p>20 as 3M rely on consensus statements to formulate</p> <p>21 warnings for their medical devices?</p> <p>22 A Among other things.</p> <p>23 Q Okay. I mean, you're not sitting here</p> <p>24 today, 3M, and saying we're only going to put</p> <p>25 warning devices when an orthopedic surgeon or a</p>	<p>1 going to increase particles over the sterile</p> <p>2 field?</p> <p>3 A That may be, but the probability that it</p> <p>4 increases surgical site infection is not.</p> <p>5 Q That's fair. But that would be something</p> <p>6 for the surgeons to decide of whether or not they</p> <p>7 want to use the Bair Hugger device for hip and</p> <p>8 knee implant and not for 3M to decide, correct?</p> <p>9 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the</p> <p>10 question, lack of foundation, argumentative,</p> <p>11 outside the scope of the 30(b)(6) deposition.</p> <p>12 Q Let me ask you this: 3M is aware of this</p> <p>13 information. Has 3M come out publicly in any</p> <p>14 media, convention, conference, Dear Dr. Letter</p> <p>15 that said, "Hey, surgeons, we want you to know</p> <p>16 this. We have conclusive evidence that the Bair</p> <p>17 Hugger increases particles over the surgical</p> <p>18 site"?</p> <p>19 A No, we have not.</p> <p>20 MR. ASSAAD: That's all I have.</p> <p>21 Genevieve is going to be next.</p> <p>22 MS. ZIMMERMAN: We're going to take a</p> <p>23 break.</p> <p>24 MR. GORDON: What do you mean Genevieve</p> <p>25 is going to be next?</p>
Page 211	Page 213
<p>1 consensus tells us to put a warning on our</p> <p>2 labels, correct?</p> <p>3 A In fact, we put warnings on based on a</p> <p>4 probability of an adverse outcome.</p> <p>5 Q There was nothing preventing 3M from</p> <p>6 putting in the cautions or in the manual that the</p> <p>7 Bair Hugger increases particulates over the</p> <p>8 sterile field?</p> <p>9 A Well, there's nothing preventing it. But</p> <p>10 again, the people on the risk mitigation</p> <p>11 committee obviously didn't perceive that risk as</p> <p>12 being high enough to justify that label warning.</p> <p>13 Q But nothing prevented 3M from adding that</p> <p>14 risk?</p> <p>15 A Well, I don't know. Prevented us, you</p> <p>16 mean legally prevented us?</p> <p>17 Q There's nothing false about that</p> <p>18 statement?</p> <p>19 A Well, it may not be false, but it also</p> <p>20 may not have -- it may not rise to the level of</p> <p>21 probability to make it justifiable to put on</p> <p>22 there.</p> <p>23 Q Well, when every single study shows</p> <p>24 increased particles over the sterile field, the</p> <p>25 probability is very high that the Bair Hugger is</p>	<p>1 MR. ASSAAD: For the other topics. I</p> <p>2 only dealt with engineering and design.</p> <p>3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Should we go off the</p> <p>4 record?</p> <p>5 MR. ASSAAD: Yes.</p> <p>6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record.</p> <p>7 (Whereupon, a break was taken from 3:53 p.m.</p> <p>8 until 4:07 p.m., after which, the following</p> <p>9 transpired.)</p> <p>10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record.</p> <p>11 EXAMINATION</p> <p>12 BY MS. ZIMMERMAN:</p> <p>13 Q All right. Good afternoon, Mr. Van</p> <p>14 Duren.</p> <p>15 A Hi.</p> <p>16 Q I'm Genevieve Zimmerman. We've met once</p> <p>17 or twice in this litigation and I know you've</p> <p>18 been deposed before. And I'm going to ask you</p> <p>19 about some other topics about Exhibit 1 that was</p> <p>20 in front of you.</p> <p>21 To start with, number 9 asks about</p> <p>22 "Defendants' internal tests conducted to</p> <p>23 determine the benefits and efficacies of</p> <p>24 preoperative warming." Do you see that?</p> <p>25 A Yes.</p>

54 (Pages 210 to 213)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 214	Page 216
<p>1 Q And that is something that you are 2 prepared to testify about today?</p> <p>3 A Yes.</p> <p>4 Q All right. What internal tests did 3M or 5 Arizant or Augustine conduct to determine the 6 benefits and efficacy of preoperative warming?</p> <p>7 A So we did some testing in 2006, I 8 believe -- yeah, 2006, where we looked at the 9 effect of extremity directed warming. So heating 10 the arms and legs and hands and feet as opposed 11 to heating the central part of the body to see 12 which one happened to be more effective at 13 prewarming.</p> <p>14 Q And so that would have been directing 15 warming to just one particular part of the body 16 at a time?</p> <p>17 A Yes, so heating only the central region 18 of the body or heating the extremities of the 19 body.</p> <p>20 Q Was that specific to forced-air warming 21 or other patient modalities?</p> <p>22 A So it was forced-air warming for the 23 central part and it was conductive warming for 24 the extremities.</p> <p>25 Q What kind of conductive warming?</p>	<p>1 device that we had anticipated using was not FDA 2 approved at the time, so that's why we moved to 3 warm cotton blankets or towels.</p> <p>4 Q And that was -- 2006, that was during 5 your time at Arizant?</p> <p>6 A Yes.</p> <p>7 Q After Dr. Augustine left and before 3M 8 acquired the company?</p> <p>9 A Correct.</p> <p>10 Q All right. What type of forced-air 11 warming was used in that study?</p> <p>12 A Bair Hugger.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. The model 600 or?</p> <p>14 A It was -- it was a 750 with a full-body 15 blanket.</p> <p>16 Q And what about that configuration --</p> <p>17 A I beg your pardon, that's not right. It 18 was a gown. A gown with, whatever the 850, I 19 think, is the gown warming device.</p> <p>20 Q And so when you say "gown," you mean the 21 Bair Paws device?</p> <p>22 A Bair Paws.</p> <p>23 Q Was it called Bair Paws then already?</p> <p>24 A Yeah, I think it was called that.</p> <p>25 Q And when, by the way, did the Bair Paws</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 215</p> <p>1 A It was heated towels.</p> <p>2 Q Something like a cotton blanket that is 3 put in a little --</p> <p>4 A Yeah, a heated cotton blanket. Exactly.</p> <p>5 Q And do you consider heated cotton 6 blankets conductive?</p> <p>7 A Yes.</p> <p>8 Q And that was 2006. Who was involved in 9 that testing?</p> <p>10 A I was.</p> <p>11 Q Anyone else?</p> <p>12 A My assistant at the time, Tracy Pownell.</p> <p>13 Q And what were the results of your tests?</p> <p>14 A That there -- that there wasn't any 15 substantive difference due to the fact that the 16 warm cotton blankets didn't really have a lot of 17 energy. They were warmed up in an oven, put on 18 the subjects that we looked at, core 19 temperatures, things like that.</p> <p>20 Q And so was the aim of the tests to figure 21 out if both were effective at preserving patient 22 normothermia?</p> <p>23 A The hypothesis was that the extremity one 24 would be more effective. Initially we were going 25 to use forced-air warming for that, but the</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 217</p> <p>1 come to be?</p> <p>2 A Oh, well, I think the first one was 3 still -- Dr. Augustine was still there, so 4 2003-ish.</p> <p>5 Q And I'm sorry, so this device wasn't 6 approved for this use by the FDA at the time?</p> <p>7 A Correct. We never did get FDA approval 8 on that.</p> <p>9 Q And why was that?</p> <p>10 A We didn't pursue it.</p> <p>11 Q Did you submit an application and 12 withdraw it?</p> <p>13 A No. We -- I don't think we -- we just 14 never pursued it. We didn't think that there 15 would be much of a market for it.</p> <p>16 Q And by "it" you mean?</p> <p>17 A The extremity-directed warming.</p> <p>18 Q All right. So it was the --</p> <p>19 A We already had a Bair Paws gown at the 20 time. This was kind of superfluous and we didn't 21 think that people would buy it.</p> <p>22 Q All right. That's sort of a cotton 23 blanket or towels that go on the extremities?</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p> <p>25 Q All right.</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 218</p> <p>1 A Well, that's what we used in place of. 2 Q In place of? 3 A Of the device that we didn't seek 4 approval on. 5 Q So there -- and did you not seek approval 6 of the device because of the results of this 7 particular test that sort of -- 8 A Nope. We just didn't because the Bair 9 Paws gown was doing well, we didn't think there 10 would be much market for this. 11 Q All right. And the Bair Paws gown was 12 invented anyway somewhere around when 13 Dr. Augustine was still involved with the 14 company? 15 A Right about the same time, yes. 16 Q Right around 2003? 17 A Somewhere in that timeframe. 18 Q And is that about when the company 19 changed name from Augustine to Arizant? 20 A Very shortly thereafter. 21 Q All right. And was Dr. Augustine 22 involved in the design of the Bair Paws? 23 A I don't -- I don't know. I think that 24 was actually designed by -- well, I'd have to 25 look at the patent. I don't know.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 220</p> <p>1 Q Was there any focus in that test that you 2 did about safety of the product? 3 A Only insofar as that it didn't heat 4 tissue to higher than 43 degrees. 5 Q Fair to say it was not a thermal sort of 6 injury, sort of a concern? 7 A No, we had no concerns about thermal 8 injury. 9 Q Were there any other risks that you were 10 concerned about with respect to prewarming the 11 patient this way? 12 A No. 13 Q Have you come to learn of any other risks 14 associated with prewarming a patient? 15 A No, we have not. 16 Q So fair to say that prewarming a patient 17 is safe? 18 A It is safe. 19 Q It's effective? 20 A It can be effective, yes. 21 Q Agree that it's also inexpensive? 22 A It's the same cost as intraoperative 23 warming, yes. 24 Q And there are a number of different ways 25 that prewarming can be accomplished, correct?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 219</p> <p>1 Q Okay. 2 A There were a number of people involved in 3 the design of the Bair Paws gown. 4 Q Is the Bair Paws gown approved by the FDA 5 for use in the operating room? 6 A Yes. 7 Q And when did that approval take place? 8 A Well, whenever it was first 9 commercialized. 10 Q Was that shortly after when it was 11 invented, around 2003? 12 A Yes. 13 Q Well, what other testing did you do or 14 were you involved in? And by "you" I mean 3M, to 15 determine the benefits and efficacy of 16 pre-operative warming? 17 A Internally? Internal testing, is that 18 what you mean? 19 Q Yes, sir. 20 A That was about what the extent of what we 21 did internally; so, yeah. 22 Q And is it fair to say that that test was 23 limited, for the most part, for efficacy of the 24 warming product? 25 A Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 221</p> <p>1 A Yes. 2 Q And that's true for intraoperative 3 warming as well, right? 4 A Yes. 5 Q Different products that can accomplish 6 the same goal? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And that's something that you have paid 9 attention to throughout your role both at 10 Augustine, then at Arizant, and also at 3M; fair? 11 A Yes. 12 Q There have been other alternatives to 13 achieving patient normothermia throughout your 14 duration of these companies? 15 A They can work, yes. They're not all as 16 -- they have different efficacies; but yes, the 17 goal is the same. 18 Q And there are other products that also 19 achieve this goal as well; is that fair? 20 A Yes. 21 Q Prewarming is something that you have 22 been studying in your role at both Augustine, 23 Arizant and now at 3M, for 20 years; is that 24 fair? 25 A Almost 30.</p>

56 (Pages 218 to 221)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 222	Page 224
<p>1 Q Almost 30. All right. 2 And it's fair to say that you've kept 3 abreast of the litigation -- litigation, pardon 4 me -- the literature about prewarming? 5 A Yes. 6 Q That was one of the responsibilities that 7 you had at all three iterations at the company? 8 A Yes, not only that but -- 9 Q One of your responsibilities? 10 A Portion, yep. 11 Q And you prepared some memos from time to 12 time about the efficacy of prewarming, right? 13 A Yeah, reports and memos. Yes. 14 Q Would you agree that prewarming is also 15 not associated with any adverse events? 16 A Well, I mean, what we always are 17 concerned about with any sort of warming is the 18 creation of a burn. So we always worry about 19 that, and that's certainly a risk. We don't want 20 to -- we would never -- we don't want to operate 21 the warming system at a temperature high enough 22 to cause a burn. That's a big risk, so we are 23 concerned about that. 24 Q And do you avoid that risk by setting the 25 maximum temperature of the machine at 43 degrees</p>	<p>1 advantage of prewarming is that it is done before 2 the surgical incision is made? 3 A Well, it's true it's done before the 4 surgical incision. I'm not sure that it's an 5 advantage. I'm not sure what you mean by an 6 advantage, necessarily. 7 Q And you're not sure if it is an 8 advantage? 9 A Well, I don't know what you mean by that 10 being an advantage. 11 Q Okay. Would you agree that prewarming 12 has been shown to reduce post-operative surgical 13 wound infections? 14 A At present I don't think that there are 15 any prewarming-only studies that show a reduction 16 in post-operative wound infections. 17 Q When you studied prewarming, is your 18 literature focused on prewarming only or 19 prewarming in addition to intraoperative warming? 20 A Both. 21 Q Is some of the literature focused only on 22 prewarming? 23 A Very little. 24 (Whereupon, Exhibit No. 8 was marked for 25 identification.)</p>
<p>1 celsius? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Are you aware of any thermal burns to 4 patients caused by prewarming? 5 A Not by prewarming. 6 Q Are you aware of thermal burns to 7 patients caused by other prewarming? 8 A Yes, by conductive warming. 9 Q Tell me about that. 10 A Some electric mattress devices can -- 11 have caused burns in the past. 12 Q In the prewarming context as well? 13 A I'm pretty sure they were during 14 prewarming, yes. And in veterinary applications 15 as well. 16 Q And you keep abreast of also the 17 literature in the veterinary field as well? 18 A Yes, we sell into that market as well. 19 Q Quite a bit, I think. Vets use quite a 20 bit of Bair Paws and Bair Hugger blankets? 21 A We do. 22 Q We love our animals here for sure in the 23 United States and take good care of them. 24 A Yes. 25 Q Would you agree that a significant</p>	<p>1 MS. ZIMMERMAN: What number are we on? 2 THE REPORTER: 8. 3 MS. ZIMMERMAN: And Corey, the cover page 4 is just our document service. It has nothing to 5 do with you guys. 6 BY MS. ZIMMERMAN: 7 Q Mr. Van Duren, do you recognize this 8 document? 9 A Yes. 10 Q What is it? 11 A Oh, it's a report that I wrote back in 12 2005 about prewarming. 13 Q And the Bates number at the bottom starts 14 with 3MBH_00834864; is that right? 15 A Yes. 16 Q And it looks like there's a clinical 17 research library notation at the top right-hand, 18 it says number 1553; is that right? 19 A Yes. 20 Q Is that your numbering system? 21 A That's my numbering system for my 22 library. 23 Q And is that something that you maintain 24 throughout the time that you have worked both at 25 Augustine, then Arizant and now at 3M?</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 226	Page 228
<p>1 A Yes.</p> <p>2 Q And that's part of the documents that</p> <p>3 were produced after the last time that we sat</p> <p>4 down for a deposition; is that right?</p> <p>5 A Same group, yes.</p> <p>6 Q Okay.</p> <p>7 MR. GORDON: This one wasn't though, was</p> <p>8 it?</p> <p>9 MS. ZIMMERMAN: I don't know that this</p> <p>10 specific one was, or it's in the collection of</p> <p>11 documents.</p> <p>12 MR. GORDON: But I mean, it was produced</p> <p>13 a long time ago.</p> <p>14 MS. ZIMMERMAN: It may have been.</p> <p>15 A This is a very low number, so I'm sure it</p> <p>16 was produced a long time ago. The library has</p> <p>17 been copied at least once, maybe more than once.</p> <p>18 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:</p> <p>19 Q Fair to say that you haven't been asked</p> <p>20 any questions about the memos that you wrote</p> <p>21 about prewarming in any of the depositions that</p> <p>22 you've had so far; is that right?</p> <p>23 A I don't believe so.</p> <p>24 Q And this, again, is a memo that you wrote</p> <p>25 in January of 2005; is that correct?</p>	<p>1 memo, you go through with some precision sort of</p> <p>2 how a body absorbs heat, how to measure body</p> <p>3 temperature, the physiology of thermal</p> <p>4 regulation. Fair to say that you pay pretty</p> <p>5 close attention to the work that you do and you</p> <p>6 try to be careful about that, right?</p> <p>7 A Yes.</p> <p>8 Q And that's been your practice throughout</p> <p>9 the time that you worked, whether with</p> <p>10 Dr. Augustine at Augustine Medical, for Arizant,</p> <p>11 and continuing to this day at 3M; fair?</p> <p>12 A My approach has been similar at every</p> <p>13 place that I've worked.</p> <p>14 Q You've always taken pride in what you do,</p> <p>15 right?</p> <p>16 A I try to, yes.</p> <p>17 Q And by the way, who asked you to prepare</p> <p>18 this document?</p> <p>19 A I don't think anybody asked me to do</p> <p>20 this. This was -- I think this was conceived as</p> <p>21 a -- maybe a white paper or something like that</p> <p>22 for customers at the time.</p> <p>23 Q All right. So you think that at some</p> <p>24 point that -- it's sort of an intended audience</p> <p>25 was likely to be a customer of the company?</p>
<p>1 A Yes.</p> <p>2 Q And you're talking specifically about</p> <p>3 prewarming of patients for surgeries; is that</p> <p>4 right?</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q On page 2 of 14, you've got a chart there</p> <p>7 that sort of documents a growth of outpatient</p> <p>8 procedures and hospitals; is that right?</p> <p>9 A Yes.</p> <p>10 Q And the basic notion is that there are</p> <p>11 getting to be more and more surgeries,</p> <p>12 particularly outpatient surgeries as the time has</p> <p>13 gone forward; is that right?</p> <p>14 A That's correct.</p> <p>15 Q And you would expect that that growth is</p> <p>16 going to continue, fair?</p> <p>17 A Yes.</p> <p>18 Q For the most part, it's been your</p> <p>19 experience and your understanding that doctors</p> <p>20 like to get their patients in and out of the</p> <p>21 hospital as quickly as safely as possible, fair?</p> <p>22 A And through surgery as fast as possible.</p> <p>23 Q Right.</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p> <p>25 Q And throughout the course of this 14-page</p>	<p>1 A I think so. I think that was the point</p> <p>2 at the time. I'm not sure that we ever published</p> <p>3 it --</p> <p>4 Q Sure.</p> <p>5 A -- but that was what the intent was.</p> <p>6 Q And part of that was because you,</p> <p>7 Augustine, Arizant and 3M, were selling</p> <p>8 prewarming products; is that fair?</p> <p>9 A Yes.</p> <p>10 Q And so from time to time you would get</p> <p>11 customer questions about whether or not the</p> <p>12 product that you were selling had any evidence</p> <p>13 supporting its clinical use; is that fair?</p> <p>14 A Well, and also more how it worked.</p> <p>15 Q Sure. And both of those things would be</p> <p>16 questions that you would get from time to time?</p> <p>17 A Yes.</p> <p>18 Q At the beginning or the top of page 7 of</p> <p>19 14, you have included at figure 2 the three</p> <p>20 phases of anesthetic-induced hypothermia. Do you</p> <p>21 see that?</p> <p>22 A Yes.</p> <p>23 Q And basically you're plotting on a graph</p> <p>24 or whoever -- you didn't create this graph, I</p> <p>25 assume?</p>

58 (Pages 226 to 229)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 230	Page 232
<p>1 A No, this came from Andrea Kurz and Dan 2 Sessler. 3 Q Okay. So this is Kurz and Sessler work. 4 And their chart, anyway, shows that there is 5 three different phases of anesthetic-induced 6 hypothermia; is that right? 7 A Yes. This is a graph that shows core 8 body temperature in response to anesthesia in 9 nude, anesthetized men. 10 Q Okay. Pretty specific subset? 11 A Yes. 12 Q Was it specific to any particular type of 13 surgical procedure? 14 A There was no surgical procedure involved. 15 These were just -- 16 Q Volunteers? 17 A -- volunteers who volunteered to be 18 anesthetized. 19 Q Okay. That's an interesting hobby. I 20 guess we're all grateful that they do that but... 21 So fair to say that Dr. Sessler in his 22 chart here sort of documents that over the course 23 of the first, is it six hours of anesthetic, 24 basically time under anesthesia, the patient will 25 lose somewhere between zero, and it looks like</p>	<p>1 A No. 2 Q -- have agreed to be anesthetized? 3 A Right. These are unprewarmed, nude male 4 volunteers. 5 Q So those folks that are not prewarmed, 6 according to this chart anyway, drop their core 7 temperature somewhere around one-and-a-half 8 degree celsius inside that first hour; is that 9 about right? 10 A That's correct. 11 Q And then through, it looks like, about 12 the two-hour mark it's a little over 13 two-and-a-half degrees celsius change in core 14 temperature; is that fair? 15 A Yes. 16 Q And then it goes down yet a little bit 17 more during phase three, which occurs between, 18 say, two-and-a-half hours of elapsed time and six 19 hours; is that fair? 20 A That's fair. Yeah, that's correct. 21 Q Now, with the charts on the next page, 8 22 of 14, both of those are actually cited to be 23 from Sessler and -- is it Moayeri? 24 A Mmm-hmm. 25 Q The one on page 7 doesn't actually have</p>
<p>1 about three-and-a-half degrees celsius? 2 A Something like that, yes. 3 Q All right. And would you agree that 4 according to Dr. Sessler's chart, that at least 5 for the first hour the expected heat loss for 6 sort of phase one is just shy of one-and-a-half 7 degrees celsius; is that about fair? 8 A So I just want to get -- not to be too 9 technical, but it's not heat loss. 10 Q Okay. 11 A So that's the really critical thing about 12 prewarming. It has almost nothing to do with 13 heat loss. 14 What happens in -- after the induction of 15 anesthesia is that the heat in the body mixes. 16 So the heat that is in the central part of the 17 body moves to the external areas, and the cold 18 blood that is in the external areas moves to the 19 central part, so there's a mixing. That's what 20 causes this initial decrease. It has nothing to 21 do with heat loss. 22 Q All right. But at least for this chart, 23 is this specific to patients that have been 24 prewarmed or is this just a chart about 25 volunteers that --</p>	<p>1 the same cite, but it comes from the same place? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Okay. 4 A Yeah. I think this is probably -- this 5 is a draft, so I would have gone back and put in 6 the citation for that chart. 7 The chart is unusual because the data 8 comes from one paper and the graph comes from 9 another one. 10 Q And you have a number of citations you 11 can see in the last couple of pages of your memo, 12 it looks like 74 different citations? 13 A Mmm-hmm. 14 Q Obviously sort of trying to show where 15 you got this information from and the support for 16 that? 17 A Right. 18 Q What, by the way, Mr. Van Duren, tells 19 you that this is a draft of this document? 20 A Well, I mean, just the format. It's not 21 in any sort of Arizant or 3M-brochure style. 22 Q Okay. 23 A This is a draft as I would have typed it. 24 Q Okay. And presumably then the sort of 25 results of the memo that you put together would</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 234</p> <p>1 be something that you would discuss with the 2 folks at Augustine or Arizant, and that's where 3 you were at the time, right?</p> <p>A Yes.</p> <p>Q So at the sort of bottom part of page 10, if you can flip over to the next page, you've got a paragraph that's titled "summary"; is that fair?</p> <p>A Yes.</p> <p>Q And you, again, you say that "the maintenance of normothermia during anesthesia and surgery improves clinical outcomes." But you sort of note that only a fraction of surgical patients receive perioperative warming, so before surgery warming, right?</p> <p>A Perioperative means that any time during the surgical procedure, before, during or after.</p> <p>Q And that's how you meant in that particular --</p> <p>A Mmm-hmm.</p> <p>Q And it includes, for sure, intraoperative as well?</p> <p>A Yes.</p> <p>Q Okay. But only a fraction of surgical patients are actually warmed at some point; is</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 236</p> <p>1 thermal, regulatory response." That's sweating, right?</p> <p>A Yes.</p> <p>Q And then you say that "current research suggests that 30 minutes of prewarming with existing convective warming blankets provides protection against hypothermia for approximately one hour in most cases"; is that right?</p> <p>A Yes.</p> <p>Q And what that means is that if you warm a patient for 30 minutes, there's not going to be hypothermia for at least the first hour; is that fair?</p> <p>A In selected patients.</p> <p>Q Does it say "selected" in your summary here?</p> <p>A Well, it says again --</p> <p>Q It says in most cases, to be fair?</p> <p>A Most cases.</p> <p>Q All right. And then you say "the barriers to prewarming are -- you note two -- "one, the additional time spent in the preinduction area"; is that right?</p> <p>A Yes.</p> <p>Q And then "two, the autonomic responses</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 235</p> <p>1 that fair?</p> <p>A Right.</p> <p>Q And this was in 2005, I think; is that right?</p> <p>A All right. Yes.</p> <p>Q About -- let's see, halfway down the paragraph you say, "A commonly cited reason for failing to provide warming is that it interferes with the preoperative workflow once the patient is actually in the operating room." Do you see that?</p> <p>A Yes.</p> <p>Q And it says, "One solution to this problem is prewarm the patient prior to arrival in the operating room"; is that right?</p> <p>A Yes.</p> <p>Q So basically make sure that they're warm before they get to the operating room and then there's not going to be any sort of interference for the staff inside the OR; is that fair?</p> <p>A Yeah, that's the theory. Yes.</p> <p>Q And you go on to say, "The goal of prewarming is to raise the mean body temperature to its maximum tolerable level as rapidly as possible without provoking a compensatory,</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 237</p> <p>1 that resist additional heat in the body"?</p> <p>A Yes.</p> <p>Q Some people just don't get warm as easily?</p> <p>A Well, the human body resists being made warmer than its setpoint.</p> <p>Q Needs to be?</p> <p>A Yeah.</p> <p>Q Okay. And then you say at the conclusion of the sort of summary paragraph: "Future research should focus on methods to minimize the amount of time required to prewarm patients requiring anesthesia by exploiting high intensity focal warming on areas of the bodies that are insensitive to the rate of temperature change."</p> <p>And that's sort of a lot in that sentence. Is that sort of like warming up the extremities that you were talking about?</p> <p>A Well, it turns out the extremities are extremely sensitive to the rate of temperature change. So that's kind of one thing that we learned in the --</p> <p>Q Study in 2006?</p> <p>A -- the study that I was discussing, yeah, after this.</p>

60 (Pages 234 to 237)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 238	Page 240
<p>1 Q I remember, you know, I was a 2 cross-country skier in high school and college 3 and they'd say if you got hot, take off your hat 4 or gloves and it would cool down your whole body 5 pretty quickly, right?</p> <p>6 A That's probably true. Yeah.</p> <p>7 Q Is that what you saw in the study in 8 2006?</p> <p>9 A We saw that the patients who got the 10 extremity directed warming developed thermal -- 11 thermal comfort much more rapidly than the 12 patients who got central warming.</p> <p>13 Q And did it ultimately impact their mean 14 core temperature?</p> <p>15 A No, it didn't.</p> <p>16 Q They just felt more comfortable?</p> <p>17 A They felt more comfortable, but it didn't 18 have any effect on their mean core temperature.</p> <p>19 Q Have you done any research on warming 20 patients that way after surgery? For example, 21 warming their hands or warming their feet. Does 22 that make a difference with shivering, for 23 example?</p> <p>24 A No, I have not.</p> <p>25 Q Okay. And then so the next page you have</p>	<p>1 A Yes.</p> <p>2 Q You note next, "It permits unrestricted 3 intraoperative patient access"?</p> <p>4 A Correct.</p> <p>5 Q So easy for the team to access the part 6 of the patient that they need to get to during 7 the surgery; is that right?</p> <p>8 A That's right. Well, it doesn't prevent 9 intraoperative access. So once you've prewarmed 10 the patient, you have intraoperative access to 11 the patient.</p> <p>12 Q And that's because you don't need to warm 13 them intraoperatively, correct?</p> <p>14 A Well, in some cases you don't. But in 15 many cases you can prewarm patients and use heat, 16 preservation methods to prevent them from getting 17 cold again.</p> <p>18 Q So sometimes healthcare providers decide 19 to both prewarm and warm intraoperatively; is 20 that right?</p> <p>21 A Yes.</p> <p>22 Q But the research in this memo also 23 suggests that prewarming alone for a half an hour 24 can be effective at eliminating hypothermia for 25 at least an hour in most cases; is that fair?</p>
<p>1 prepared a chart and it is called: "Table One, 2 Pros and Cons of Convective Prewarming." Is this 3 a chart that you drafted?</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q And again, you tried to be detailed and 6 careful as you prepared this?</p> <p>7 A Yes.</p> <p>8 Q And the top it says, "The following table 9 lists several pros and cons related to the use of 10 convective prewarming," right?</p> <p>11 A Mmm-hmm.</p> <p>12 Q And "convective" means with forced-air 13 warming, for example?</p> <p>14 A Yes.</p> <p>15 Q All right. So the pros on the left-hand 16 side, warming somebody with convective technology 17 before the surgery, prewarming, is inexpensive, 18 correct?</p> <p>19 A Yes.</p> <p>20 Q You also found that it's safe, right?</p> <p>21 A Yes.</p> <p>22 Q You found that it can be used when 23 intraoperative warming is contraindicated. And 24 the two examples that you provide are aorta cross 25 clamp and orthopedic cases, right?</p>	<p>1 A Yes. Again, in some patients, and as 2 long as the prewarming occurs right up until the 3 point of induction.</p> <p>4 Q All right.</p> <p>5 A So there are restrictions. It's not 6 unlimited.</p> <p>7 Q Sure. But if that happens, if the 8 prewarming has been done correctly, that would 9 permit unrestricted intraoperative patient 10 access, as you note as a pro in this column, 11 right?</p> <p>12 A Yes.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. The next one you list is that: 14 "The use of convective or forced-air warming in 15 the prewarming setting does not contaminate the 16 sterile field"; is that fair?</p> <p>17 A Yes.</p> <p>18 Q Because it's not used in the operation 19 room, right?</p> <p>20 A That's right.</p> <p>21 Q Next, you say that "the use of forced-air 22 warming in the prewarming context does not 23 interfere with OR equipment," correct?</p> <p>24 A Yes. So at the time in 2005, whenever 25 this was --</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 242	Page 244
<p>1 Q Yeah. 2 A -- or prior. 3 Q 2005. 4 A The control circuitry in all forced-air 5 warming systems switched the electric heater in 6 the blower unit all as a complete load, one 7 complete load, usually about a thousand watts. 8 And when it did that, it caused the lights in the 9 operating room to flicker so surgeons hated that. 10 So if you don't use forced-air warming in the 11 operating room, you don't have that problem. So 12 that's what it's referring to.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. And the Bair Hugger, if it's a 14 thousand watts, it's a pretty powerful -- it 15 requires a large amount of energy inside the 16 operating room, right?</p> <p>17 A It does. And it's not just Bair Hugger, 18 it's all of the forced-air warming devices.</p> <p>19 Q Sure. Oh, and I didn't mean to make that 20 specific. Because this chart is intended to be 21 summarizing the pros and cons of using convective 22 prewarming as compared to convective 23 intraoperative warming, fair?</p> <p>24 A Well, I'm not sure it's a comparison, 25 it's just the pros and cons of doing it.</p>	<p>1 do things? 2 A No, it's more because it interferes with 3 the work flow is in the operating room. So any 4 -- any disruption of getting patients into an 5 operating room is something that is to be avoided 6 at all costs.</p> <p>7 An operating room in the United States 8 costs about a dollar a second. The PACU cost 9 about a dollar a minute. Preoperative is even 10 less than that, I don't recall what it is. But 11 if you can spend the money in the preoperative 12 area and in the post-op -- the PACU, it's better 13 than doing it in the operating room. But the 14 problem is that prewarming patients in the 15 pre-operative area completely halts their entry 16 into an operating room. And so the operating 17 room is sitting there with no patient sitting in 18 it, so that's very, very expensive. So -- and so 19 that's one major reason why it's not widely 20 practiced.</p> <p>21 Q Okay, but one of the issues there are 22 proper scheduling of the operating room, right?</p> <p>23 A Which is a virtual impossibility. And 24 people have spent entire careers working on 25 schemes to schedule operating rooms. I mean,</p>
Page 243	Page 245
<p>1 Q Okay. The next one on your pros list, it 2 has: "Convective prewarming through a forced-air 3 warmer is generally well tolerated and 4 comfortable"; is that right?</p> <p>5 A Yes. 6 Q Patients sort of like it while they're 7 waiting to get ready for surgery?</p> <p>8 A They can generally tolerate it if it 9 doesn't last too long.</p> <p>10 Q By the way, were there other convective 11 prewarming products on the market besides the 12 Bair Paws at this time?</p> <p>13 A There were products that could be used to 14 convectively prewarm, yes.</p> <p>15 Q Do you remember which ones?</p> <p>16 A Well, any of the ones that had full-body 17 blankets.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. And were they generally used, do 19 you know?</p> <p>20 A No. Prewarming is really not something 21 that is widely practiced anywhere well, in the 22 world, frankly. It wasn't then and it's not much 23 now.</p> <p>24 Q Is it fair to say that sometimes people 25 get set in their ways, the way they're trained to</p>	<p>1 there's a whole academic literature on operating 2 room scheduling and queue theory, and all kinds 3 of points on distributions. It's very 4 complicated stuff, believe it or not. And nobody 5 has really figured out a very good way to handle 6 it. Because the first time there's a delay in an 7 operating room, it throws all the scheduling off.</p> <p>8 And so yeah, I mean, people understand 9 the consequences, but trying to schedule it 10 appropriately is very, very difficult.</p> <p>11 Q And yet, despite the difficulty, it's 12 something that the people that research those 13 sorts of issues, they continue to do the research 14 and make suggestions about how they might do it 15 better; is that fair?</p> <p>16 A They do.</p> <p>17 Q All right. The next point that you make 18 in your pros and cons chart is that "preoperative 19 warming with convective therapy is effective 20 during at least the first post-induction hours"; 21 is that right?</p> <p>22 A Yes. 23 Q And that's what we just talked about. 24 The end of your summary was if you prewarm 25 somebody effectively for 30 minutes, it's going</p>

62 (Pages 242 to 245)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 246	Page 248
<p>1 to prevent intraoperative hypothermia, sort of 2 post-induction for the first hour; is that right? 3 A It can in selected patients as long as 4 the prewarming occurs right up to the point of 5 induction. 6 Q Well, it may be selected cases, but the 7 way you summarized it on the previous page was 8 "most cases"; is that fair? 9 A It's fair; but again, this is a draft. 10 Q Sure. The next pro that you list is that 11 it "Reduces the incidents of surgical site 12 infection to use convective prewarming therapy." 13 Do you see that? 14 A Yes. 15 Q And that's accurate or was at least when 16 you wrote it? 17 A It was based on the idea that 18 intraoperative normothermia reduces the risk of 19 surgical site infection in many kinds of 20 surgeries. 21 Q So this particular pro is based 22 essentially on Sessler and Kurz's work? 23 A And others that looked at surgical site 24 infections during normothermic surgeries, yes. 25 Q All right. So anyways, this particular</p>	<p>1 chance that pathogens are going to get into that 2 surgical site during an operation, right? 3 A Well, again, this was -- this was put in 4 there for customers who had that perception that 5 that's -- that was a problem. So this is a way 6 that they could get around that. 7 Q Right. This particular pro sort of 8 eliminates concerns that people may have, fair? 9 A By the customers, yes. 10 Q All right. And certainly that's 11 something that you had heard about from time to 12 time throughout the course of the time that 13 you've worked both for Augustine, Arizant and 3M, 14 fair? 15 A Especially by 2005, yes. 16 Q Right. The next pro that you list is 17 that: "Preoperative warming blanket may be used 18 in surgery and the PACU." 19 So that means that that forced-air 20 warming, convective prewarming blanket, they can 21 take it right into the operating room with them, 22 right? 23 A That's right. 24 Q And perhaps that means that it may 25 shorten the time that the surgical team needs to</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 247</p> <p>1 pro is related to -- at least the theory, and we 2 can debate it, but I won't right now, about 3 normothermia providing a benefit of avoiding 4 surgical site infection; is that right? 5 A Yes. 6 Q So then we move on from there to the next 7 pro. You also note that it "reduces the 8 potential for nosocomial transition of pathogens 9 by eliminating the need of intraoperative 10 warming." That's what happens if you use 11 forced-air warming in a prewarming setting, 12 right? 13 A It's what many of our customers had been 14 complaining about, yes. 15 Q And that's sort of some of what we've 16 been talking about today and the other times that 17 we've done your deposition? 18 A Absolutely. 19 (Reporter interruption.) 20 Q And then, of course, Mr. Van Duren, you 21 know, this is a topic that we've talked about 22 from time to time, both with you and with other 23 witnesses for 3M, but the notion of this pro is 24 that by not having intraoperative warming with a 25 forced-air warming unit, that there is less of a</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 249</p> <p>1 drape and otherwise get the patient ready for 2 surgery; is that right? 3 A Well, more importantly it just reduces 4 the cost associated with intraoperative warming 5 and post-operative warming. You don't have to 6 use three different devices, you can use one 7 device. 8 Q All right. Sort of brings the cost down 9 and eliminates extra disposables; is that right? 10 A Yes. 11 Q Okay. And then the last pro that you 12 have on this chart is: "Insensate patients can 13 control degree of heating." 14 Basically because they're still awake 15 when they're prewarmed, they can say if they want 16 more or less warmth"; is that right? 17 A It's true. It turns out that's not 18 correct though. 19 Q Really? 20 A Yes. It turns out that -- yeah, when I 21 put that there, that's what I believed. But it 22 turns out that patients, in fact, all human 23 beings, when they can they adjust their external 24 temperature environment so they're thermal 25 neutral. That they don't -- that they don't</p>

63 (Pages 246 to 249)

CONFIDENTIAL

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 250</p> <p>1 absorb any excess heat. 2 And so while, you know, this was 3 something that Dr. Augustine thought was great 4 with the Bair Paws that you could control the 5 temperature of the prewarming, but it turns out 6 that it doesn't work because the minute that you 7 let someone control the temperature to which 8 they're exposed, they turn it down so that they 9 don't absorb any heat.</p> <p>10 Q They don't want to be hot? 11 A They don't want to, yeah. 12 Q Okay. 13 A So that is incorrect. 14 Q All right. And you didn't say that is 15 incorrect for any of the other pros that we went 16 through on this list?</p> <p>17 A Well, the -- I mean, they're not -- it's 18 not that they're incorrect. But I mean, for 19 example, "it does not contaminate the sterile 20 field" was put there to appeal to the customers 21 who have been complaining about the fact that 22 they were worried at this point, because I think 23 Dr. Augustine had already started his "Blowing 24 Air is Risky" campaign by 2005, I'm pretty sure. 25 And you know, we had people call us and</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 252</p> <p>1 A So there was some questions about it, 2 yes, in 1994. 3 Q And that was -- those questions were made 4 clear to you when you started at the company in 5 1994, fair?</p> <p>6 A Well, soon after. 7 Q Okay. And that those questions were, 8 that clinicians had concerns about particulates 9 as causes of wound infection, right?</p> <p>10 A Yes. 11 Q And they were deemed to be significant 12 enough that as a result of those conversations, 13 you, Mr. Van Duren, submitted invention 14 disclosures for dual-heating devices in December 15 of '94 and in May of 2002, that specifically 16 addressed the advantage of using RF heating as an 17 air-free alternative to warming patients in a 18 sterile environment. Do you remember that?</p> <p>19 A Yes. 20 Q The disclosures were then resubmitted in 21 the 3M patent system in 2011, fair?</p> <p>22 A I guess. Not by me, but it sounds right. 23 Q Somebody submitted them anyways? 24 A Yes. 25 Q And that would be consistent with your --</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 251</p> <p>1 complaining about, you know, what do we do? 2 Q Well, certainly you were told, even when 3 you started in 1994, that there were already 4 concerns by various clinicians about the 5 potential risk of airborne contamination causing 6 wound infections, right?</p> <p>7 A It was a very, a very small number of 8 complaints -- or not even complaints, but 9 questions at the time; but in 2005, it was quite 10 a bit more. 11 Q But to the extent that it may have been 12 characterized, perhaps, in this litigation or in 13 some other place that there was a newfound 14 concern once Dr. Augustine left the company, that 15 would be incorrect, fair? 16 A I'm sorry, I misunderstood. Can you say 17 that again? 18 Q Sure. So when you started at the company 19 in 1994, you were already told that there were 20 customers calling in with either questions or 21 complaints about the potential risk of wound 22 infection coming from airborne contamination 23 associated with the Bair Hugger? 24 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 25 question, compound.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 253</p> <p>1 sort of your recollection, right? 2 A Yes. 3 Q And you were emailing Mr. Hansen about 4 that in 2012. Is that generally consistent with 5 your recollection? 6 A Yes. 7 Q So to the extent that you were including 8 in the list of pros of convective prewarming, 9 this sort of potential -- that it reduces the 10 potential for nosocomial transmission of 11 pathogens by eliminating the need of 12 intraoperative warming, to the extent that that 13 was done to appease ongoing complaints that you 14 and the company had received, those weren't brand 15 new complaints based on Augustine, those -- 16 perhaps they grew, but it was the same complaint 17 that you had been advised about starting even in 18 1994; is that fair? 19 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 20 question. 21 A Again, it was a concern by some 22 customers, and by 2005 was probably more than 23 just a concern. I mean, there was -- it was a 24 campaign on at that time to draw attention to it 25 by Dr. Augustine. So...</p>

64 (Pages 250 to 253)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 254	Page 256
<p>1 Q Which campaign are you referring to?</p> <p>2 A I think it was called the "Blowing Air is</p> <p>3 Risky" campaign.</p> <p>4 Q Do you know or recall as you sit here</p> <p>5 when that started?</p> <p>6 A I -- well, let's see, he left in 2003, so</p> <p>7 maybe around 2000 -- maybe 2007, somewhere around</p> <p>8 there. So this is -- this precedes that, but</p> <p>9 again, there were, you know, there were</p> <p>10 questions, concerns.</p> <p>11 Q And is it fair to say that that was sort</p> <p>12 of an ongoing question -- questions and concerns</p> <p>13 you received on an ongoing basis from when you</p> <p>14 started in '94, at least through the time of this</p> <p>15 memo in 2005?</p> <p>16 A Ongoing? Yes.</p> <p>17 Q You list a couple of cons on the right</p> <p>18 side of your chart, the table one. It says that</p> <p>19 "convective prewarming" -- obviously with a Bair</p> <p>20 Paws-type device -- "interferes with current</p> <p>21 workflow practices"?</p> <p>22 A Yes.</p> <p>23 Q Does that sort of have to do with the OR</p> <p>24 scheduling issue that we were just talking about?</p> <p>25 A Yes.</p>	<p>1 Q Okay. Another con it says that</p> <p>2 "prewarming with convective therapy adds minor</p> <p>3 costs to short-duration surgery." Do you see</p> <p>4 that?</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q Does that mean because it's adding</p> <p>7 essentially another disposable?</p> <p>8 A Yes, that's right. There was -- there</p> <p>9 was a view then and still exists that</p> <p>10 short-duration surgeries don't need any sort of</p> <p>11 temperature maintenance, which is not true, but</p> <p>12 adding any additional costs in particular to an</p> <p>13 outpatient surgical procedure is something to be</p> <p>14 avoided.</p> <p>15 Q All right. Is it fair to say that the</p> <p>16 costs associated with these short-duration</p> <p>17 surgeries, blankets are less than \$20.00 a piece;</p> <p>18 is that about right?</p> <p>19 A They're less than \$5.00 a piece.</p> <p>20 Q All right. So that's the minor cost that</p> <p>21 you're talking about?</p> <p>22 A Yes.</p> <p>23 Q And then the last con of convective</p> <p>24 prewarming therapy is that "it is ineffective</p> <p>25 that the patient begins to sweat"; is that right?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 255</p> <p>1 Q You also said a con is that "the current</p> <p>2 therapy adds at least 30 minutes of pre-surgical</p> <p>3 time." Is that because it takes 30 minutes to</p> <p>4 prewarm a patient?</p> <p>5 A It did then, yes.</p> <p>6 Q Does it take less time now?</p> <p>7 A No. To do properly, it still takes about</p> <p>8 30 minutes.</p> <p>9 Q Is there some research out there that</p> <p>10 says that prewarming for as little as ten minutes</p> <p>11 is also effective?</p> <p>12 A Yes.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. An additional con that you have on</p> <p>14 your chart is that "convective prewarming</p> <p>15 interferes with the preoperative access to the</p> <p>16 patient."</p> <p>17 A Yes. If you prewarm with a full-body</p> <p>18 blanket, for example, you may have a difficult</p> <p>19 time placing ECG electrodes or IVs, access, that</p> <p>20 type of thing. Those are generally things that</p> <p>21 are done preoperatively.</p> <p>22 Q All right. And I assume that the nursing</p> <p>23 and other medical care team manage to sort of</p> <p>24 navigate their way around that to some extent?</p> <p>25 A They try.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 257</p> <p>1 A Yes.</p> <p>2 Q And so when you say "short-duration</p> <p>3 surgery," by the way, is that less than an hour,</p> <p>4 less than two, less than three?</p> <p>5 A Well, back in 2005, that was considered a</p> <p>6 surgery that was less than an hour.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. Has the definition changed?</p> <p>8 A A lot of surgeries nowadays can be around</p> <p>9 a half an hour of anesthesia time.</p> <p>10 Q So it can be even shorter?</p> <p>11 A It could be very short. And that's a</p> <p>12 goal.</p> <p>13 Q Right. And by the way, I apologize, I</p> <p>14 sometimes talk too fast and sometimes I think I</p> <p>15 know where you're going, and I'm so happy to be</p> <p>16 back to depositions in person, I'll do my best to</p> <p>17 not run you over with my questions.</p> <p>18 And so this is a memo that you drafted,</p> <p>19 and do you recall who you discussed this memo</p> <p>20 with in 2005?</p> <p>21 A Probably Gary Hansen, my boss.</p> <p>22 Q Anyone else that you can remember</p> <p>23 discussing it with?</p> <p>24 A I don't remember discussing it with</p> <p>anyone else at the time, but Gary Hansen would</p>

65 (Pages 254 to 257)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 258	Page 260
<p>1 have been a person that I discussed it with.</p> <p>2 Q So you would have discussed it with Gary</p> <p>3 Hansen in 2005. Have you discussed it with</p> <p>4 anybody else since?</p> <p>5 A I think it was -- I think it was largely</p> <p>6 used in another paper, but I don't remember what</p> <p>7 the -- I don't remember -- it may have been an</p> <p>8 invention disclosure.</p> <p>9 Q Okay.</p> <p>10 A I don't recall, but I don't think I</p> <p>11 discussed it with anybody else.</p> <p>12 Q All right. I assume you discussed it</p> <p>13 with the lawyers at some point, but I'm not</p> <p>14 asking about that.</p> <p>15 Generally when you did a memo like this,</p> <p>16 is this something that would get sort of filed</p> <p>17 away in your office or circulated someplace that</p> <p>18 people could find it?</p> <p>19 A Both.</p> <p>20 Q Where would somebody access that</p> <p>21 particular memo?</p> <p>22 A Well, this is in my library.</p> <p>23 Q Yep.</p> <p>24 A My library is all online. So all of the</p> <p>25 scientific affairs managers, clinical support</p>	<p>1 (Whereupon, Exhibit 9 was marked for</p> <p>2 identification.)</p> <p>3 BY MS. ZIMMERMAN:</p> <p>4 Q I've handed you what's been marked as</p> <p>5 Exhibit 9. Do you recognize that, Mr. Van Duren?</p> <p>6 A Yeah, I recognize the format. Let me</p> <p>7 just take a quick peak at what this is.</p> <p>8 Q Sure thing.</p> <p>9 MR. GORDON: I assume the highlighting</p> <p>10 was yours?</p> <p>11 MS. ZIMMERMAN: Mine and I'm happy to --</p> <p>12 MR. GORDON: No, I just was --</p> <p>13 MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yep, and not</p> <p>14 inadvertently given to you.</p> <p>15 BY MS. ZIMMERMAN:</p> <p>16 Q Does the front page of Exhibit 9 say that</p> <p>17 this is a protocol? It looks like the protocol</p> <p>18 revision date is September 6 of 2007, and the</p> <p>19 author is you, Mr. Van Duren?</p> <p>20 A Yes.</p> <p>21 Q And it looks like the title, there's an</p> <p>22 Arizant sort of logo at the top, and the title of</p> <p>23 the protocol is: "The effect of prewarming by a</p> <p>24 Bair Paws gown on redistribution hypothermia in</p> <p>25 patients undergoing total joint replacement or</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 259</p> <p>1 specialists, they all have access to my library.</p> <p>2 And medical writers, they all have access to my</p> <p>3 library.</p> <p>4 Q And was that true in 2005 as well?</p> <p>5 A No, not then, but now they all have</p> <p>6 access to it.</p> <p>7 Q Who would have had access to this in</p> <p>8 2005?</p> <p>9 A Probably no one. Not electronically</p> <p>10 anyway.</p> <p>11 Q Okay. Would there be -- I mean, you said</p> <p>12 that you discussed it with Gary Hansen at the</p> <p>13 time. Would there have been somebody else that</p> <p>14 sort of you routinely checked in with in terms of</p> <p>15 these white papers that you were drafting?</p> <p>16 A No. Gary Hansen was my boss, so I</p> <p>17 discussed it with him.</p> <p>18 Q And obviously, I guess -- I would guess</p> <p>19 that it's something that took a fair bit of time</p> <p>20 and attention on your part. 74 citations, that's</p> <p>21 a pretty thorough piece of research?</p> <p>22 A Yeah, I'm sure it took a few days.</p> <p>23 Q That was your goal anyways?</p> <p>24 A Yep.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 261</p> <p>1 colorectal surgery"; is that right?</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 Q And it looks like you were potentially</p> <p>4 working with an investigator at Forest Hills</p> <p>5 Hospital in New York; is that right?</p> <p>6 A Yes.</p> <p>7 Q And again, this was about prewarming in</p> <p>8 both total joint replacement and in colorectal</p> <p>9 surgery; is that right?</p> <p>10 A Yeah, that's what the title says. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q All right. Now, this document is a</p> <p>12 little bit longer than the one that we just went</p> <p>13 through. This one is 19 pages instead of 14.</p> <p>14 But it's got a cover page on it, and sort of as</p> <p>15 you flip through it, does much of it look sort of</p> <p>16 familiar to you?</p> <p>17 A Well, this is largely a derive from that</p> <p>18 original document, yes.</p> <p>19 Q So probably Exhibit Number 8 is sort of</p> <p>20 the predecessor to Exhibit Number 9?</p> <p>21 A Yes.</p> <p>22 Q So -- or one of the predecessors, there</p> <p>23 may have been more than one; is that fair?</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p> <p>25 Q And again, you're talking about</p>

66 (Pages 258 to 261)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 262	Page 264
<p>1 prewarming of patients, both in colorectal and 2 total joint replacement surgery, right? 3 A Yes. 4 Q Turning to page 3 of 19, sort of in the 5 middle, and I highlighted it sort of hoping that 6 you can see where I'm going to. It says, "When 7 performed correctly, prewarming alone is capable 8 of preventing significant surgical hypothermia 9 for up to three hours in suitable individuals." 10 Do you see that? 11 A I do. 12 Q And that is, again, part of the protocol 13 that you drafted? 14 A Yes, it is. 15 Q Turning to the next page, 4 of 19, again 16 you sort of have a graph here, showing the growth 17 of outpatient procedures in hospitals. Does this 18 also come from Sessler, if you recall? 19 A I don't know. I don't know where that 20 graph derived. I'm not really -- I don't think 21 it came from Sessler. It actually looks like 22 something that I put together. 23 Q Okay. 24 A I may have gotten this -- I suspect I got 25 this data from the CDC. The CDC maintains a</p>	<p>1 A Yes. 2 Q The shorter amount of time that 3 somebody's wound is open, the better for the 4 patient, fair? 5 A Well, everything else being equal. I 6 mean, you can be fast and so sloppy and still 7 have problems. 8 Q Yeah. 9 A But in general, the -- in general, faster 10 surgeons have fewer complication rates. 11 Q All right. And one of the complication 12 rates that we're talking about is potential 13 infection, fair? 14 A Yes. 15 Q And that's another reason that hospitals 16 and the healthcare providers within the hospitals 17 like to get the patients out of the hospital 18 quickly, right? 19 A Right. They're less exposed to other 20 people who have infectious diseases. 21 Q All right. Now, you go on through part 22 of this memo again talking about sort of how 23 anesthesia works and how patients absorb heat. 24 We have the same chart on page 8 of 19 that talks 25 about the three phases of anesthetic-induced</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 263</p> <p>1 database with this sort of data, so I probably 2 got it from there. 3 Q And again, this is documenting that there 4 is, at least a point, a demonstration of an 5 increased number of both total surgeries, but 6 also especially outpatient procedures between 7 1981 and 2004 on this chart, right? 8 A And a decrease of inpatient, yes. 9 Q And incidentally, Mr. Van Duren, I sort 10 of asked you before that hospitals try to get 11 patients out just as quickly as they can, if 12 that's possible, right? As quickly as they 13 safely can? 14 A Yes. 15 Q And you also said that surgeons also try 16 to conclude surgeries as quickly as they safely 17 can, correct? 18 A Right, because the complication rates are 19 much lower the faster they can get patients in 20 and out of the operating room. 21 Q All right. And would you agree that one 22 of the complications that surgeons are trying to 23 avoid by having a shorter duration surgery is 24 potential nosocomial acquisition of bacteria 25 resulting in infection?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 265</p> <p>1 hyperthermia? 2 A Um-hmm. 3 Q Is that again from Dr. Sessler's work? 4 A Yes. 5 Q Okay. Moving on then to page 9 of 19, 6 you start to talk about prewarming in the middle 7 of the page; is that right? 8 A Yes. 9 Q And then you have some charts here. 10 Figure 3 and figure 4 talk about "core 11 temperature decrease during preinduction warming 12 with several types of warming units." So this is 13 new to this memo, at least as compared to Exhibit 14 8; is that fair? 15 A No, it's in that one. 16 Q I think we might be looking at different 17 charts. 18 A You said -- 19 Q On 9 of 19? 20 A -- page 9 of 19. 21 Q Okay. Yeah, I guess we are looking at 22 the same one, and that's in the 2005 memo as 23 well? 24 A Yep, that was included. 25 Q And it includes comparisons, it says,</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 266	Page 268
<p>1 "Bair on the low, medium and high"; is that 2 right? 3 A Yeah, the point of this graph or this 4 chart is that the higher the heat transfer rate 5 from an external warming system, the lower the 6 core temperature becomes. That's a compensatory 7 change and it can be used to detect how 8 efficacious a prewarming system is.</p> <p>9 Q All right. And when you're measuring 10 this, on figure 3, this is talking about, again, 11 prewarming, right? And it shows -- is this a 12 surgery that lasts up to about 35 minutes or is 13 that how long the prewarming takes place?</p> <p>14 A I believe, again, this is an older study 15 in 1990. I think this was done in volunteers --</p> <p>16 Q Okay.</p> <p>17 A -- who were anesthetized. Or maybe they 18 not anesthetized -- no, they weren't 19 anesthetized. This is done in volunteers and 20 they measured core temperature while they 21 prewarmed them.</p> <p>22 Q And the four ways -- pardon me, the six 23 ways that they're being warmed on the chart, they 24 show three of them are Bair, I assume it's a Bair 25 Hugger if it's 1990?</p>	<p>1 blankets are passive-conductive warming blankets? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Okay. Moving on to figure 4, you talk 4 about, again sort of, a graph, I guess. Again, 5 this is from Sessler? 6 A Yes. 7 Q The comparison of core temperatures in 8 both pre and unwarmed volunteers before and 9 during general anesthesia. So this is -- they're 10 being anesthetized but they don't have an actual 11 surgery; is that right? 12 A Yeah, but they were anesthetized. 13 Q Okay. And if they are prewarmed, it 14 looks like at least for the first 60 minutes they 15 stay above 36 degrees celsius in their core 16 temperature; is that right? 17 A Yes, this group of subjects did. And 18 again, these are -- 19 Q Nonsurgical patients? 20 A Not only that, but they're medical school 21 students so they're fit, young men. 22 Q Okay. That's sort of similar with the 23 Zink study. Those were volunteers, not actual 24 surgical patients? 25 A Correct.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 267</p> <p>1 A Yeah. 2 Q And they're tracking both low, medium and 3 high settings there, right? 4 A Yes. 5 Q And then there's infrared lamps, that's 6 one of the ways that you can prewarm a patient? 7 A Yeah. 8 Q At least in 1990? 9 A Well, that was one that was tested. Yes. 10 Q Okay. And then there's something they 11 call "thermal sealing"; is that right? 12 A Yes. 13 Q And they list water blanket as well, 14 right? Fair? 15 A Yes. 16 Q And none of them listed here are just 17 warm cotton blankets. Do you know why? 18 A Because those are not generally 19 considered active warming. All of these are 20 active. These actively transfer heat. 21 Warm cotton blankets, they begin warm but 22 they cool off very fast and they don't transfer a 23 lot of heat. These are continuously transferring 24 heat. 25 Q So you would say that warmed cotton</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 269</p> <p>1 Q And that's a distinction that you think 2 merits some remarks? 3 A Well, only that when I'm talking about 4 prewarming selected patients that these don't 5 really represent typical surgical patients in the 6 real world. 7 Q Okay. 8 A But the physiology is correct. 9 Q And you draw some conclusions based on 10 sort of what test was done even on these, 11 perhaps, better than average patients about how 12 and whether this particular therapy can be 13 delivered to patients more broadly, correct? 14 A Yes. Well, the point of this graph 15 really is that in the patients who were warmed 16 preinduction, the core temperature decreases 17 during the warming, which is -- which is not what 18 most people think happen. Most people think that 19 core temperature goes up when patients are warm, 20 but it's not true. Their core temperature goes 21 down. The fastest way to decrease core 22 temperature is to cool people. 23 Q Right. Moving to page 12 of 19 of 24 your -- what is this called, a protocol? 25 MR. GORDON: I'm sorry, what page?</p>

68 (Pages 266 to 269)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 270	Page 272
<p>1 MS. ZIMMERMAN: The bottom says 12 of 19. 2 BY MS. ZIMMERMAN: 3 Q Again, this is a summary, and then you 4 include, again, the chart that you drafted, which 5 is listed as table one. Do you see that? 6 A Mmm-hmm. 7 Q So again, the summary in sort of the 8 middle it says -- let's see, "Most of the 9 hypothermia observed within the first hour 10 following induction of anesthesia is a result of 11 primary adiabatic redistribution of heat within 12 the body and is not amenable to any form of 13 externally applied heat. The improvement of 14 surgical instruments and technique has led to a 15 steady decline in operative time that has 16 rendered intraoperative warming during the first 17 hour after anesthetic induction a relatively 18 ineffective therapy"; is that right? 19 A Yes. 20 Q And that sentence goes on, it says, 21 "Since redistribution tends to increase the 22 peripheral cutaneous temperature and reduce the 23 temperature difference between the skin and the 24 warming surface." Did I read that correctly? 25 A That's correct.</p>	<p>1 intraoperative warming during the first hour 2 after anesthetic induction a relatively 3 ineffective therapy," right? 4 A Well, I mean, that's not the way the 5 sentence reads. 6 Q The sentence -- there's some sort of 7 before and there's some sort of after. 8 A Yeah, okay. I just want to make sure. 9 Q Fair enough. 10 A Okay. Yes. What you read is correct. 11 Q And those are your words, right? 12 A Yes. 13 Q And you go on and say, "One solution to 14 the problem is to prewarm a patient prior to 15 arrival in the operating room." Do you see that 16 sentence? I highlighted the first part of it so 17 hopefully you could find it quicker. 18 A Yep. 19 Q And then, again, you prepare and include 20 the chart or the table that lists "advantages and 21 disadvantages related to the use of convective 22 prewarming," fair? 23 A Yes. 24 Q And why don't you compare table one in 25 Exhibit Number 9 with table one in Exhibit Number</p>
<p>1 Q And so as you were preparing -- I keep 2 wanting to call it a protocol. It is a protocol. 3 A It is a protocol. 4 Q Good. You would agree and wrote down 5 here that essentially intraoperative warming 6 during the first hour is largely ineffective, 7 fair? 8 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 9 question. 10 A I'm-- 11 MR. GORDON: It misstates -- you didn't 12 read it correctly, Genevieve. 13 MS. ZIMMERMAN: I read the middle part of 14 it. 15 So let me start again so I can clear my 16 foundation objection. 17 MR. GORDON: You actually changed a word. 18 MS. ZIMMERMAN: Okay. I don't want to 19 trick your witness and I would like to have a 20 clear record. 21 MR. GORDON: I know, that's why I'm 22 saying this. 23 BY MS. ZIMMERMAN: 24 Q In your protocol it says, "The steady 25 decline in operative time that has rendered</p>	<p>1 8? They seem to be identical. 2 A The advantages seem to be identical. 3 Q All right. 4 A And the word "con" was changed to 5 "disadvantages." 6 Q Okay. Yeah, advantages and disadvantages 7 used to be pros and cons; is that right? 8 A Yes. 9 Q All right. 10 A Okay. Yeah, the internal part of the 11 tables are the same. 12 Q And just to be clear, Mr. Van Duren, pros 13 and cons, advantages and disadvantages, this is 14 all part of a system you might call risk-benefit 15 analysis, fair? 16 A Well, no, not really. Risk-benefit or 17 benefit-risk analysis is an analysis that -- 18 well, in the best of cases can provide a number 19 that indicates, you know, a level of benefit that 20 exceeds risk. This is more the sorts of things 21 that customers might experience when using the 22 device. 23 Q So in an ideal risk-benefit analysis we'd 24 have numbers so we can sort of quantify? 25 A That would be the ideal setting, yes.</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 274	Page 276
<p>1 Q All right. And sometimes we have to 2 operate in less than ideal settings; is that 3 fair? 4 A Yes. 5 Q That's certainly been your experience 6 throughout your tenure working on forced-air 7 warming; is that right? 8 A Well, up until recently most benefit-risk 9 analyses were done on a narrative approach, not a 10 numerical one. 11 Q All right. And so as you were preparing 12 this protocol for Forest Hill Hospital as part of 13 your work with Arizant, again, you listed the 14 advantages and disadvantages of using convective 15 prewarming therapy such as the Bair Paws, fair? 16 A Yes. 17 Q And that's identical to the memo, or this 18 chart anyways is identical to the memo that you 19 prepared in 2005, right? 20 A Yes. 21 Q And so at no point during 2005 and 2007 22 had you, at least eliminated in your mind in 23 terms of a potential advantage, the idea that 24 prewarming convective therapy reduces the 25 potential for nosocomial transmission of</p>	<p>1 question. 2 A Well, I think if you go back and look at 3 our complaint logs, you'll probably find logs of 4 customers who called in with questions like that, 5 and I'm certain that I answered questions like 6 that at the time. 7 Q Absolutely. And you had been doing so 8 for decades; is that fair? 9 A Well -- 10 Q By 2007? 11 A Yes, a little more than a decade. 12 Q And then sort of the new portion of this 13 protocol includes pages -- starting at page 13 of 14 19, you've got "risks and benefits of the 15 potential therapy." Do you see that? 16 A Mmm-hmm. 17 Q You talk about the dosage, the compliant 18 statement, the study population. You're 19 designing a protocol; is that fair? 20 A Yes. 21 Q And you sent this out for the hospital in 22 New York to consider, fair? 22 A Well, I may have. I don't recall doing 23 it, but it's certainly very likely. 25</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 275</p> <p>1 pathogens by eliminating the need for 2 intraoperative warming, fair? 3 A I'm sorry, that I hadn't eliminated that item? 4 Q Correct, sir. 5 A I had not eliminated it. 6 Q All right. That would continue be to a 7 question that you would get from time to time 8 from various orthopedic surgeons and other 9 healthcare professionals in the field, true? 10 A Well, I don't know if that's true, but the document resided in my library until I worked on this protocol. 11 Q All right. And in any event, there had been no sort of testing or other sort of work 12 that you had done internally at 3M that would eliminate that as a potential concern; is that fair? 13 A By -- no, not by 2007. 14 Q And you were, or 3M, I should say Arizant at the time, was at that time contacted 15 periodically, regularly, with questions about the 16 potential nosocomial transmission of pathogens by 17 forced-air warming intraoperatively, fair? 18 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 277</p> <p>1 (Whereupon, Exhibit No. 10 was marked for identification.) 2 BY MS. ZIMMERMAN: 3 Q I'm showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 10. Do you recognize that? 4 A Yes. 5 Q And it's an email from you to a Miss Cindy Quint dated November 1st of 2007; is that right? 6 A Yes. 7 Q And it says that "the attachment is the Bair Paws prewarming trial protocol draft B"; is that right? 8 A Yes. 9 Q And in your email you say, it says, "Hi, Cindy. Here is a draft protocol that I'm shopping around. Let me know if this interests you. Thanks, Al." 10 So you provided this outside of 3M; is that fair? 11 A Well, in 2007, it would have been Arizant, right? 12 Q Yes. I appreciate the clarification. 13 Fair to say, Mr. Van Duren, that there's nothing trade-secreted in this document?</p>

70 (Pages 274 to 277)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 278	Page 280
<p>1 A I'm sure this was reviewed by a number of 2 people before I sent that out, yes.</p> <p>3 Q Sure. And so there's no trade secret 4 inside of the document at Exhibit 9; is that 5 fair?</p> <p>6 A Not that I've seen.</p> <p>7 Q All right. Who is Cindy Quint, by the 8 way?</p> <p>9 A Well, let's see, so she's apparently an 10 employee at the hospital in U of M, I don't know.</p> <p>11 Q When you say "U of M"?</p> <p>12 A I'm just looking at her email address, 13 UofMHospital.org.</p> <p>14 Q And I hate to guess at this sort of 15 thing, but on the bottom of the second page of 16 Exhibit 10, it looks like it says, "forwarded by 17 Cindy Quint," and then it says "/Underwood 18 Memorial Hospital." Do you see that?</p> <p>19 A Oh, yes, I see that.</p> <p>20 Q Does that sort of ring a bell? Maybe 21 that's the "UM," Underwood Memorial?</p> <p>22 A Yeah, probably that's it. Yeah, honestly 23 I don't remember this.</p> <p>24 Q It was a minute ago.</p> <p>25 A But it is something that I clearly sent.</p>	<p>1 We talked about the sort of testing that 2 you did in 2006, and that had to do with sort of 3 the effect of Bair Paws and sort of 4 extremity-directing warming. What other safety 5 evaluation was done with respect to the Bair 6 Paws?</p> <p>7 MR. GORDON: Object to the extent that 8 this it is beyond the scope of 30(b)(6).</p> <p>9 A Well, again, this is a medical product 10 that has a rigid risk management process applied 11 to it before it's released and before the FDA 12 clears it.</p> <p>13 So again, I don't know precisely what is 14 included in the risk management process, but it's 15 a systematic approach to identifying and reducing 16 all of the risks that are identified in the 17 product. All I know at this point is that when I 18 say "fully released," that means that the FDA has 19 --</p> <p>20 Q Cleared it.</p> <p>21 A -- cleared the device.</p> <p>22 Q So it's cleared for marketing. And 23 that's not a decision about safety, fair?</p> <p>24 MR. GORDON: Objection, calls for a legal 25 conclusion.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 279</p> <p>1 Q It was a minute ago. 2 And I'll represent to you -- I believe 3 they were produced sequentially, but I believe 4 that it is Exhibit 9 was attached to Exhibit 10. 5 So anyways, you were shopping this 6 protocol around. Do you know if you sent it to 7 anyone besides Ms. Quint?</p> <p>8 A I don't know.</p> <p>9 Q It's not fair that lawyers come back and 10 ask you questions from so long ago, I know.</p> <p>11 A But I mean, I really, honestly don't 12 recall. I didn't recall this document until you 13 showed it to me.</p> <p>14 Q Absolutely, makes total sense. 15 Turning to page 14 of 19, back on Exhibit 16 19 -- 9. Letter H says "assessment of safety." 17 I highlighted it in yellow hoping to make it sort 18 of easier to find.</p> <p>19 A I'm sorry, what page again?</p> <p>20 Q 14 of 19, I'm sorry, sir. Do you see 21 that highlighted part?</p> <p>22 A Yes.</p> <p>23 Q It says that "Bair Paws warming system is 24 a fully-released medical product that has 25 undergone important safety evaluation."</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 281</p> <p>1 Q If you know.</p> <p>2 A So the FDA looks at safety and efficacy 3 of product before they clear them. But in this 4 case, it's a 510k, so this would have largely 5 been cleared on the basis of it being 6 substantially equivalent to a predicate device.</p> <p>7 Q Right. And that's what the 510k process 8 sort of evaluates, right?</p> <p>9 A Yes, but it does look at safety and 10 efficacy.</p> <p>11 Q And so to the extent that Mr. Gordon 12 objected that this is beyond sort of the scope of 13 the 30(b)(6), topic number nine does say: 14 "Defendants' internal tests conducted to 15 determine the benefit/efficacy of preoperative 16 warming."</p> <p>17 So to the extent that letter H on Exhibit 18 9 is talking about the extensive safety 19 evaluation, I'm asking you, what has the 20 Defendant, Arizant or Augustine, done to ensure 21 that it's done an extensive safety evaluation? 22 Is it limited to the tests that we talked about 23 before that you did in 2006?</p> <p>24 A Oh, no, this is completely unrelated to 25 that. This would be part of a product</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 282</p> <p>1 development process. So there would be a very 2 systematic approach to identifying risks, 3 mitigating them to the lowest possible level that 4 can be done.</p> <p>5 And I mean, we have extensive paperwork 6 on risk mitigation for all of our products, so I 7 am sure those have been made available to you.</p> <p>8 Q What were the risks associated with the 9 Bair Paws?</p> <p>10 MR. GORDON: In any context or just 11 prewarming?</p> <p>12 MS. ZIMMERMAN: That's all that Bair Paws 13 is used for, right?</p> <p>14 MR. GORDON: No.</p> <p>15 A No, Bair Paws can be used 16 intraoperatively as well.</p> <p>17 BY MS. ZIMMERMAN:</p> <p>18 Q Okay. What are the risks associated with 19 the use of Bair Paws in a prewarming setting?</p> <p>20 A Well, I'm not sure that I know 21 exhaustively. But the thing that concerns us 22 most off the top of -- right off the bat is the 23 temperature, burns. That's a big one.</p> <p>24 Q Okay.</p> <p>25 A The Bair Paws gown has attachment --</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 284</p> <p>1 have to ask you, as the company sitting here 2 today, what are the internal tests that were done 3 by Augustine, by Arizant, by 3M to determine the 4 benefits or efficacy of preoperative warming?</p> <p>5 And as you talk about the risks, it seems 6 that the three that were identified with sort of 7 Bair Paws used preoperatively are: Potential 8 thermal-related burns, that sort of thing; 9 choking, if someone is not fully conscious or 10 otherwise able, may be at risk from the ties on 11 the actual robe; or perhaps they have an allergic 12 sort of skin reaction to the material.</p> <p>13 Were there other risks that were 14 identified?</p> <p>15 A Tripping. I remember tripping was one of 16 the hazards. I mean, again, I'm sure there are 17 others. I know these are extensive documents, 18 but right now those are the only ones that I can 19 recall.</p> <p>20 Q All right. And you were prepared to 21 testify about what the internal tests were as you 22 came here today, fair?</p> <p>23 A Correct. You mean, the ones that I 24 already testified to?</p> <p>25 Q Yes, absolutely.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 283</p> <p>1 Q Ties?</p> <p>2 A -- ties on it. So we want to make sure 3 that people don't get choked with those when, you 4 know, they're in bed and they're not aware. We 5 don't want them to get tangled up with that.</p> <p>6 I mean, there are a number of risks 7 associated with a device that get evaluated, 8 assessed, and mitigated.</p> <p>9 Q So the risks associated with Bair Paws 10 used preoperatively for prewarming of patients 11 that you can recall as you sit here right now are 12 potentially thermal injury, including burns and 13 choking from the ties. Are there any others?</p> <p>14 A Yeah, we did an extensive amount of 15 dermal sensitivity testing on the material to 16 make sure that it didn't cause any sort of 17 allergic reactions, so that's one.</p> <p>18 I mean, again, there's a whole -- it's a 19 big process conducted by a large group of people; 20 and you know, it includes engineers, scientists, 21 clinicians. That's how that's done. It's not 22 just one person.</p> <p>23 Q I sort of figured that. And I apologize 24 for, you know, for not being sure, but as one of 25 the lawyers representing the Plaintiffs here, we</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 285</p> <p>1 A And point of fact, this protocol was 2 never executed; I'm not really sure why. It was 3 sent to an account to evaluate. They elected not 4 to conduct it, and that's as far as I recall.</p> <p>5 Q And that's happened with respect to lots 6 of studies that you've actually proposed 7 concerning various forced-air Bair Hugger 8 products; is that right?</p> <p>9 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 10 question.</p> <p>11 A Well, I don't know if it's a lot. But 12 part of my job is, you know, being director of 13 clinical affairs was to develop protocols and 14 find people who would -- who wanted to conduct 15 them, because they would answer questions that we 16 had an interest in.</p> <p>17 Q All right. Do you know if the reason 18 that this particular protocol did not turn into a 19 full-blown study was because Arizant didn't want 20 to proceed or because the hospital didn't want to 21 proceed or some other reason?</p> <p>22 A I don't know the reason.</p> <p>23 Q You don't recall as you sit here today?</p> <p>24 A I don't.</p> <p>25 Q Ad so it says the investigators</p>

72 (Pages 282 to 285)

CONFIDENTIAL

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 286</p> <p>1 institution is Forest Hills Hospital in Forest 2 Hills, New York. It seems that that's maybe 3 different than the email address that we had for 4 Ms. Quint. Are those two different hospitals, if 5 you know?</p> <p>6 In the last email to you it appears as 7 Exhibit 10?</p> <p>A Yes, I see that was forwarded by Cindy 9 Quint.</p> <p>10 Q And she says, "This weekend I fly to 11 Missouri to defend my paper." I don't know if 12 that helps at all in sort of understanding where 13 this Underwood Memorial Hospital is, but it seems 14 likely that it's not the same as Forest Hills 15 Hospital; is that right?</p> <p>A It probably isn't.</p> <p>17 Q Is it fair to assume that you probably 18 shopped this protocol around to more than one 19 hospital?</p> <p>A More than likely.</p> <p>21 Q Do you recall that specifically?</p> <p>A No, I don't.</p> <p>23 Q Fair enough.</p> <p>24 Turning back to page 12 of 19 in Exhibit 25 9, the chart of advantages and disadvantages of</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 288</p> <p>1 contraindicated by the surgeons in these 2 hospitals.</p> <p>3 Q So certainly by both 2005 when you first 4 drafted this, and then again in 2007, you knew 5 that various orthopedic surgeons and perhaps 6 entire hospitals or practices believed that the 7 Bair Hugger was contraindicated for use in 8 orthopedic surgery, fair?</p> <p>A That there were surgeons who would not 9 allow it to be used, yes.</p> <p>11 Q All right. But that was not a 12 contraindication that Arizant ever placed on 13 either the machine itself or any of the 14 instructions for use; is that fair?</p> <p>A That's correct.</p> <p>16 Q You'd agree that communicating clearly 17 any contraindications for products is a 18 responsibility of a product manufacturer?</p> <p>A Yes.</p> <p>20 Q The only way one of your customers can 21 use your product safely and correctly is if you 22 provide them adequate instructions for use; is 23 that fair?</p> <p>A That's correct, and we would do that.</p> <p>24 Q Right. And that's what your customers</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 287</p> <p>1 convective prewarming.</p> <p>2 You note again that it's inexpensive and 3 safe to do prewarming with convective therapy 4 like the Bair Paws.</p> <p>5 And the third advantage in your chart is 6 that it can be used when intraoperative warming 7 is contraindicated. And the two examples that 8 you provide in your chart are aortic cross clamp 9 and orthopedic cases; is that right?</p> <p>A Yes.</p> <p>11 Q You'd agree that the Bair Hugger has a 12 warning on it that use of the Bair Hugger is 13 contraindicated for use in aortic cross-clamp 14 surgeries?</p> <p>A Yes.</p> <p>16 Q You agree that there is no 17 contraindication on the Bair Hugger with respect 18 to use of the Bair Hugger intraoperatively for 19 orthopedic cases?</p> <p>A I would agree. However, in 2007, 21 whenever this was done, yeah.</p> <p>22 Q Yes.</p> <p>A In 2007, there were orthopedic surgeons 24 who would not allow Bair Hugger to be used in 25 orthopedic cases. So in a sense, they were</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 289</p> <p>1 expect of you, fair?</p> <p>A Yes.</p> <p>3 Q But, of course, this information that it 4 may be contraindicated was not communicated by 5 Arizant at the time; is that fair?</p> <p>A Well, I think it's poor choice on my 7 part. Contraindicated in the sense that a 8 physician would not allow the device to be used 9 because of concerns about contamination.</p> <p>10 Q Well, contraindication has a specific 11 meaning in the medical device industry, fair?</p> <p>A It does.</p> <p>13 Q There's a difference between a 14 contraindication and a warning and a precaution, 15 fair?</p> <p>A Well, yes, that's true.</p> <p>17 Q It's fair to say that each of those has a 18 specific meaning for folks like you that work in 19 the medical device industry?</p> <p>A Yeah.</p> <p>21 Q And fair to say that orthopedic surgeons, 22 anesthesiologists, other healthcare providers 23 understand those words to have a specific meaning 24 as well, fair?</p> <p>A They do.</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 290	Page 292
<p>1 Q Just like "intended use"?</p> <p>2 A Yes. And in the sense that a surgeon <p>3 would prohibit the use of a device, then it is <p>4 contraindicated by that particular surgeon.</p> <p>5 Q But in your chart here, table one, you p>6 note "aorta cross clamp," which is a p>7 contraindication that was actually placed on a p>8 machine and in the instructions for use both by p>9 Augustine and by Arizant, fair?</p> <p>10 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the p>11 question, argumentative.</p> <p>12 A Yes. And again, you know, this is a p>13 careless use of wording here on my part.</p> <p>14 Q Okay. Well, but the contraindication p>15 anyways -- and that, by the way, remains the case p>16 right now while 3M markets the device; is that p>17 right?</p> <p>18 A The aortic cross clamp?</p> <p>19 Q Correct.</p> <p>20 A Yes.</p> <p>21 Q And to this date there are still no p>22 warning, either warning or precaution or p>23 contraindication with respect to using the Bair p>24 Hugger intraoperatively for orthopedic cases, p>25 fair?</p> </p></p>	<p>1 research and your drafting of the proposal as p>2 possible, right?</p> <p>3 A I try to be, yes.</p> <p>4 Q All right.</p> <p>5 A I just want to note, it does say, "I'm p>6 sending a draft protocol." So this was in no way p>7 intended to be a final product. This was --</p> <p>8 Q It is seeking input from potential p>9 collaborators?</p> <p>10 A Not only that, but, you know, they may p>11 have modified a protocol.</p> <p>12 Q Fair enough. But at least from your p>13 perspective as you sent it out, it was a final p>14 proposal worthy of consideration, fair?</p> <p>15 A Yes. It's worthy of consideration, yes.</p> <p>16 Q And that was what you were requesting, in p>17 fact, from the folks you sent it to?</p> <p>18 A Yes.</p> <p>19 Q Since the time of the sort of the test p>20 that you talked about doing in 2006, about sort p>21 of the effect or sort of efficacy of warming sort p>22 of the core of the body as compared to the p>23 extremities of the body, have you -- and by "you" p>24 I mean Arizant and 3M, conducted any other p>25 testing internally to determine the benefits or</p>
<p>1 A That's correct.</p> <p>2 Q And that's despite the fact that you know p>3 that orthopedic surgeons, some of them think it's p>4 contraindicated altogether, right?</p> <p>5 A Some of them do.</p> <p>6 Q And that particular Exhibit 9, by the p>7 way, you shared that, I assume, with Mr. Hansen p>8 as well?</p> <p>9 A I would have at least told him that I was p>10 sending it out for consideration by people who p>11 might want to conduct it.</p> <p>12 Q Right. And when you're getting ready to p>13 send out a protocol, particularly for review by p>14 potential partners, hospitals, that sort of thing p>15 for work on essentially a clinical trial -- not p>16 quite a clinical trial, I know that's different, p>17 but for work on a project like this research --</p> <p>18 A Well, this would have been a clinical p>19 trial.</p> <p>20 Q It would have been a clinical trial, p>21 okay.</p> <p>22 A Mmm-hmm.</p> <p>23 Q When you send out a proposed clinical p>24 trial protocol to a hospital, it's certainly your p>25 practice to be as precise and thorough in your</p>	<p>1 efficacy of preoperative warming?</p> <p>2 A No.</p> <p>3 Q So that was the only one?</p> <p>4 A That was the only one.</p> <p>5 Q All right. It's fair to say that you're p>6 a proponent of prewarming?</p> <p>7 A I am.</p> <p>8 Q And you have been for a long time?</p> <p>9 A Yes.</p> <p>10 Q You think it's a good thing for patients?</p> <p>11 A I do.</p> <p>12 Q And you've said from time to time that p>13 you think that that's sort of the future of p>14 patient temperature management, fair?</p> <p>15 A I probably have said that, yes. Yeah, p>16 wouldn't surprise me to find that written in an p>17 email.</p> <p>18 Q In your experience, by the way, do most p>19 healthcare facilities sort of pick a brand? So p>20 if they're using the Bair Paws to warm somebody, p>21 prewarming, they're sort of more likely to sit p>22 with the Bair Hugger intraoperatively and so on? p>23 They don't switch back and forth, for example, p>24 either the Stryker product or VitaHEAT or some p>25 other product intraoperatively?</p>

74 (Pages 290 to 293)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 294	Page 296
<p>1 A Well, again, I'm not in sales. My 2 observation is that most healthcare facilities 3 are on contracts, and these contracts generally 4 last for two years or three years. So once they 5 pick a product, they stick with it for two or 6 three years, and then at the end of that period, 7 they renegotiate contracts with companies like 3M 8 or Stryker or Mallinckrodt, you know, or 9 Medtronic, whoever, for the best price that they 10 can negotiate.</p> <p>11 Q What's the Medtronic product, by the way?</p> <p>12 A Well, they make a number of implantable 13 pacemakers.</p> <p>14 Q I was thinking you meant patient warming.</p> <p>15 A Maybe that's not -- that may not have 16 been a good example. But for companies that make 17 products that are used all over healthcare 18 systems, they're all on contract.</p> <p>19 Q Okay.</p> <p>20 A And so once they make a decision, it's 21 difficult for them to change unless there's some 22 breach of contract or something like that.</p> <p>23 Q And you'd agree you've seen emails and 24 received emails over a number of years where 25 perhaps because the orthopedic surgeons became</p>	<p>1 consistent with your experience?</p> <p>2 A Well, that's the way this is printed, 3 yes.</p> <p>4 Q So in PowerPoint you can do that, you can 5 sort of see your internal notes when you're 6 giving a presentation if you want?</p> <p>7 A Yes.</p> <p>8 Q And then your bio is on page 2 of the 9 PowerPoint, just in case you had some sort of 10 question about whose it was.</p> <p>11 A No, this looks like mine.</p> <p>12 Q All right. And is this a recent 13 PowerPoint that you put together, do you know?</p> <p>14 A Well, it's since 2011, because it's a 3M 15 PowerPoint, so we were acquired by 3M in 2010.</p> <p>16 Q Late 2010.</p> <p>17 A So it has to be at least 2011.</p> <p>18 Q Okay.</p> <p>19 A I don't know what date it was.</p> <p>20 Q And I would ask you to move forward to 21 page 7 of your PowerPoint, which is 7 at the 22 bottom of both the slide and then right about the 23 Bates range.</p> <p>24 And the slide says "Intraoperative 25 Warming, How Effective?" Do you see that?</p>
Page 295	Page 297
<p>1 concerned about the potential risk associated 2 with Bair Hugger intraoperatively, that sales 3 representatives were worried that they would lose 4 the whole hospital for Bair Hugger products; is 5 that fair?</p> <p>6 A I've seen a couple emails like that.</p> <p>7 Q All right. 8 (Whereupon, Exhibit No. 11 was marked 9 for identification.)</p> <p>10 BY MS. ZIMMERMAN:</p> <p>11 Q Mr. Van Duren, do you recognize -- we 12 just handed you Exhibit Number 11. Do you 13 recognize that? You've probably written a lot of 14 PowerPoints over the years.</p> <p>15 A Yes, I think this is a PowerPoint that I 16 put together.</p> <p>17 Q And that was one of the things that you 18 did sort of regularly, both -- maybe even at 19 Augustine, but certainly at Arizant and 3M?</p> <p>20 A Yes.</p> <p>21 Q And this one has to do with "human 22 thermal regulation principles and practices"?</p> <p>23 A Yes.</p> <p>24 Q And it looks like it's printed with sort 25 of the presenter's notes at the bottom. Is that</p>	<p>1 A Yes.</p> <p>2 Q And again, it looks like your notes here 3 are talking about -- at least talking about the 4 slide says, "Here is another example of the 5 difficulty of warming adult patients 6 intraoperatively."</p> <p>7 You'd agree that it is difficult to warm 8 patients intraoperatively; is that right?</p> <p>9 A Yes.</p> <p>10 Q And in fact, prewarming is the most 11 effective way to warm patients?</p> <p>12 A Well, the combination is probably the 13 most effective, but --</p> <p>14 Q But if you're going to pick one or the 15 other, prewarming is more effective than 16 intraoperative warming, fair?</p> <p>17 A If you can do it.</p> <p>18 Q But if you have to pick between one or 19 the other, prewarming is more effective than 20 intraoperative warming, correct?</p> <p>21 A Well, both are challenging for different 22 reasons.</p> <p>23 Q Sure.</p> <p>24 A But from a thermal-dynamic perspective, 25 prewarming is more effective. But you can't</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 298</p> <p>1 discount the pragmatic reasons that it's very 2 difficult for customers to prewarm. Regardless 3 of how effective it is, customers find it 4 extremely difficult from a pragmatic perspective 5 to do it, even if it is very effective.</p> <p>6 Q All right.</p> <p>7 A And so both are really important.</p> <p>8 Q All right. And that's sort of part of 9 what you tried to demonstrate with your chart 10 about both advantages and disadvantages, right, 11 of convective prewarming of a patient?</p> <p>12 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 13 question.</p> <p>14 A Well, no, that chart really was more the 15 disadvantages and advantages of just prewarming.</p> <p>16 Q Right.</p> <p>17 A Not related to intraoperative warming.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. And then I'd ask you to move 19 forward to page 11 of your presentation. Do you 20 see at the top it says: "How long must patients 21 be prewarmed?"</p> <p>22 A Yes.</p> <p>23 Q And I tried to print this in color so we 24 could all read it. I apologize for the small 25 font, this is how it was produced to us.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 300</p> <p>1 Q "And for that reason, several studies 2 have been conducted to determine the minimum 3 length of time that provides effective 4 prewarming."</p> <p>5 And then you go on to actually say, "This 6 study by Horn and colleagues compares 7 post-induction core temperatures in groups of 8 patients who have been prewarmed for zero, 10, 20 9 and 30 minutes." Do you see that?</p> <p>10 A Yes.</p> <p>11 Q And as you note in your presenter 12 comments and in the chart, there's essentially no 13 difference in core temperature between patients 14 who were prewarmed even for as few as ten 15 minutes, right?</p> <p>16 A That's correct.</p> <p>17 Q They all do better than the patients that 18 weren't prewarmed at all, fair?</p> <p>19 A Yes.</p> <p>20 Q And the prewarming is largely successful 21 in preventing intraoperative hypothermia, fair?</p> <p>22 A Right. As I recall, these patients were 23 also intraoperatively warmed as well.</p> <p>24 Q They were?</p> <p>25 A Yes.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 299</p> <p>1 But fair to say that you're citing to a 2 trial conducted by Just and colleagues?</p> <p>3 A Wait a minute. I'm looking at the wrong 4 one. I thought it was Horn.</p> <p>5 Q I'm sorry. It looks like at bottom of 6 page 11 and --</p> <p>7 A Horn. This is a study by Horn.</p> <p>8 Q Are we looking at the same document?</p> <p>9 MR. GORDON: I think so.</p> <p>10 A The study was conducted by --</p> <p>11 Q Oh, wow, you can read that?</p> <p>12 A I'm referring to used --</p> <p>13 Q In your presenter's comments?</p> <p>14 A Now, I understand.</p> <p>15 Q And I'm sorry. And I'm sorry to the 16 court reporter.</p> <p>17 In your presenter's comments, you say 18 "Although the trial conducted by --" is it "Just 19 and colleagues" --</p> <p>20 A Yes.</p> <p>21 Q -- "showed 90 minutes of prewarming was 22 able to minimize the effect of redistribution, 23 that practically delayed every surgery and that 24 made it sort of impossible," right?</p> <p>25 A Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 301</p> <p>1 Q Does that say that in your chart?</p> <p>2 A It doesn't say that in the chart.</p> <p>3 Q Okay. Moving on to page 14 of your 4 PowerPoint. The top says: "The 3M Bair Hugger 5 normothermia system." And here you say, "30 6 years of temperature management experience with 7 the 3M Bair Hugger temperature management system, 8 empowering healthcare professionals to advance 9 quality of care, optimize quality utilization, 10 streamline workflow, implement evidence-based 11 protocols and strengthen patient satisfaction." 12 Did I read that correctly?</p> <p>13 A Yes.</p> <p>14 Q You're presenting this to potential 15 customers; is that fair? If you recall?</p> <p>16 A I'm sorry?</p> <p>17 Q If you recall?</p> <p>18 A Well, first of all, I don't recall -- I'm 19 wondering if someone took my presentation and 20 added this. This doesn't look like something 21 that I would write.</p> <p>22 Q Okay.</p> <p>23 A But go ahead and ask your question. I 24 mean, I'm not sure that I wrote this. I'm 25 virtually certain I did not write this.</p>

76 (Pages 298 to 301)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 302	Page 304
<p>1 Q And I would like to say that I am reading 2 this correctly, but the copyright in the bottom 3 left of the actual PowerPoint slide, I don't know 4 if you can see it, it says, "C3M" and then 5 "2022"?</p> <p>6 A Yes, probably because it was printed out. 7 Q And that was my question. 8 A And PowerPoint updates the slide 9 copyright every time you print it. 10 Q You might imagine that presents 11 difficulty for lawyers that are trying to date 12 things. 13 A I hate it. 14 MR. GORDON: A recent production? 15 MS. ZIMMERMAN: I presume so, yeah. I 16 assume it was some time in the last four months, 17 right? 18 MR. GORDON: That's what's kind of 19 throwing me too. Because obviously that he 20 wouldn't have done this. 21 MS. ZIMMERMAN: It's obvious to you, it's 22 not obvious to me. 23 A It's obvious to me that this is something 24 that I wouldn't have produced. 25 This starting at the page 13 -- or sorry,</p>	<p>1 Do you see that? 2 A I see that. 3 Q Do you think that's a fair statement? 4 A Well, I think that might be a stretch; 5 but again, there are probably that many studies 6 that report on clinical benefits of using Bair 7 Hugger for a variety of -- in a variety of 8 clinical trials. 9 Q Fair to say that probably there's 170 10 studies where at least Bair Hugger was one of the 11 things that was in the study, whether in an 12 operating room or a PACU or something like that? 13 Does that seem fair? 14 A Probably a lot more if you included that 15 sort of casual mention, but... 16 Q And 3M prepares and provides, even on the 17 Internet today, a compendium of literature 18 supporting Bair Hugger; is that fair? 19 A Yes. 20 Q By the way, did you talk to Mr. -- or 21 Dr. -- I'm sorry -- Issa about his deposition? 22 A Well, only that he was giving one. 23 Q And we went through sort of the 24 compendium with him. Have you reviewed his 25 deposition at all?</p>
<p>1 ending at page 13. That clearly isn't my work. 2 This is somebody else's. 3 BY MS. ZIMMERMAN: 4 Q So starting at 14 is someone else's work? 5 A Yeah, but I can still answer questions 6 about it. 7 Q At any rate, whoever has added these 8 slides on now says on slide 14, that "more than 9 300 million patients in the world have benefited 10 from Bair Hugger therapy, the industry's first 11 forced-air warming system." Do you see that? 12 A Yes. 13 Q Do you think that that's fair and 14 accurate? 15 A Yes. I mean, I think that's correct, 16 around 300 million patients at the time. I 17 recall there was a company announcement that 300 18 million patients had been warmed at some point 19 during my -- 20 Q Sort of like the McDonald's sign about 21 burgers served? 22 A Yeah, like that. 23 Q Yeah. And then it goes on to say, "more 24 than 170 studies document Bair Hugger forced-air 25 warmings clinical benefits efficacy and safety."</p>	<p>1 A No, I have not seen his deposition. 2 Q And then this slide says that "nine of 3 the top ten hospitals in the United States use 4 Bair Hugger temperature management." Is that 5 fair and accurate? 6 A Again, this is a marketing thing. It's 7 probably true. I mean, I can't imagine that we 8 would lie about something like that. But I mean, 9 I can't unequivocally state that it's true. And 10 maybe it was true when it was written, I don't 11 know. 12 Q Sure. 13 A I just don't know. 14 Q And you would agree, Mr. Van Duren, that 15 even marketing pieces should be fair and 16 accurate? 17 A Yes. 18 Q I was going to ask you about the cost of 19 the blanket, but I think we already covered that. 20 By the way, do you know if any of these 21 slides -- is this your work or someone else's 22 work, sort of after that page 14, if you even 23 know? 24 It looks like there's -- one says "A 25 temperature management partner," one says</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 306	Page 308
<p>1 "potential costs of a hypothermic patient"?</p> <p>2 A So the way that I can tell my slides is 3 that I always use Gill Sans as my presentation 4 font. And if it's not Gill Sans, it's not me.</p> <p>5 Q It's not you. All right.</p> <p>6 A I would never use Helvetia, which is what 7 this is.</p> <p>8 Q I appreciate that sort of dedication to 9 font selection.</p> <p>10 A I hate Helvetia, so it sticks out like a 11 sore thumb.</p> <p>12 Q There are some awful ones. I hate 13 Courier. And I want to like Garamond, but I 14 can't.</p> <p>15 Do you know if the rest of this is sort 16 of in your font that would suggest that this is 17 your work?</p> <p>18 A This is not my work.</p> <p>19 Q Okay.</p> <p>20 MS. ZIMMERMAN: So I'll represent to you, 21 Corey, that he is the custodian on the document 22 that was produced to us.</p> <p>23 A I'm sure in my library. It has my 24 library stamp on it, but this was -- the last -- 25 I mean, I'd be happy to answer questions about</p>	<p>1 a comprehensive chart that shows which surgical 2 specialty recommends the use of warming. So, for 3 example, bariatric surgery, they don't recommend 4 any warming at all.</p> <p>5 Q Okay. Is that because it's a dirty 6 surgery or what?</p> <p>7 A No, it's because obese people don't get 8 cold in surgery, so obesity is protected from 9 hypothermia.</p> <p>10 But then, you know, almost all of the 11 other specialties -- I think there's one other 12 specialty that doesn't recommend it. But all of 13 the other ERAS surgical specialties, the vast 14 majority of them recommend warming and forced-air 15 warming.</p> <p>16 Q In previous depositions you've testified 17 that the benefit of the Bair Hugger is warming a 18 patient, fair?</p> <p>19 A That's a benefit, yes.</p> <p>20 Q And we can debate whether or not there 21 are benefits that flow from warming, but the 22 thing that the Bair Hugger does is warm a 23 patient, right?</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p> <p>25 Q There aren't any -- there are no other</p>
Page 307	Page 309
<p>1 it, if you want to ask.</p> <p>2 Q I don't think I have a ton more, maybe 3 just one more. The last two slides at 26 and 27, 4 it talks about ERAS. Are you familiar with ERAS?</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q Enhanced Recovery After Surgery; is that 7 right?</p> <p>8 A Yes.</p> <p>9 Q And it is -- it looks, it is really hard 10 to read, but at the bottom of slide number 37 -- 11 27, it's citing to ERAS, it looks like 2008?</p> <p>12 A Yes, I wrote a paper on ERAS.</p> <p>13 Q All right. And you know that in 2018, in 14 the initial draft of the ERAS sort of best 15 practices recommendation, they suggested not 16 using forced-air warming anymore, fair?</p> <p>17 A So ERAS is a group of surgical 18 specialties, and I think they're up to about, I 19 don't know, 16 of them now, something like that; 20 so orthopedics, cardiac, gynecological, breasts, 21 neuro. And each one of those surgical 22 specialties writes its own ERAS protocol. So 23 there's no such thing as an ERAS protocol; there 24 are numerous ERAS protocols.</p> <p>25 And so whenever I present on ERAS, I have</p>	<p>1 potential benefits that the Bair Hugger provides 2 in, for example, the operating room?</p> <p>3 A Well, I guess we normally think of 4 normothermia as a condition that leads to certain 5 benefits: Reduction in certain risks of cardiac 6 events, surgical site infection, bleeding, length 7 of stay. On a number of outcomes, those are the 8 things that are -- that we think of as the 9 benefits.</p> <p>10 Q The outcomes are different than the 11 actual benefit or the therapy provided by the 12 device; is that fair?</p> <p>13 A Well, the condition -- the condition 14 provided by Bair Hugger is normothermia, at least 15 that's what we attempt to do.</p> <p>16 Q Well, but the service or the function of 17 the Bair Hugger is simply warming a patient, 18 right? And that may or may not be effective in 19 achieving normothermia?</p> <p>20 A Well, correct. But, for example, in 21 prewarming, prewarming does not change the core 22 temperature of a patient, so it's very difficult 23 to consider that warming. Yes, it does increase 24 the mean body temperature, but it doesn't 25 increase the core temperature.</p>

78 (Pages 306 to 309)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 310	Page 312
<p>1 Q The core temperature? Right.</p> <p>2 A So I guess that's the distinction that I</p> <p>3 want to make.</p> <p>4 Q It's not something -- it doesn't provide,</p> <p>5 for example, nutrition to a patient?</p> <p>6 A No.</p> <p>7 Q And the only thing that the Bair Hugger</p> <p>8 does, and we can debate about whether it's</p> <p>9 important or not clinically, but the only thing</p> <p>10 that the Bair Hugger does is warm a patient?</p> <p>11 A Yes.</p> <p>12 Q So if obese patients don't get cold, is</p> <p>13 there a warning or a precaution on the Bair</p> <p>14 Hugger at all saying you don't need to use this</p> <p>15 with obese patients?</p> <p>16 A No.</p> <p>17 Q Why is that?</p> <p>18 A Well, it's not contraindicated. It's</p> <p>19 just not indicated, because it's not necessary.</p> <p>20 Q So it's providing no benefit to those</p> <p>21 patients?</p> <p>22 A For obese patients. And again, we always</p> <p>23 recommend, regardless, that patients have their</p> <p>24 core temperature monitored throughout a</p> <p>25 procedure. So if they have absolutely normal</p>	<p>1 A It's a white paper.</p> <p>2 Q And do you recall when you wrote it?</p> <p>3 Sometime after ERAS came out in 2018, I assume?</p> <p>4 A Again, ERAS has been -- ERAS has been</p> <p>5 around for quite some time. I don't remember</p> <p>6 when I wrote this paper, but it would have been</p> <p>7 -- I wrote it when I was at 3M, so it would have</p> <p>8 to have been after 2010. I'm pretty sure I wrote</p> <p>9 it -- oh, I wrote it when Lisa Pfeifer was my</p> <p>10 boss, so whenever that was.</p> <p>11 Q Okay. And it looks like the footnote</p> <p>12 that's dropped -- and it seems like it's quoting</p> <p>13 your paper, it says, "An evidence-based warming</p> <p>14 protocol complaint with ERAS society guidelines</p> <p>15 recommendation for perioperative normothermia</p> <p>16 version nine, 3M technical document, 3M" -- does</p> <p>17 it say -- "public identification 3M 20 --" 18 or</p> <p>18 19? I can't tell.</p> <p>19 A I can't read it.</p> <p>20 Q And I feel I can get the driver's license</p> <p>21 renewed if that all was accurate.</p> <p>22 Do you know if you had more than one memo</p> <p>23 that you wrote about ERAS protocols?</p> <p>24 A Well, the reason that there are more than</p> <p>25 -- the reason there is more than one version has</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 311</p> <p>1 core temperature intraoperatively, there would be</p> <p>2 no reason to warm them.</p> <p>3 Q All right.</p> <p>4 A And that's pretty typical of an obese</p> <p>5 patient.</p> <p>6 Q But also if the patient isn't going to</p> <p>7 become cold because they are obese, there's also</p> <p>8 no benefit to using the Bair Hugger, fair?</p> <p>9 A Yes, I would agree with that.</p> <p>10 Q Do you know, by the way, who -- you don't</p> <p>11 know, this isn't your font of the ERAS compliant</p> <p>12 normothermia protocol?</p> <p>13 A I don't know who did this.</p> <p>14 Q All right. And it looks like there's</p> <p>15 sort of a small version of maybe an internal</p> <p>16 memo. And it's got a 3M Bair Hugger logo on the</p> <p>17 right-hand side of the slide 27.</p> <p>18 It looks like it says: "An</p> <p>19 evidence-based warming protocol compliant with</p> <p>20 ERAS society guidelines recommendations for</p> <p>21 perioperative normothermia"?</p> <p>22 A Yes, I wrote that.</p> <p>23 Q You wrote that memo?</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p> <p>25 Q Okay.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 313</p> <p>1 to do with the fact that the surgical specialties</p> <p>2 within ERAS kept updating their own protocols.</p> <p>3 So I updated this every time that I got a new</p> <p>4 surgical specialty update for the ERAS protocol.</p> <p>5 Q Okay.</p> <p>6 A And, again, there is no such thing as a</p> <p>7 single ERAS protocol. There are numerous ERAS</p> <p>8 protocols all built around surgical specialties.</p> <p>9 Q And do you recall if the last ERAS</p> <p>10 protocol with respect to orthopedic surgery</p> <p>11 recommended against warming patients with</p> <p>12 convective therapy intraoperatively?</p> <p>13 A No, I believe it recommended for. The</p> <p>14 only ones that I recall for sure were bariatric</p> <p>15 and cardiac.</p> <p>16 Q Is it possible that there may have been</p> <p>17 more than one version of the last ERAS with</p> <p>18 respect to orthopedic surgery?</p> <p>19 A It's possible. I haven't updated this --</p> <p>20 I mean, I haven't been in this group since 2018,</p> <p>21 so I don't know who is updating it now.</p> <p>22 Q Okay. And you don't know if anybody is</p> <p>23 updating it right now?</p> <p>24 A I don't know.</p> <p>25 MS. ZIMMERMAN: Why don't we take a short</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 314	Page 316
<p>1 break and figure out how much time we have left 2 and where we go from here.</p> <p>3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record. 4 (Whereupon, a break was taken from 5:59 p.m. 5 until 6:06 p.m.)</p> <p>6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record.</p> <p>7 BY MS. ZIMMERMAN:</p> <p>8 Q All right. We took a short break. Are 9 you ready to continue, Mr. Van Duren?</p> <p>10 A Yes.</p> <p>11 Q And I appreciate your patience today. 12 We're trying to be as efficient as we can; and as 13 you know, the issues are complicated and the 14 documents are sort of voluminous.</p> <p>15 You've talked a little bit today about 16 sort of a risk mitigation team. Who is on the 17 risk mitigation team?</p> <p>18 A There are a number of people that are on 19 those teams. They generally have some engineers; 20 marketing people can be on them, clinicians. 21 It's usually people in the product development 22 group that are assigned to that team. They have 23 training that allows them to identify and grade 24 different risks.</p> <p>25 Q Does the risk mitigation team typically</p>	<p>1 Q All right. And have you reviewed any 2 risk mitigation team memos?</p> <p>3 A No, none.</p> <p>4 Q So to the extent today that you've 5 testified that sort of a risk mitigation team 6 would have considered something, that sort of -- 7 it's your expectation that should have happened, 8 but as you sit here today, you don't have any 9 evidence or memos that it did happen; is that 10 fair?</p> <p>11 A That's right. But it's my experience 12 that just given the way that the product 13 development process works and our quality 14 management system, that's part of it. There's 15 just no way that you can develop a product 16 without having a risk analysis team, a risk 17 mitigation.</p> <p>18 Q And in any event, as you sit here today, 19 you can't speak to the substance of whatever 20 discussions or decisions may have or may not have 21 been made by the risk mitigation team?</p> <p>22 A No. No, I can't.</p> <p>23 Q Okay.</p> <p>24 MR. GORDON: Specifically about 25 infections? Or anything to do -- I mean, because</p>
<p>1 include lawyers, do you know?</p> <p>2 A Well, I don't think typically, no.</p> <p>3 Q Has it, in your experience?</p> <p>4 A Very rarely.</p> <p>5 Q With respect to either the Bair Hugger -- 6 well, with respect to the Bair Hugger, has it 7 included lawyers?</p> <p>8 A I don't recall -- I don't recall an 9 attorney ever being present during a Bair Hugger 10 risk management meeting.</p> <p>11 Q All right. And were you part of the risk 12 management team for Bair Hugger?</p> <p>13 A I've been on a couple of them.</p> <p>14 Q During what times?</p> <p>15 A At Augustine Medical. Not at 3M.</p> <p>16 Q Okay. At Arizant at all?</p> <p>17 A Not on Bair Hugger. On the temperature 18 monitoring system I was, but not on Bair Hugger.</p> <p>19 Q All right. And was it the practice when 20 you were at Augustine that the risk management or 21 mitigation team would document its activities?</p> <p>22 A Oh, yes, very much so.</p> <p>23 Q Do you know if those have been produced in this litigation?</p> <p>24 A I don't know.</p>	<p>1 he's talked about a whole bunch of dermal risks.</p> <p>2 MS. ZIMMERMAN: Sure. It's not a secret.</p> <p>3 What I don't want to have happen is that we're 4 going to go to trial in Missouri in September and 5 all of a sudden we're going to have memos or sort 6 of a recollection of events that says, "Oh, 7 that's right. We talked about that explicitly in 8 this meeting and we assured ourselves this wasn't 9 a risk in the following ways."</p> <p>10 Q Because my chance to ask you that 11 question is today, and as you sit here today, 3M 12 is unaware of what those discussion meetings 13 would be, fair?</p> <p>14 A I'm completely unaware.</p> <p>15 Q Okay.</p> <p>16 A Only that they did occur.</p> <p>17 Q All right.</p> <p>18 MR. GORDON: But we did produce the risk 19 mitigation files.</p> <p>20 MS. ZIMMERMAN: And Mr. Van Duren -- in 21 preparation for today?</p> <p>22 MR. GORDON: Yeah.</p> <p>23 MS. ZIMMERMAN: The witness seems sort of 24 surprised by that.</p> <p>25 MR. GORDON: I know we inundated him with</p>

80 (Pages 314 to 317)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 318	Page 320
<p>1 stuff.</p> <p>2 THE WITNESS: Maybe I've forgotten the 3 risk mitigation. I'm sorry, I just do not recall 4 the risk mitigation files, but okay.</p> <p>5 MR. GORDON: Ted actually -- if you think 6 of what Ted was working with you on.</p> <p>7 THE WITNESS: Okay. It was quite a bit 8 in the last two days.</p> <p>9 MR. GORDON: Those were part of the risk 10 --</p> <p>11 MS. ZIMMERMAN: Corey, I would ask that 12 to the extent that those have been provided to 13 the witness and he was prepared to answer them 14 before he got here today but is not prepared to 15 answer right now, perhaps he re-review those 16 tonight and we'll reconvene that limited portion 17 in the morning. We're going to be here anyways, 18 but it is part of the topic that we're supposed 19 to be talking about.</p> <p>20 MR. GORDON: No, I understand.</p> <p>21 MS. ZIMMERMAN: Okay. I'm not trying to 22 be a jerk.</p> <p>23 MR. GORDON: He has talked about risk 24 mitigation. But if you -- so rather -- instead 25 of just broadly what risk mitigation, if you want</p>	<p>1 BY MS. ZIMMERMAN:</p> <p>2 Q Well, Mr. Van Duren, do you know what a 3 FMEA or a Failure Mode Effects Analysis is?</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q And do you know if they were conducted 6 regarding the Bair Hugger warming system?</p> <p>7 A I believe FMEA was conducted.</p> <p>8 Q Do you know by whom?</p> <p>9 A Again, a large group of people that are 10 involved with sort of risk identification 11 mitigation.</p> <p>12 Q And would that be done prior to, 13 essentially, during the design phase of a 14 product?</p> <p>15 A Well, it certainly would be done around 16 the time, closer to the end of the product 17 development than the beginning.</p> <p>18 Q Is it done again as in the event of or as 19 complaints or other problems arise with a 20 product, for example?</p> <p>21 A So it's actually done continuously in a 22 way. After the project is kicked off, risk 23 mitigation begins.</p> <p>24 Q All right.</p> <p>25 A And it is continuously updated.</p>
<p>1 to ask him specific questions.</p> <p>2 MS. ZIMMERMAN: Well, my question is sort 3 of specifically what was done; and I think the 4 answer is "not sure."</p> <p>5 MR. GORDON: Well, it depends on what 6 product, what time period, what risk. I mean, 7 all risks? I mean, risks --</p> <p>8 MS. ZIMMERMAN: The witness has just said 9 that he doesn't remember looking at the risk 10 mitigation memos, so I'm going to take him at his 11 word at that. If you guys have prepared and 12 produced something to him, and he was prepared to 13 do it today, I would ask that he be re-prepared 14 to talk about that briefly tomorrow.</p> <p>15 You also said that -- and one of the 16 notices was the Failure Mode Effects Analysis 17 conducted regarding the Bair Hugger warming 18 system.</p> <p>19 And Corey, you had sort of said that he 20 wasn't prepared to talk about that, but we can 21 ask a question.</p> <p>22 MR. GORDON: Yeah, we don't understand 23 it, but you can ask questions and to the extent 24 that he knows, that's fine.</p> <p>25 MS. ZIMMERMAN: Sure.</p>	<p>1 Q And the idea behind a Failure-Mode 2 Effects Analysis is to identify potential failure 3 modes, fair?</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q To identify the effect of that failure; 6 is that right?</p> <p>7 A That's right.</p> <p>8 Q To identify the cause of the failure?</p> <p>9 A Yes.</p> <p>10 Q To prioritize the risk?</p> <p>11 A Yes.</p> <p>12 Q And then also to make a recommendation 13 with respect to corrective action and status; is 14 that right?</p> <p>15 A Yes.</p> <p>16 Q And, you know or you believe that that 17 was done with respect to the Bair Hugger machines 18 at various times?</p> <p>19 A Oh, yes, that's been done numerous times.</p> <p>20 Q You'd expect certainly in sort of the 21 design phase or late in the design phase for each 22 of the different models in the Bair Hugger?</p> <p>23 A Yes.</p> <p>24 Q Do you know if in doing a Failure-Mode 25 Effects Analysis on the Model 500 to be used in</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 322	Page 324
<p>1 the operating room the potential for airborne 2 contamination of the operating room was 3 considered? A I don't know if that was done. I would 4 have to consult that document. 5 Q All right. Would you expect that it should have been done if it was not? A I just don't know. It may have been, I 9 don't know. 10 Q Right. Given that there was a warning on 11 the Model 200 about the potential risk of 12 airborne contamination, would it make sense that 13 the potential risk of airborne contamination 14 should likewise have been considered with respect 15 to the Model 500 OR? A In the event that the label warning was 17 removed, there almost certainly will be a risk 18 mitigation activity associated with it, because 19 you wouldn't -- no one would remove a labeling 20 warning without an evaluation like that. 21 Q And whether you know if it actually 22 happened or not, you would agree that it should 23 have happened -- A Yes. 25 Q -- fair?</p>	<p>1 3M? 2 A Yes. 3 Q And to your knowledge, that's been 4 provided to counsel and produced to us? A As far as I know. There's a whole 6 department associated with that activity. 7 Q All right. And you're not in that department? 9 A No. 10 Q Probably thankfully. 11 Moving on to, hopefully sort of briefly, 12 number 27 that is, "Other patient warming systems 13 including, but not limited to, passive warming, 14 convective warming and conductive warming." 15 Mr. Van Duren, you would agree that there 16 are a number of different ways to warm patients; 17 is that fair? A Yes. 19 Q And a number of the different options available to healthcare providers are safe. 21 Would you agree with that? A Well, I would agree that they have 23 different levels of safety and efficacy. They're 24 not the same. They're not equivalent. 25 Q Sure. Would you agree that there are a</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 323</p> <p>1 I'm going to switch gears to number 27 -- 2 well, actually 26 on Exhibit 1. That's "Claims, 3 notices of claims, lawsuits or other notices of 4 claims of operative site infection alleged to 5 have been caused by the Bair Hugger warming 6 system." 7 Is that something that Augustine tracked? 8 MR. GORDON: Just for the record, that's 9 one that he's not -- he wasn't prepared on, but 10 again, to the extent that he can answer. 11 MS. ZIMMERMAN: Right. 12 A Sorry, say it again? 13 BY MS. ZIMMERMAN: 14 Q Were these sort of claims or notices of 15 claims or lawsuits, is that something that was 16 tracked by Augustine Medical while you were 17 working there? 18 A Well, there was a -- complaints were 19 tracked, yes. Yes, there was a complaint 20 tracking system. 21 Q All right. And did that continue then when you were at Arizant? 23 A Yes, that's a requirement of a quality 24 system for medical products. 25 Q And that continues to be a requirement at</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 325</p> <p>1 number, though, of alternatives that are safe, 2 for example? 3 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 4 question. A Well, again, the safety of some devices 6 is not equivalent to the safety of forced-air 7 warming. Forced-air warming happens to be 8 extraordinarily safe; conductive warming, less 9 so. 10 Q Would you agree that cotton blankets are safer than forced-air warming? 12 A Yes, but not very effective. 13 Q All right. And then so the next 14 question: There are additional products or 15 alternatives available that are effective besides 16 just forced-air warming, fair? 17 A Yes. 18 Q There are alternative products that are easy for healthcare providers to use; is that right? 21 A Easier than forced-air warming? 22 Q Yes. 23 A Hard for me to imagine another product 24 easier to use than forced-air warming. 25 Q Okay. You agree that there's clinical</p>

82 (Pages 322 to 325)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 326	Page 328
<p>1 evidence supporting the use of alternative 2 patient warming modalities besides just Bair 3 Hugger, fair?</p> <p>4 A Yes. But again, not equivalent.</p> <p>5 Q You'd agree that there are other 6 alternatives for patient warming that are 7 cost-effective?</p> <p>8 A Meaning that the purchase and operating 9 price is essentially the same as forced-air 10 warming or lower?</p> <p>11 Q Sure.</p> <p>12 A Yes.</p> <p>13 Q And some of those alternative forced-air 14 warming products include the Stryker Mistral, 15 right?</p> <p>16 A I don't know that it's less. I don't 17 know that it's more cost-effective.</p> <p>18 Q And I apologize if my question was sort 19 of suggesting one was more cost-effective. I'm 20 going to go through a list, hopefully quickly, 21 of --</p> <p>22 A Oh, okay.</p> <p>23 Q -- other alternative warming therapies 24 out there.</p> <p>25 The Stryker Mistral is one alternative</p>	<p>1 that makes a product called the Cocoon; is that 2 right?</p> <p>3 A I think it is Care Essentials, yes.</p> <p>4 Q Okay. And then there's one more 5 forced-air warming product made by BS MedTech 6 called Calima or Calima, maybe? Calima, I bet, I 7 like climate; if you're aware?</p> <p>8 A Maybe. I'm not sure I heard of that one.</p> <p>9 Q And then there is the passive-conductive 10 option, which is a heated cotton blanket, fair?</p> <p>11 A Yes.</p> <p>12 Q And you would agree that those are safe?</p> <p>13 A Safe but not very effective.</p> <p>14 Q All right. They're easy to use?</p> <p>15 A Yes.</p> <p>16 Q They don't make any noise?</p> <p>17 A Well, you have to get them out of an 18 oven.</p> <p>19 Q Fair enough. Easy to maintain?</p> <p>20 A No, not particularly.</p> <p>21 Q All right. Are they comparatively 22 speaking actually less expensive than the Bair 23 Hugger?</p> <p>24 A Well, I think we've done cost-utility 25 analyses of warm cotton blankets and they</p>
<p>1 available?</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 Q It has a HEPA filter on it, which is 4 different than the Bair Hugger, fair?</p> <p>5 A I believe the most modern warming system 6 has a HEPA filter, yes.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. Smiths Medical makes a product 8 called the Snuggle Warm or EQUATOR; is that 9 right?</p> <p>10 A Yes.</p> <p>11 Q That could be used for patient warming as 12 well?</p> <p>13 A Yes.</p> <p>14 Q That's a forced-air warming product?</p> <p>15 A Yes.</p> <p>16 Q Covidien makes a product called the 17 WarmTouch System?</p> <p>18 A Yes.</p> <p>19 Q Alternative to the forced-air warming 20 that is made by 3M, right?</p> <p>21 A Yes.</p> <p>22 Q Cincinnati Sub-Zero makes the FilteredFlo 23 and Warm Air; is that right?</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p> <p>25 Q There's a company called Care Essentials</p>	<p>1 actually cost quite a bit. Usually about the 2 same or more.</p> <p>3 Q All right. And then with respect to 4 conductive patient warming technologies, there 5 are products made by, and I'm going to -- are you 6 aware of a product by Kimberly-Clark called the 7 K-C System M1000?</p> <p>8 A I'm not familiar with that one.</p> <p>9 Q Are you aware of the Cincinnati Sub-Zero 10 which makes something called the Surface Temp?</p> <p>11 A Yes.</p> <p>12 Q Inditherm, I think is the name of the 13 company and the product. You're familiar with 14 that?</p> <p>15 A Yes.</p> <p>16 Q Is it Molnlycke?</p> <p>17 A Molnlycke.</p> <p>18 Q Molnlycke makes a Barrier EasyWarm?</p> <p>19 A Yes, I'm familiar with that.</p> <p>20 Q VitaHEAT Medical, you're familiar with 21 the VitaHEAT product?</p> <p>22 A Yes, but I think they are out of 23 business.</p> <p>24 Q Are they out of business? Okay. 25 Obviously you're familiar with the</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 330	Page 332
<p>1 Augustine, who makes the HotDog?</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 Q A company called MedLine makes something</p> <p>4 called the PerfecTemp?</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q And Pintler Medical makes a product</p> <p>7 called Pintler; is that right?</p> <p>8 A Yes, but I believe that's a fluid warm</p> <p>9 air.</p> <p>10 Q Okay. And in any event, there are a</p> <p>11 number of potential options for a healthcare</p> <p>12 provider trying to decide, if they choose to</p> <p>13 warm, there are options available to the</p> <p>14 healthcare provider and how to warm the patient?</p> <p>15 A Yes.</p> <p>16 Q With respect to -- and I'm going to jump</p> <p>17 ahead actually to number 31, which is the due</p> <p>18 diligence that was conducted on Arizant before</p> <p>19 purchasing the company.</p> <p>20 You were prepared to talk about that</p> <p>21 today, Mr. Van Duren?</p> <p>22 A Yes.</p> <p>23 Q And the company did, 3M did, in fact,</p> <p>24 conduct due diligence on Arizant prior to</p> <p>25 purchasing the company for \$810 million; is that</p>	<p>1 Q -- with Augustine?</p> <p>2 And that was certainly -- that issue was</p> <p>3 something that was absolutely communicated to 3M</p> <p>4 when it did the due diligence of Arizant, fair?</p> <p>5 A Absolutely.</p> <p>6 Q Not a secret to 3M at all?</p> <p>7 A No.</p> <p>8 Q And throughout the due diligence process,</p> <p>9 would you agree that 3M did a thorough</p> <p>10 investigation of those potential allegations?</p> <p>11 A Yes.</p> <p>12 Q Do you know, as part of that due</p> <p>13 diligence, whether 3M commissioned any studies?</p> <p>14 A I don't think any studies were</p> <p>15 commissioned at that time.</p> <p>16 Q As you sit here today --</p> <p>17 A Maybe because of the confidentiality</p> <p>18 issues.</p> <p>19 Q All right. And I'm sorry, what do you</p> <p>20 mean with respect to confidentiality issues?</p> <p>21 A The potential purchase of another company</p> <p>22 is a -- because of stock issues and things like</p> <p>23 that, it's done under strict confidence. And so</p> <p>24 those kinds of activities would not have been</p> <p>25 pursued before the acquisition of a company.</p>
Page 331	Page 333
<p>1 right?</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 Q You want to sort of kick the tires before</p> <p>4 you make a purchase like that?</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q Are you aware of what kind of due</p> <p>7 diligence was conducted?</p> <p>8 A Well, there was a business development</p> <p>9 team that I think coordinated efforts from a</p> <p>10 number of departments in the company: Legal,</p> <p>11 regulatory, engineering, sales and marketing,</p> <p>12 people like that. There were, you know, there</p> <p>13 were obviously legal evaluations related to the</p> <p>14 allegations made by Scott Augustine.</p> <p>15 Q And that's really sort of where I was</p> <p>16 getting, Mr. Van Duren, and I don't mean to jump</p> <p>17 over you.</p> <p>18 A No, that's all right.</p> <p>19 Q But certainly the allegations or the sort</p> <p>20 of concern about particulates as potential causes</p> <p>21 of surgical site infection and particularly deep</p> <p>22 joint infection, that was something that was</p> <p>23 known to you or you were informed of in 1994 when</p> <p>24 you started --</p> <p>25 A Yes.</p>	<p>1 Q So it would not have been pursued by</p> <p>2 Arizant?</p> <p>3 A Well, they might have been pursued by</p> <p>4 Arizant, they would not have been pursued by 3M.</p> <p>5 I thought you were asking about the due diligence</p> <p>6 conducted by 3M.</p> <p>7 Q Well, right. And what I would like to</p> <p>8 understand is what did 3M do to assure itself and</p> <p>9 ultimately the shareholders that there was no</p> <p>10 risk or what that risk was with respect to the</p> <p>11 clinicians' concerns about potential for</p> <p>12 infection, particularly in orthopedic surgery?</p> <p>13 A It was thoroughly reviewed by a team of</p> <p>14 attorneys at 3M.</p> <p>15 Q And so when you say "thoroughly</p> <p>16 reviewed," provide for me, if you would, a list</p> <p>17 of what was considered.</p> <p>18 A Well, certainly the allegations from</p> <p>19 Scott Augustine and letters that he had written,</p> <p>20 letters from his attorneys, those kinds of</p> <p>21 communications to both the management of Arizant</p> <p>22 but also to customers. So all of that</p> <p>23 information was made available to 3M for review.</p> <p>24 Q Fair to say that the 3M lawyers would</p> <p>25 have reviewed, for example, the complaints</p>

84 (Pages 330 to 333)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 334	Page 336
<p>1 database?</p> <p>2 A Oh, yes.</p> <p>3 Q Fair to say that the 3M lawyers or 4 whoever was doing the due diligence would have 5 reviewed your memos about the Bair Paws and 6 prewarming?</p> <p>7 A It's -- that level of detail I don't 8 know. It's possible, but I kind of doubt it.</p> <p>9 Q You certainly would have made that 10 available to them?</p> <p>11 A Oh, yeah, it's all available. Yes, of 12 course.</p> <p>13 Q What else do you know -- 14 (Phone alarm.)</p> <p>15 MR. GORDON: The time is out, but if you 16 have one or two quick follow-ups.</p> <p>17 Q I just want a list of what the due 18 diligence required.</p> <p>19 A Well, I mean, the companies' structure; 20 you know, the way in which the company was 21 managed from the senior management on down; the 22 sales and marketing figures; projections of 23 sales, products; clinical activities that were 24 underway or proposed. All of the important 25 aspects concerning the company that would have a</p>	<p>1 Medical funded study from Kurz and Sessler, 2 right?</p> <p>3 A Well, when you say relied on it, we cite 4 it in our references, yes.</p> <p>5 Q And promote it regularly as one of the 6 reasons that Bair Hugger is safe, effective and a 7 good idea?</p> <p>8 A Yes.</p> <p>9 Q And at least as you sit here today, as 10 the corporate representative for 3M, you're 11 confident that any and all questions that 3M had 12 during the due diligence phase were answered to 13 3M's satisfaction in that process, fair?</p> <p>14 A Yes.</p> <p>15 MR. GORDON: I just want to make sure 16 that nobody on the line has any questions? Is 17 anybody still --</p> <p>18 MS. ZIMMERMAN: Wake up.</p> <p>19 MR. KRONAWITTER: No.</p> <p>20 MS. DAVIS: No.</p> <p>21 MR. MCCAIG: No.</p> <p>22 MR. GORDON: I actually have about three 23 questions.</p> <p>24 MS. ZIMMERMAN: Do you want me to move? 25 MR. GORDON: You know what? No. Let's</p>
Page 335	Page 337
<p>1 material effect on its value.</p> <p>2 Q All right. Let me see if I can ask, sort 3 of, I hope three sort of real succinct questions. 4 Fair to say that all the sort of -- or the 5 general nature, anyways, of the allegations that 6 Bair Hugger may interfere with airflow and be 7 contaminated and sort of through those mechanisms 8 contribute to deep joint infection, that was 9 known to 3M when they purchased Arizant, fair?</p> <p>10 A Yes, in more than just a general sense.</p> <p>11 Q All right. In a specific sense?</p> <p>12 A Yes.</p> <p>13 Q Fair to say that Augustine, who he was, 14 all of the stuff that happened in 2003 with 15 respect to Medicare fraud, his criminal trial, 16 the outcome of all of that, all of that was known 17 to 3M when they did the due diligence as well, 18 fair?</p> <p>19 A Yes.</p> <p>20 Q And 3M continues to this day to rely on 21 the Augustine-funded Kurz and Sessler studies, 22 correct?</p> <p>23 A Well, Augustine Medical, not 24 Dr. Augustine personally.</p> <p>25 Q Okay. But still relies on the Augustine</p>	<p>1 not move.</p> <p>2 Al, do me this favor. If you could 3 ignore the fact that I'm talking to your left and 4 answer to the camera. Is that okay? It's a 5 little bit --</p> <p>6 MR. ASSAAD: Pretend he's the camera.</p> <p>7 EXAMINATION</p> <p>8 BY MR. GORDON:</p> <p>9 Q Yes. If you can pull out Exhibit 8, I 10 just want to ask you a couple of questions about 11 that.</p> <p>12 A Exhibit A?</p> <p>13 Q Exhibit 8.</p> <p>14 A Oh, 8. I thought they were numbered.</p> <p>15 Q If you turn to page 11 of 14.</p> <p>16 A 11 of 14? Yes.</p> <p>17 Q And this is the chart that you were asked 18 questions about both in -- the same chart 19 essentially that appears in Exhibit 8 and Exhibit 20 9, correct?</p> <p>21 A Yes.</p> <p>22 Q Okay. And Exhibit 8 was 2005, and 23 Exhibit 9 was -- you used that chart in 2007, 24 right?</p> <p>25 A Yes, that's correct.</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 338	Page 340
<p>1 Q And my first question is: In 2005, when 2 you wrote -- when you drafted this memo, was it 3 your belief that intraoperative warming was 4 contraindicated in orthopedic cases? 5 MS. ZIMMERMAN: Object to form. 6 A No, I did not believe it was contraindicated. 7 Q Did you believe that in 2007 when you 8 used this same chart in this memo in Exhibit 9? 9 A No, it was not contraindicated. 10 Q Has 3M, Arizant or Augustine Medical ever 11 believed that intraoperative warming was 12 contraindicated in orthopedic cases? 13 MS. ZIMMERMAN: Object to form. 14 A No, they did not. 15 Q Why did you phrase it this way? 16 A Careless wording. Because a few orthopedic surgeons at this time had prohibited the use of Bair Hugger in the operating room while they were preparing patients for orthopedic surgery. So in that sense it was contraindicated by an individual surgeon. 17 Q Okay. And in the same chart that you 18 used the phrase "does not contaminate the sterile 19 field" -- "does not contaminate sterile field,"</p>	<p>1 it sounded like I was going to continue. 2 When you wrote this in 2005, was it your 3 belief that the intraoperative warming with the 4 Bair Hugger increased the potential for 5 nosocomial transmission of pathogens? 6 MS. ZIMMERMAN: Object to form. 7 A No, but many physicians did. Many surgeons did. 8 Q Okay. Did you believe that -- strike 9 that. 10 Did you believe that when you wrote it in 11 2007? 12 A No. 13 Q Okay. Does 3M believe that the use of 14 the Bair Hugger warming system for intraoperative 15 warming increases the risk of nosocomial 16 transmission of pathogens? 17 MS. ZIMMERMAN: Object to form. 18 A No. 19 Q And you -- in your 2009 -- excuse me. 20 Exhibit 9, your 2007 version of the memo, same 21 page where that chart is, but in the summary 22 text, you referred to intraoperative warming as 23 "relatively ineffective therapy." Do you 24 remember that?</p>
<p>1 when you wrote this in 2005, was it your belief 2 that intraoperative warming with the Bair Hugger 3 contaminated the sterile field? 4 MS. ZIMMERMAN: Object to form. 5 A No. 6 Q Was it your belief when you wrote that in 7 the memo in 2007, Exhibit 9? 8 A No. 9 Q Is it your belief today? 10 A No. 11 Q Is it 3M's belief that use of the Bair 12 Hugger contaminates the sterile field? 13 MS. ZIMMERMAN: Object to form. 14 A No. 15 Q Okay. Going back to Exhibit 8, in the 16 chart you said under "Pros: Reduces the 17 potential for nosocomial transmission of 18 pathogens by the need for intraoperative 19 warming." Do you see that? 20 A Yes. 21 Q When you wrote that, was it your belief 22 that the use of the Bair Hugger had created a 23 potential for nosocomial transmission for 24 pathogens? 25 Let me state that question again because</p>	<p>1 A Yes. 2 Q What did you mean by "relatively 3 ineffective"? 4 A That in the initial part of warming after the induction of anesthesia, it's very difficult to transfer enough heat to raise the patient's temperature. 5 Q And so when you said relatively 6 ineffective, relative to what? 7 A Well, relative to prewarming. 8 Q Okay. So is it your view, your view 9 personally, that prewarming is all you need to 10 prevent hypothermia or maintain normothermia for 11 surgery? 12 MS. ZIMMERMAN: Object to form. 13 A In some select cases that can work, but that's very unusual for a number of reasons, mainly for pragmatic ones, but in most cases both are required to maintain normothermia. 14 Q And is it 3M's position that prewarming 15 is adequate to prevent hypothermia and that 16 intraoperative warming is unnecessary? 17 A No. 18 Q Would you ever personally have general 19 anesthetic surgery without intraoperative</p>

86 (Pages 338 to 341)

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 342	Page 344
<p>1 warming?</p> <p>2 MS. ZIMMERMAN: Object to form. 3M is 3 not going to have surgery.</p> <p>4 A No, and I've had intraoperative warming 5 while I've had surgery.</p> <p>6 Q And even though you're a strong advocate 7 of prewarming, you still had intraoperative 8 warming?</p> <p>9 A I had both.</p> <p>10 Q Okay.</p> <p>11 MR. GORDON: Nothing further. Thanks.</p> <p>12 MS. ZIMMERMAN: Do people on the phone 13 have questions? Otherwise I have a couple of 14 follow-ups.</p> <p>15 I assume nobody has questions. Are they 16 shaking their head? Are they even awake?</p> <p>17 Bueller?</p> <p>18 MS. DAVIS: No questions.</p> <p>19 EXAMINATION</p> <p>20 BY MS. ZIMMERMAN:</p> <p>21 Q Mr. Van Duren, with respect to a series 22 of questions now that Mr. Gordon respectfully 23 asked you about these charts that you've prepared 24 in the 2005 and 2007 memos, you'd agree that the 25 answers that you're giving to Mr. Gordon would</p>	<p>1 you wrote and were careful in drafting a protocol 2 and sending it out to hospitals for 3 consideration, that you wrote potential pros and 4 cons or advantages and disadvantages to the use 5 of prewarming convective therapy. To the extent 6 that you called it potentially contraindicated in 7 orthopedic surgery, that's not a good fact for 3M 8 today, correct? Assuming that's true, that's not 9 a good fact for 3M, right?</p> <p>10 A Well, it's a reflection of the fact that 11 there are surgeons who are concerned about that 12 issue.</p> <p>13 Q Right. And it certainly confirms that 14 you and 3M were aware of those issues in 2005 and 15 2007, fair?</p> <p>16 A Yes, which we admit, fully admit. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q All right. And similarly to the extent 18 that your memos in 2005 and 2007 say that 19 "convective prewarming reduces the potential for 20 nosocomial transmission of pathogens by 21 eliminating the need for intraoperative warming," 22 to the extent that that's true and the plain 23 meaning carries, that's not a good fact for 3M in 24 facing these lawsuits; is that fair?</p> <p>25 MR. GORDON: Same objection.</p>
<p>1 change the plain meaning of the chart in each of 2 those memos, fair?</p> <p>3 MR. GORDON: Object to form.</p> <p>4 A Well, not the contraindicated. Like I 5 mentioned, in that case it was contraindicated by 6 the surgeon, so that's not -- that is the plain 7 meaning of that word.</p> <p>8 Q All right. But "does not contaminate the 9 sterile field." That's pretty plain in its 10 language, fair?</p> <p>11 A It's fair. But again, this is a draft 12 and I'm capturing my thoughts here, so it's not 13 fully worked. But it addresses the concern that 14 many surgeons had at the time, and still do, 15 about forced-air warming contaminating the 16 sterile field.</p> <p>17 Q Mr. Van Duren, is it fair to say that 18 both of these charts in 2005 and 2007 do not 19 provide good factual testimony for your current 20 employer?</p> <p>21 MR. GORDON: Object to the form of the 22 question.</p> <p>23 A I'm not sure exactly what you mean by 24 that.</p> <p>25 Q So to the extent that in 2005 and 2007,</p>	<p>1 A Well, it needs an explanation. And 2 again, in both cases, if you look at emails that 3 went with these, these are drafts, they're not 4 fully completed.</p> <p>5 So the thought there was that we are 6 addressing concerns that our customers have 7 regarding the use of this device in an operating 8 room, and they're concerned that it might 9 contaminate the sterile field.</p> <p>10 Q Right. And those were concerns that 11 continue to be brought up to you as you worked at 12 all three of these different companies; is that 13 fair?</p> <p>14 A Yes.</p> <p>15 Q And in looking at these memos, obviously 16 any of us looking at an email that is almost -- a 17 memo that is almost 20 years old or 15 years old, 18 we have the benefit of hindsight; is that right?</p> <p>19 A We do.</p> <p>20 Q All right. And we also now, you were 21 trying to prepare a thorough and complete and 22 accurate work product at the time, right?</p> <p>23 A I was.</p> <p>24 Q Right. And now we're viewing these 25 through the lens of potentially 6,000 or more</p>

CONFIDENTIAL

<p>1 lawsuits, fair?</p> <p>2 MR. GORDON: Object to the form.</p> <p>3 A I suppose, yes.</p> <p>4 Q All right. And at any rate, we've gone</p> <p>5 through with your testimony today that there is</p> <p>6 not a specific internal test that 3M has done</p> <p>7 that eliminates the Bair Hugger as a potential</p> <p>8 contributor to deep joint infection when used in</p> <p>9 the operating room; is that fair?</p> <p>10 A An internal test? No.</p> <p>11 Q Yes.</p> <p>12 A No, we have not done an internal test.</p> <p>13 MS. ZIMMERMAN: That's all I've got.</p> <p>14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record.</p> <p>15</p> <p>16 (Whereupon, the deposition</p> <p>17 concluded at 6:43 p.m.)</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>	<p>Page 346</p> <p>1 S I G N A T U R E P A G E</p> <p>2 I, ALBERT VAN DUREN, the deponent, hereby</p> <p>3 certify that I have read the foregoing</p> <p>4 transcript, consisting of 346 pages, and that</p> <p>5 said transcript is a true and correct, full and</p> <p>6 complete transcription of my deposition, except</p> <p>7 per the attached corrections, if any.</p> <p>8 PAGE LINE CHANGE/REASON FOR CHANGE</p> <p>9 _____</p> <p>10 _____</p> <p>11 _____</p> <p>12 _____</p> <p>13 _____</p> <p>14 _____</p> <p>15 _____</p> <p>16 _____</p> <p>17 _____</p> <p>18 _____</p> <p>19 _____</p> <p>20 _____</p> <p>21 Date Signature of Witness</p> <p>22 WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL this _____</p> <p>23 day of _____, 2022.</p> <p>24 (ACL) _____</p> <p>25</p>
<p>Page 347</p> <p>1 CERTIFICATE</p> <p>2 I, Amy C. Lundgren, RPR, hereby certify</p> <p>3 that I am qualified as a verbatim shorthand</p> <p>4 reporter; that I took in stenographic shorthand</p> <p>5 the testimony of ALBERT VAN DUREN, at the time</p> <p>6 and place aforesaid; and that the foregoing</p> <p>7 transcript consisting of 346 pages is a true and</p> <p>8 correct, full and complete transcription of said</p> <p>9 shorthand notes, to the best of my ability.</p> <p>10 Dated at Baxter, Minnesota, this 22nd of</p> <p>11 April, 2022.</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15 AMY C. LUNDGREN</p> <p>16 Notary Public</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>	

88 (Pages 346 to 348)

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

A	54:25 76:1 285:3	113:10 157:22 301:20 303:7	adverse 211:4 222:15	201:18 202:21 205:21 206:16
a.m 59:23		accumulation 73:11	advised 253:17	206:24 220:21
abandoned 7:5		156:18 157:17	advocate 342:6	222:14 223:25
abdominal 29:6		160:3 176:25	aerosolize 91:18	224:11 231:3
ability 50:9,19 118:5 121:17 347:9	211:13 256:6 256:12	91:25 103:4 104:14 133:1,4	263:21 271:4 287:11,16,20	
able 7:2 69:17 71:21 120:22 121:22 284:10 299:22	246:15 303:14 305:5,16 312:21 345:22	addition 224:19	133:13	288:16 294:23
Abraham 39:25 121:10,21	achieve 221:19	additional 6:20	aerosolized	297:7 305:14
abreast 222:3 223:16	achieving 221:13 309:19	53:13 54:11 55:3,13 173:5	134:2	311:9 322:22
absolute 127:19 132:15 151:1 164:1	acknowledge 187:21	236:22 237:1 255:13 256:12	affairs 258:25	324:15,21,22
absolutely 129:6 180:15 195:15 247:18 276:7 279:14 284:25 310:25 332:3,5	ACL 348:23	325:14	affect 50:19	324:25 325:10
absorb 250:1,9 264:23	acquired 28:19	address 83:10	51:24 52:3	328:12 332:9
absorbed 44:15 44:16,18,22 51:4 52:18,24 53:4	216:8 296:15	134:18 136:19	53:4 55:16	342:24
absorbs 228:2	acquisition 22:12 184:3 263:24 332:25	139:2 278:12	57:19 58:18	agreed 6:17
academic 245:1	action 321:13	286:3	61:2,20 62:12	232:2
acceptable 69:19,21	active 267:19,20	addressed	71:20 123:18	agreement 6:21
access 121:5,10 121:12,14,15 121:15,17,23 121:25 122:16 240:3,5,9,10 241:10 255:15 255:19 258:20 259:1,2,6,7	actively 267:20	136:17 252:16	146:7 150:17	agrees 203:3
accomplish 221:5	activities 27:5,7	addresses	160:23	ahead 93:24
accomplished 220:25	36:20,23 37:1	343:13	aforesaid 347:6	301:23 330:17
account 54:18	37:7,15,17,18	addressing	afternoon	AHRQ 178:13
	39:24 41:5,13	345:6	213:13	aim 215:20
	41:25 42:1	adds 255:2	ago 9:24 11:4	air 44:9,10,11
	315:21 332:24	256:2	58:7 122:11	44:16,20,23
	334:23	adequate 288:22	226:13,16	48:7,24 49:1
	activity 37:22	341:21	278:24 279:1	51:17,21 52:10
	41:2 96:20	adequately	279:10	53:8 62:8 71:7
	322:18 324:6	12:25	83:22 84:17,20	
	actual 11:16	adiabatic 270:11	30:23 41:7	85:6,10,19,22
	43:16 140:23	adjust 249:23	44:10 68:1	86:15 87:1,9
	151:12 153:14	admission 144:2	78:21 93:22	87:10,13,14
	195:6 268:10	admit 344:16,16	95:24 100:6	90:25 91:7
	268:23 284:11	adult 297:5	124:15,19	99:5 103:3,8
	302:3 309:11	advance 301:8	129:5,17	104:13,14
	Ad 285:25	advanced 29:3	138:16 144:5,9	105:14 106:10
	ADAM 3:13	advantage 224:1	144:10,16	107:17 110:11
	add 54:11	224:5,6,8,10	145:23 147:9	110:12,15,21
	157:12 208:14	252:16 274:23	147:14 150:23	111:22 113:5
	208:15	287:5	153:12 166:12	113:19,23,24
	added 69:24	advantages	166:17 169:22	113:25 114:3,4
	70:2 111:13	140:1 272:20	170:15 173:1	114:15,16,16
		273:2,6,13	178:20 179:1	115:19,21
		274:14 286:25	180:14 185:12	116:8,12,13
		298:10,15	185:18 190:18	120:13 123:20
		344:4	191:13 193:19	123:21,21,22
			197:20 199:4	123:24 126:3,3

126:5,19	143:18 156:3	alternatives	anesthesiologi...	230:4 232:6
132:25 133:3	156:13,19	221:12 325:1	289:22	259:10
134:16 136:19	157:13,18,22	325:15 326:6	anesthetized	anyways 246:25
147:2,5 150:3	177:1 198:23	altogether 291:4	71:19 72:24	252:23 259:23
150:7,8,11,12	199:7,13 251:5	ambient 87:2	73:3 266:17,19	274:18 279:5
152:25 171:24	251:22 322:1	amenable	268:10,12	290:15 318:17
187:2,13,19	322:12,13	270:12	anesthetic	335:5
188:16,18,19	Aircast 31:13,16	Amended 4:6	230:23 270:17	aorta 158:13
188:24 189:2,5	aircraft 72:19	5:13	272:2 341:25	239:24 290:6
189:6,10	airflow 54:22,24	amount 52:11	anesthetic-ind...	aortic 287:8,13
190:19 192:11	55:15,16,18,20	124:14 125:1	229:20 230:5	290:18
192:12,13,14	56:7 105:24	125:11 127:16	264:25	Apgar 193:14
192:17 193:4,5	106:1 118:3	127:23 132:10	anesthetized	apologize 257:13
193:5 250:24	119:19 126:19	132:19 145:2,6	230:9,18 232:2	283:23 298:24
254:2 327:23	146:7 160:23	175:3 201:6,19	266:18	326:18
330:9	172:3,4,10,19	237:12 242:15	Anesthesia 4:25	apparatus 78:12
air-conditioning	175:2,10,14,24	264:2 283:14	Angalingous	apparently
55:13	175:25 176:1	amounts 200:25	202:5	78:14 278:9
air-free 252:17	206:22 335:6	Amy 347:2,15	animals 223:22	appeal 83:3
airborne 17:13	airmen 72:17,23	analyses 274:9	announcement	110:9 143:7
18:1,12,19	al 1:9,18 4:18,22	328:25	303:17	250:20
19:8 20:3,13	277:18 337:2	analysis 38:8	answer 9:9	appear 40:17
20:20 21:7,18	alarm 334:14	54:14 83:9,21	12:25 41:23	113:25 154:16
24:9,15 36:6	Albert 1:24 4:2	89:20 108:21	59:1,13 61:23	APPEARAN...
36:19,24 37:9	5:6,11 347:5	109:19,20,21	61:25 62:10	2:1
38:9,17 39:10	348:2	121:17 129:6	76:10 128:11	appearing 60:20
41:11 42:3,14	alerting 71:22	129:18 169:19	167:13 189:25	appears 93:17
42:16 73:24	all-cause 171:1,7	273:15,17,17	193:20 194:11	286:6 337:19
74:10,14,23	allegations	273:23 316:16	285:15 303:5	appease 253:13
75:6 76:7,14	331:14,19	319:16 320:3	306:25 318:13	application 52:9
76:24 82:13,20	332:10 333:18	321:2,25	318:15 319:4	180:23 217:11
83:24 84:7,23	335:5	analyzed 90:9	323:10 337:4	applications
85:2 89:4 91:2	alleged 323:4	and/or 7:3	answered 9:15	159:16 223:14
91:8,11 93:16	allergic 283:17	Andrea 230:1	133:24 208:8	applied 89:6
95:19 97:24	284:11	anesthesia 1:7	276:5 336:12	95:20 270:13
98:5,23 99:4	allow 287:24	1:17 3:7,17	answers 342:25	280:10
103:16,23	288:10 289:8	52:10 60:15,17	anticipated	apply 97:7
104:4 109:24	allows 101:15	69:22 71:23,24	216:1	appreciate
112:3,5 131:14	314:23	111:23 168:16	anybody 24:16	277:23 306:8
134:15,17,22	alter 188:24	192:3,4 230:8	58:4 117:4	314:11
134:23 135:6	alteration 187:2	230:24 231:15	228:19 258:4	approach
136:13,17	187:13,19	234:11 237:13	258:11 313:22	228:12 274:9
137:3,10,22	188:16 189:5	257:9 264:23	336:17	280:15 282:2
138:17,24	alternative	268:9 270:10	anymore 307:16	appropriate
139:5,7 140:10	252:17 325:18	341:5	anytime 28:6	162:2 168:4
140:21 141:4,9	326:1,13,23,25	anesthesiologist	122:8	appropriately
141:17 142:5	327:19	50:3 131:22	anyway 218:12	245:10

approval 217:7 218:4,5 219:7	289:5 290:9 292:24 295:19	101:6 102:20 103:1 107:10 107:15 112:17	229:25 255:22 258:12 260:9 266:24 286:17	26:22 31:21 33:7 34:20,21 35:4,22 36:1,5
approved 95:4 216:2 217:6 219:4	315:16 323:22 330:18,24 332:4 333:2,4	130:23 131:5 139:13,15 155:20 156:1	291:7 302:16 312:3 342:15	36:7,12,17 37:8 38:7,15 38:21 39:6,7
approximately 118:9 236:7	333:21 335:9 338:11	177:16,22	assumed 131:19 assumes 76:18	40:25 41:14,25
April 1:23 13:17 13:20 14:2,9 14:16 347:11	arms 214:10 Arnold 83:18 arose 126:22 160:12 array 120:11 arrival 235:14 272:15	179:12,17,23 180:6,10,13,16 180:17 182:16 182:17 186:18 186:22 190:1,3 212:20 213:1,5 337:6	177:14 205:12 assuming 87:20 97:16 110:9 138:25 142:25 143:3 149:15 151:22 152:2,3 170:13 185:5	42:8 43:8,21 46:20 49:3,14 50:2,7,8,17,24 51:19 52:2 53:2 62:23 63:24 64:6,11 66:11,19 68:9
area 47:4 49:1 91:19 113:21 236:23 244:12 244:15	arrive 18:13 arrived 31:21 arriving 20:16 art 57:21 articles 27:9,12 ASHRE 56:4,5,5 62:5,7	ASSAD 4:2 asserting 17:7 assertion 17:24 assertions 16:8 16:12 17:5,9 17:12,16 18:6 18:10,25 19:2 21:17	193:11 344:8 assumption 170:15 assumptions 61:4	69:2 75:8,12 78:5 80:15 83:13,13 86:19 88:21 121:25 131:21 158:16 160:12,22 161:8,14,18 177:19 179:9 180:3,7 188:12
argumentative 137:18 212:10 290:11	asked 58:10,14 58:22,23 116:16 133:24 208:7 226:19 228:17,19 263:10 337:17 342:23 asking 39:22 58:18 61:9,11 124:19 179:23 182:15 199:3 258:14 281:19 333:5	assessed 283:8 141:19 279:16 assigned 171:2 314:22 assistance 54:3 assistant 215:12 associated 10:21 16:9 36:22 152:8 220:14 222:15 249:4 251:23 256:16 282:8,18 283:7	assessment 277:11 282:25 attempt 207:15 207:17 309:15 attempted 95:22 attended 154:23 attention 221:9 228:5 253:24 259:20 attorney 315:9 attorneys 12:4,5 15:13,17,20,23 16:3,5,23 18:5 322:18 324:6	189:18 214:5 216:7 217:3 218:13,19,21 221:10,22 225:25 228:10 228:10 229:7 234:2 248:13 250:3,23 251:14 253:15 253:25 281:20 284:3 290:9 295:19 315:15 315:20 323:7 323:16 330:1
Arizant 2:19 16:13,16,19,21 17:1,14 18:4,9 20:18 22:23 24:12 25:2 36:4,17 37:7 38:7,15 39:7 40:25 41:9,14 41:14,25 42:8 43:8,21 46:20 49:3,13 50:3 58:16 66:8,11 66:14,17,18 69:2 75:12,16 117:4 158:16 184:4 214:5 216:5 218:19 221:10,23 225:25 228:10 229:7 233:21 234:2 248:13 260:22 274:13 275:20 277:22 281:20 284:3 285:19 288:12	asks 213:21 aspects 28:24 29:2 98:22 334:25 Assaad 2:6 5:8 5:17 7:25 8:10 20:7,9 37:14 39:18,21 40:1 59:16,25,25 60:23,25 77:4 77:7 88:7 97:3 99:10,16 101:3	ASSOCIATES 1:8 3:17 183:18 assume 9:10 66:18,22 75:19 97:7 132:6 138:13 152:6 155:9 180:7 182:2 203:2	audience 228:24 August 88:20 99:21 Augustine 15:20 16:6,8,23,25 17:7,20,21,25 18:5,13,20 19:8 20:2,13 20:19,25 21:3 21:6,18 25:2	331:14 332:1 333:19 335:13 335:23,24,25 338:11 Augustine's 18:5 Augustine-fun... 335:21 author 260:19 authors 183:1

188:15	133:22 134:7,8	85:5,9,12,18	35:21 37:8	166:18,19,22
autonomic	136:22 140:9	85:21 91:1,12	38:8,17 41:11	168:8,11,18
236:25	141:22,23,25	91:18,25 92:6	42:2,22 44:8	169:7,8 170:13
Avadon 40:19	142:23,24	92:10,13,17,20	45:3,11,25	171:4 177:6,10
40:20 174:6,7	143:16,20	92:24,25 93:1	46:4 50:8,25	177:24 178:11
174:14	145:12,16,25	93:9,13,15	52:4,5,13,17	181:12 185:7
Avadon's	148:24 150:23	103:4,9 104:11	54:11,24 55:7	188:7 189:7
163:19	152:23 153:7	104:14 111:9	57:19 58:19	190:20,24
available 209:10	154:10 155:8,9	112:22 113:6	59:5,9 61:21	191:6 192:17
282:7 324:20	157:20 167:14	114:19 115:23	62:2,11,18	192:20,24
325:15 327:1	173:21 174:2	124:1,4,9,15	72:11 81:10	193:16,21
330:13 333:23	174:18 180:18	125:1,11,18	82:15,22 83:22	194:4,12,17
334:10,11	182:22 183:16	126:6,7,15	84:4,13,16	195:1 197:6
Avenue 2:12	194:3 199:5	127:22 128:2,6	89:5 92:6,13	198:7,12,17,23
average 86:25	201:6,13	132:11 133:1,5	92:23 93:14	198:25 199:6,9
87:13,14,20	203:12,23	133:6,9,13,14	95:19 99:20	199:17 200:3
164:3 269:11	206:9 207:8	133:23 134:1,3	102:15 110:7	200:11,15
avoid 95:18	208:3,13	134:8,9,12,23	110:20 111:14	203:8,23
109:24 179:15	209:20 210:11	144:24 162:9	112:18 113:3,5	205:10,16,20
222:24 263:23	210:15,16,17	162:11,21,22	113:12 115:1,9	206:9 207:20
avoided 244:5	212:12 223:3,6	163:10,16	117:8,14,17	210:14 211:7
256:14	283:4 328:7	165:15 166:11	118:13 119:3	211:25 212:7
avoiding 247:3	329:6,9 331:6	173:2,7,9,14	119:13,20	212:16 216:12
awake 118:11	344:14	173:15,23	120:4,7,19	216:21,22,23
249:14 342:16	awful 306:12	174:15,21	122:21,25	216:25 217:19
aware 8:2 9:3,21	Aylward 3:19	193:21 194:12	126:2,14,25	218:8,11,22
12:2,22 14:3	B	194:17 196:13	127:2,4,9,11	219:3,4 223:20
17:14,16 18:9	B 277:12	197:7,12,17	127:16,17	223:20 242:13
20:20 21:1	bachelor's 56:17	201:20,24	129:18,24	242:17 243:12
36:20 37:1,17	back 7:12 16:12	202:9,11,14,23	132:16,19,22	250:4 251:23
46:21 49:4	21:14 29:9	203:1,15,20	134:16,25	254:19 260:24
50:3,24 51:19	33:2 36:3 46:1	204:2,4,6,8,16	140:7 144:4,6	266:1,24,24
67:5 69:3 78:6	46:2 47:21	204:18 205:8	145:25 146:5,6	274:15 277:12
86:14 90:21	206:21 263:24	206:21 263:24	146:13,17,21	279:23 280:3,5
92:5,8 96:20	53:6,9 61:1	bacterial 85:14	147:2,4,10,19	282:9,12,15,19
103:3 109:5	79:15 82:11	191:5,9,10	148:6,7,7,10	282:25 283:9
112:19,24	104:21 123:8	197:23 198:2,8	148:14,17,18	284:7 285:7
113:3,15,16,17	146:12 156:18	199:10 200:12	149:11 150:9	287:4,11,12,17
114:9,20,22	160:9 182:22	200:16 206:15	150:17,24	287:18,24
117:7 123:9,13	188:14 193:25	206:19	151:20 152:23	288:7 290:23
123:17,20,24	225:11 233:5	bad 150:18	153:1 154:4,10	293:20,22
124:3,21,23	257:5,16 276:2	Bair 4:9,14,16	155:3,15	295:2,4 301:4
125:10,23,25	279:9,15	4:19 16:10	156:13 159:4	301:7 303:10
126:2,8,17	286:24 293:23	17:10 21:23	159:12,17,23	303:24 304:6
128:13,19	339:15	22:8 23:8	160:4,24	304:10,18
131:16 132:10	bacteria 39:13	25:14 31:7,9	161:25 162:5	305:4 308:17
132:13,25	49:10 84:4,23	32:15 34:19	162:17 164:2	308:22 309:1

309:14,17	beg 216:17	209:1 214:8	beyond 166:19	bleeding 169:12
310:7,10,13	began 17:19	226:23 245:4	202:3 203:5	309:6
311:8,16 315:5	19:4 98:17	266:14 279:2,3	204:22 205:13	blood 231:18
315:6,9,12,17	beginning 31:7	313:13 320:7	210:7 280:8	blow 92:6,10,13
315:18 319:17	229:18 320:17	321:16 327:5	281:12	93:1 103:9
320:6 321:17	begins 256:25	330:8 338:6,8	BIBLE 3:17	150:3
321:22 323:5	320:23	340:9,11,14	bibliographies	blower 64:23
326:2 327:4	behalf 2:2,14,19	believed 249:21	15:16	93:14 242:6
328:22 334:5	3:1,5,11,17	288:6 338:12	bibliography	blowing 84:17
335:6 336:6	8:25 9:23 11:2	bell 278:20	26:22	84:20 90:25
338:19 339:2	11:6 21:21	beneath 174:15	big 106:9 222:22	91:6 99:5
339:11,22	59:25 60:3,6,8	beneficial	282:23 283:19	103:3,8 104:13
340:4,15 346:7	60:10,18	169:15	bigger 172:14	104:13 132:25
BALL 2:9	181:22	benefit 166:21	bio 296:8	133:3 134:16
Ballard 3:2	beings 118:6	167:8,24	bioburn 112:19	136:19 188:19
60:13	119:17 127:2	169:10 247:3	112:25 113:6	190:18 193:4
bariatric 308:3	168:16 249:23	273:19 308:17	biology 56:12,13	250:23 254:2
313:14	belief 338:3	308:19 309:11	56:17	blown 189:7
Barrier 329:18	339:1,6,9,11	310:20 311:8	bit 21:14 80:3	blows 83:22
barriers 236:21	339:21 340:3	345:18	83:4 93:8	93:14 147:2,4
based 5:21 7:4	believe 5:18,19	benefit-risk	104:10 123:8	bodies 95:5
10:22 83:21	8:13 9:24	273:17 274:8	146:12 166:10	237:14
90:2 96:7	11:23 14:3	benefit/efficacy	223:19,20	body 44:15,17
97:12 135:3	20:17 23:19	281:15	232:16 251:10	44:19,22 84:18
141:19,21,24	30:19 34:20	benefited 303:9	259:19 261:12	84:21 102:2,3
142:23 143:8	35:1,3 42:10	benefits 167:1	314:15 318:7	102:10 214:11
151:22 155:13	54:4,5 60:5	168:10,13,18	329:1 337:5	214:15,18,19
169:6 188:14	61:5,8,19,25	170:2,16	blanket 29:17,22	228:2,2 230:8
209:2 211:3	70:4,8 71:4	213:23 214:6	50:25 53:22	231:15,17
246:17,21	74:17,24 77:12	219:15 276:14	100:21,23	235:23 237:1,5
253:15 269:9	77:16 80:10	284:4 292:25	101:15,19,21	238:4 270:12
basic 56:7	86:19 89:11,11	Benham 15:23	102:2,3,11	boss 257:21
227:10	101:2 102:6	best 25:24 26:1	126:22 174:3,5	259:16 312:10
basically 79:24	105:24 114:13	26:11 62:17	174:15,22	bottom 100:10
229:23 230:24	117:6 122:15	139:19 197:5	215:2,4 216:15	135:18 173:5
235:17 249:14	125:21 133:16	257:16 273:18	217:23 248:17	225:13 234:5
basis 76:16	135:14 137:12	294:9 307:14	248:20 255:18	270:1 278:15
82:24 142:3	144:24 145:5	bet 328:6	267:13 305:19	295:25 296:22
143:1,4,9	145:11 156:5	347:9	328:10	Boulevard 3:9
254:13 281:5	157:24 160:5	better 48:10	102:4,5,8	3:20
bat 282:22	165:6 166:6	75:13 81:8	199:1 215:6,16	299:5 302:2
Bates 4:11,13,17	171:14 172:2	153:13 244:12	216:3 223:20	307:10
4:18,21 105:2	176:3 180:5,22	192:21 196:18	236:6 243:17	bought 18:8
105:3 135:16	181:14,17	245:15 264:3	256:17 267:17	66:7,8 75:11
225:13 296:23	192:21 196:18	269:11 300:17	267:21 268:1,1	75:12,16
Baxter 347:10	197:11 198:21		325:10 328:25	
bed 78:20 283:4	208:11,13			

Box 2:4	business 28:9,15 28:16,17,19 29:3,13 95:11	capability 41:15 capable 262:7 capturing 343:12 card 4:12 97:5 101:5,11,12 cardiac 307:20 309:5 313:15 C C 5:1 347:2,15 C3M 302:4 calculation 39:13 53:25 54:1 59:4 61:3 62:10 calculations 39:14 42:2 53:7,12 54:15 54:16,17,18 55:16 56:7 57:19 58:15 61:1,16 109:20	cause 37:9 38:9 73:24 74:9,23 75:5 76:7,23 85:15,16 91:1 card 4:12 97:5 91:7,12,13 92:1 93:15,16 99:1 103:3,16 132:11 134:17 care 18:9 29:4 195:13 223:23 255:23 301:9 327:25 328:3 careers 210:17 244:24 careful 228:6 239:6 344:1 careless 290:13 338:17 carries 344:23 carry 49:10 114:19 115:23 126:5,15 128:2 128:6 201:20 201:24 203:1 203:15 204:6 204:15 carrying 123:25 cart 192:4 called 114:6 216:23,24 239:1 254:2 269:24 276:4 327:8,16,25 328:1,6 329:6 329:10 330:3,4 330:7 344:6 calling 251:20 calls 280:24 camera 337:4,6 campaign 250:24 253:24 254:1,3 Campbell 2:4 60:4,4 campus 121:13 121:15 Canada 171:15	231:16,19 238:12 certain 18:25 19:3 64:6 65:2 67:3 89:1 116:10 138:19 147:14 165:9 166:13 175:15 204:5 276:5 301:25 309:4,5 certainly 7:11 7:24 18:11 19:5 51:20 55:17 110:10 141:13 143:20 159:10 183:14 222:19 248:10 251:2 274:5 276:24 288:3 291:24 295:19 320:15 321:20 322:17 331:19 332:2 333:18 334:9 344:13 CERTIFICATE 347:1 certify 347:2 348:3 CFD 37:21,22 38:3,8,11 39:24 120:10 cavities 29:6 CDC 178:13 262:25,25 celebrating 14:1 celsius 23:6,9 cases 236:8,18 70:24 71:11,13 71:15,18,18 87:3,4,4,5,10 175:1 223:1 231:1,7 232:8 232:13 268:15 Center 3:1 60:12 Centerpoint 3:1 3:1 60:12,12 central 214:11 214:17,23
----------------	---	---	--	--

348:8	choked 283:3	cleared 280:20	193:5 231:17	219:9
CHANGE/RE...	choking 283:13	280:21,22	240:17 308:8	commission
348:8	284:9	281:5	310:12 311:7	166:25
changed 52:11	choose 330:12	clearly 119:7	commissioned	17:22 19:7,7
69:9,10 70:19	chooses 208:14	122:16 278:25	19:10 20:2,12	20:25 21:3,6
89:15,18 172:4	chose 205:6	288:16 303:1	38:12,21 39:21	38:12,21 39:21
218:19 257:7	207:18 208:21	clears 280:12	39:24 40:21	39:24 40:21
271:17 273:4	Cincinnati	Cleveland 42:11	42:5,8,13,25	42:5,8,13,25
changes 43:5	327:22 329:9	42:20,21	college 238:2	43:9,20 44:1,5
64:13 148:10	Cindy 4:22	climate 328:7	collection	121:3 122:1
171:20,25	277:8,16 278:7	226:10	162:18 163:9	162:18 163:9
172:3,9 176:19	278:17 286:8	clinic 42:12,20	color 298:23	163:17,20
changing 134:18	CIRCUIT 1:1	42:21	colorectal 4:20	165:2 182:2
134:19 157:12	circuitry 41:21	clinical 11:13	column 135:17	188:11 189:18
157:15 166:10	242:4	15:15 27:8,9	241:10	332:13,15
characteristics	circulated	27:11 28:14	combination	committee
172:16,17	258:17	31:4 37:20	176:7 297:12	208:10,21
characterized	citation 233:6	73:1,6 119:15	combined 54:23	211:11
251:12	citations 233:10	225:16 229:13	come 29:16	common 58:15
CHARLES 3:18	233:12 259:20	234:12 258:25	212:13 217:1	80:23
Charmaine 2:22	cite 233:1 336:3	285:13 291:15	comes 153:20	commonly 235:7
60:9	cited 232:22	291:16,18,20	158:20 192:17	communicated
chart 227:6	235:7	291:23 303:25	233:1,8,8	289:4 332:3
230:4,22 231:4	citing 299:1	304:6,8 325:25	communicating	288:16
231:22,24	307:11	334:23	communication	7:15
232:6 233:6,7	City 1:9 3:4,9,17	clinically 310:9	communicatio...	13:4 333:21
239:1,3 242:20	3:20 60:16	clinician 71:22	community	143:23 144:19
245:18 249:12	claims 323:2,3,4	clinicians 75:4	145:13,18	145:13,18
254:18 255:14	323:14,15	76:2,6 86:6	148:25 153:23	148:25 153:23
263:7 264:24	clamp 158:13	89:25 161:12	companies 36:5	206:24
266:4,23 270:4	239:25 290:6	251:4 252:8	42:6 75:11,25	221:14 294:7
272:20 274:18	290:18	283:21 314:20	221:14 294:7	294:16 345:12
286:25 287:5,8	clap 287:8	clinicians'	companies'	334:19
290:5 298:9,14	clarification	333:11	334:19	company 2:19
300:12 301:1,2	277:23	close 47:13	5:15 9:1 28:21	34:25 35:5
308:1 337:17	clarify 104:9	228:5	300:12	50:14,15 66:8
337:18,23	105:4 166:1	closer 320:16	commercial	66:11 69:3
338:9,23	179:25	coaching 179:19	86:21	
339:16 340:22	clear 35:18 61:3	cockpits 72:19	commercialized	
343:1	67:9 81:24	Cocoon 328:1		
charts 232:21	103:2 116:18	code 65:25		
265:9,17	153:20 158:5	66:10,14,15,16		
342:23 343:18	164:14 252:4	66:19,22 95:7		
checked 259:14	271:15,20	cold 81:14 82:3		
chemistry 56:11	273:12 281:3	82:9 113:24		
56:19,20	clearance 77:14	114:3,16		
choice 289:6	78:8	115:19 116:13		
		123:21,21		

73:3 75:13	176:21 185:23	311:19	161:12 220:7	320:5,7 330:18
76:13 78:2	242:22 265:13	complicated	248:8 251:4	331:7 333:6
86:19 92:5	292:22	245:4 314:13	252:8 254:10	conducting
95:17 96:9	compares 106:1	complication	254:12 282:21	155:5
98:4 103:3	300:6	169:23 263:18	289:9 333:11	conductive
104:18 107:6	Comparing	264:10,11	345:6,10	29:11,16,22
107:10 110:5	42:16,22	complications	conclude 148:13	30:10,13
113:17 114:9	comparison	263:22	263:16	214:23,25
114:22,24	105:25 242:24	components	concluded	215:6 223:8
119:11 122:23	268:7	29:4	346:17	324:14 325:8
123:9,20 124:3	comparisons	composite	concludes	329:4
125:25 126:2	265:25	167:17 195:20	198:11,16	cone 34:7
126:10 129:5	compendium	compound	199:6	cone-shaped
129:17 130:19	304:17,24	184:1 251:25	conclusion	22:25
131:16 133:22	compensate	comprehensive	191:2 237:9	conference
134:7 136:12	55:14	308:1	280:25	212:14
139:23 140:9	compensated	computational	conclusions	confidence
141:3,16	54:12	123:2,7	190:16 269:9	332:23
143:12,16	compensatory	computer	conclusive	confident
145:25 148:24	235:25 266:6	102:17	194:16 196:5	336:11
152:6,15 153:3	competitors	con 255:1,13	212:16	CONFIDENT...
153:12 154:2	139:17,24	256:1,23 273:4	condition 68:14	1:21
157:21 158:15	complaining	conceivably	68:17 309:4,13	confidentiality
158:18,24	247:14 250:21	103:9	309:13	332:17,20
159:5,7,11	251:1	conceived	conditions 52:9	configuration
160:15 162:22	complaint	228:20	52:12 62:3	216:16
163:10,17,21	253:16 276:3	concept 131:23	64:8 116:20	confirm 36:21
165:3,15	312:14 323:19	Concepts 28:21	133:18 174:4	36:23 37:2,8
169:20 188:1	complaints	concern 47:8	conduct 66:1,10	163:12,22
216:8 218:14	251:8,8,21	73:19,22 93:12	66:14,15,16,19	confirmed 116:7
218:18 222:7	253:13,15	93:17 109:23	66:23 120:22	confirms 344:13
228:25 251:14	320:19 323:18	110:1 125:16	164:7 183:9	confused 13:23
251:18 252:4	333:25	129:23 220:6	214:5 285:4,14	connect 101:16
253:14 284:1	complete 242:6	251:14 253:21	291:11 330:24	connection
303:17 327:25	242:7 345:21	253:23 275:17	conducted 27:5	205:18
329:13 330:3	347:8 348:6	331:20 343:13	44:2 72:15,17	cons 239:2,9
330:19,23,25	completed 345:4	concerned 17:21	73:6,16 83:8	242:21,25
331:10 332:21	completely	17:25 91:10,17	123:3 129:22	245:18 254:17
332:25 334:20	22:20,24 87:15	94:24 154:5	141:7,12	273:7,13 344:4
334:25	138:19 180:12	220:10 222:17	155:15 162:16	conscious 284:9
company's	191:1 194:2	222:23 295:1	167:17 168:9	consensus
140:3 141:16	197:14 209:20	344:11 345:8	177:5,9 187:25	124:22 127:20
comparatively	244:15 281:24	concerning	188:1 213:22	132:2 154:19
328:21	317:14	161:11 285:7	281:14 283:19	182:7,12
compare 272:24	complex 206:22	334:25	292:24 299:2	208:10,20
compared 65:5	compliant	concerns 84:21	299:10,18	209:6,24
127:17 140:2	276:17 311:11	111:11 157:16	300:2 319:17	210:10,20

211:1	contained	25:19	139:5,7 140:11	343:4,5 344:6	15:4 35:22
consequences		135:5	140:21 141:4	contraindicati...	36:1 252:12
245:9	contaminants		141:10,18	287:17 288:12	cool 238:4
consider	25:11	111:12,13,15	142:5 143:18	289:10,14	267:22 269:22
152:19 177:3		113:10 192:13	143:22 156:4	290:7,14,23	cooling 34:3,4
215:5 276:22	contaminate		156:19 157:13	contraindicati...	59:9
309:23		40:17 91:19	157:18,22	25:15,16,19	coordinated
consideration		190:11,12	177:1 187:4,15	158:8,10	331:9
47:9 67:23		199:18 241:15	188:8 189:13	288:17	copied 138:5
68:4,12,20,21		250:19 338:24	189:20 190:5	contribute 335:8	140:17 226:17
69:1 74:17		338:25 343:8	191:5,7 194:6	contributor	copy 11:19
291:10 292:14		345:9	198:24 199:7	346:8	19:19
292:15 344:3	contaminated		199:10,13	control 49:20	copying 139:4,6
considerations		135:10 173:8	200:12,16	148:8 196:6	copyright 80:15
46:24 65:2,22		335:7 339:3	251:5,22 289:9	242:4 249:13	88:18 100:7
74:21	contaminates		322:2,12,13	250:4,7	302:2,9
considered		110:23 111:4	contents 10:19	controlled 55:12	core 52:11 195:2
29:10 64:7,9		339:12	10:24	94:23 142:10	215:18 230:7
64:21 74:12	contaminating	343:15	context 223:12	controversy	232:6,13
82:14,21 84:8		contamination	241:22 282:10	86:16	238:14,18
84:9,12,24		4:16 16:9	continue 8:6	convective 29:11	265:10 266:6
85:8 103:24		17:10,13 18:2	93:24 131:6	236:6 239:2,10	266:20 268:7
176:25 257:5		18:12,19 19:9	181:25 227:16	239:12,16	268:15 269:16
267:19 316:6		20:3,14,20	245:13 275:7	241:14 242:21	269:19,20,21
322:3,14		333:17	314:9 323:21	242:22 243:2	292:22 300:7
333:17		21:7,19 24:9	340:1 345:11	243:10 245:19	300:13 309:21
consist 64:24		24:15 36:6,19	continued 10:23	246:12 248:20	309:25 310:1
consistent		36:25 37:9,23	continues	253:8 254:19	310:24 311:1
252:25 253:4		38:10,17 39:11	323:25 335:20	255:14 256:2	Corey 2:22 12:6
296:1		41:12 42:3,14	continuing	256:23 272:21	59:16 60:9
consisting 347:7		42:16 73:24	228:11	274:14,24	225:3 306:21
348:4		74:10,14,23	continuously	287:1,3 298:11	318:11 319:19
constant 148:9		75:6 76:7,14	267:23 320:21	313:12 324:14	corporate 1:25
construe 7:4		76:24 82:13,20	320:25	344:5,19	2:17 4:7 5:14
consult 322:5		83:24 84:7,24	contract 294:18	convectively	5:25 8:21,24
consultant		85:2 89:5 91:2	294:22	243:14	9:22 10:25
181:15,19		91:8,11 93:16	contracts 294:3	convention	11:10,10 12:13
consultations		95:19 97:24	294:3,7	212:14	15:14 26:19
153:7		98:5,23 99:4	contradictions	conventional	336:10
consulted		103:16,23	25:12,13	55:8 118:3	corporation
152:15 153:3		104:5 109:24	contraindicated	119:19 193:12	210:19
154:2		112:3,5 131:14	158:13 239:23	conventionally	correct 8:22
consumers		134:15,17,22	287:7,13 288:1	53:10 126:20	11:22 12:23
184:15		134:23 135:7	288:7 289:4,7	127:7	13:24 16:13,16
contacted		136:14,17	290:4 291:4	conversation	17:15 18:2,7
275:21		137:3,10,23	310:18 338:4,7	14:13,14	18:10 19:9,13
contain 202:23		138:18,24	338:10,13,21	conversations	19:15,21,24

20:4,7,14,17	102:10 104:1,2	184:11,16,21	97:25 106:14	254:17 295:6
21:9 23:10,11	104:11,22	185:3,8 186:4	106:23 108:8	315:13 337:10
23:22 24:3,7	105:10,18,19	186:13 187:16	174:24 189:15	342:13
24:20 25:3,6,9	106:17 108:1	187:20,23	241:8 262:7	Courier 306:13
25:12,20,22	108:13 109:25	188:3,12,20	270:24 271:12	course 53:9
26:4,6,10	110:13,14,16	189:1,20 190:6	288:21 301:12	73:15 95:9
27:16,21 29:20	111:16 113:1	190:9,13,24	302:2	194:23 227:25
29:23 30:17	113:23 114:1,4	191:12,14,23	correlates	230:22 247:20
31:10,14,23	114:5,7,16	192:6,14,25	183:17	248:12 289:3
32:1,2,3,10,11	115:14,15,17	193:4,5,8,17	correlation	334:12
32:13,14,17	115:18,21,23	193:24 194:14	145:6,14	court 1:1 5:3 7:1
33:15 34:22	117:9 118:9	195:12,17	146:16 153:11	8:4 179:7
36:14 37:4,19	119:9,23 121:4	196:2,8,20,23	153:25 178:6	299:16
38:22 39:4	121:6,12 122:2	197:3,7 199:20	180:20 183:10	Court's 5:22
40:3 41:12	122:10,14	200:5,9,13,22	cost 220:22	cover 6:17 7:9
42:4,23,24	123:11,15,22	201:2,9 202:9	244:8 249:4,8	89:5 95:20
43:5 44:8 45:9	126:7,25 130:7	202:12,18	256:20 305:18	225:3 261:14
46:4,5,12,18	130:17 132:16	203:2,7,13	329:1	covered 5:19,23
46:23 47:1,5	132:20,21	205:1,10,22	cost-effective	7:20 89:22
47:22 49:1,14	133:10,15	206:1,7 207:2	326:7,17,19	117:8 305:19
49:18 50:5	134:25 135:7	207:21 208:17	cost-utility	COVID 85:25
51:2,22 52:19	135:13,22,24	209:8,12,25	328:24	86:14,14
52:25 55:3	136:3,6,9,20	210:5 211:2	costs 244:6,8	Covidien 327:16
56:9,15,24	136:21,24	212:8 216:9	256:3,12,16	create 33:8
57:16 59:4,10	137:11,16	217:7 220:25	306:1	38:14 78:2
61:5 62:25	138:8 139:6,14	226:25 227:14	cotton 215:2,4,5	229:24
63:1,4,12,16	141:5 143:14	232:10,20	215:16 216:3	created 62:24
63:21 64:2,5	143:19 145:3	239:18 240:4	217:22 267:17	97:13 120:19
64:15,25 65:9	146:8,23 147:2	240:13 241:23	267:21,25	339:22
66:4,5,8,12	148:8,10	249:18 263:17	325:10 328:10	creating 30:10
67:17,24 69:8	149:17,25	268:25 269:8	328:25	30:13 117:18
70:20 72:1,24	150:1,3,21	269:13 270:25	counsel 13:5	creation 222:18
72:25 74:5,6	151:5,17	272:10 275:5	14:20 26:15,18	Creek 2:16
74:10 75:22	154:20,23	284:23 288:15	27:1,13 324:4	criminal 335:15
77:15,19 78:13	156:4,7,14	288:24 290:19	counted 176:7	criteria 180:3
80:1,2,18,24	157:1,6 158:2	291:1 297:20	counteracted	critical 199:22
81:12,22,25	158:6,8 159:24	300:16 303:15	193:11	199:22 231:11
82:10 83:14	162:8,19,20	309:20 335:22	country 121:18	CRNA 3:18
84:14 85:6,17	163:11,13	337:20,25	counts 146:4	cross 239:24
86:20 87:5,6,7	164:3,8 166:4	344:8 347:8	149:15 151:8	287:8 290:6,18
87:11 89:10,14	166:23 167:25	348:5	151:11,23	cross-clamp
90:6,22 91:2	169:2,4,21,24	corrections	163:16 206:3	287:13
92:18,21 93:10	170:4 172:11	348:7	COUNTY 1:1	cross-country
93:16,23 94:3	172:20,24	corrective	couple 9:24 28:7	238:2
94:7 95:8,14	173:3 174:22	321:13	83:15 118:2	cuboid 23:1
96:2 98:19,23	175:2 176:8	correctly 82:16	138:12 139:8	current 8:9
100:8 102:5,6	177:7 181:1,16	87:7,8 89:7	171:14 233:11	22:11 236:4

254:20 255:1 343:19 currently 137:2 137:9,15,16,20 140:21 181:16 Curt 169:1 Curtis 42:12,18 42:19 149:13 149:17,20 151:6,10,18 178:1 custodian 306:21 customer 228:25 229:11 customers 19:1 19:3 26:8 54:10 66:3 158:1,1,4 162:1 183:24 228:22 247:13 248:4,9 250:20 251:20 253:22 273:21 276:4 288:20,25 298:2,3 301:15 333:22 345:6 cutaneous 270:22 CV 56:14	100:18 101:24 101:25 260:18 290:21 296:19 302:11 348:21 dated 99:20 277:8 347:10 dates 16:15 David 15:22 Davidson 3:14 Davis 60:16,16 336:20 342:18 day 132:18 228:11 335:20 348:22 days 259:22 318:8 De 196:22 deal 8:7 39:10 106:9 deals 172:22 dealt 149:20 213:2 Dear 212:14 death 170:8,9,19 170:23 171:5 debate 247:2 308:20 310:8 decade 276:11 decades 276:8 December 4:15 6:10,15,18,19 100:16 252:14 decide 67:9 72:19 93:1 212:6,8 240:18 330:12 decided 209:2 decides 63:24 decision 28:9,10 28:11 64:11 72:21 280:23 294:20 decisions 316:20 decline 270:15 271:25 decrease 195:7 231:20 263:8	265:11 269:21 decreases 269:16 dedication 306:8 deemed 252:11 deep 132:11 145:7,15,20 149:12,22 151:4 153:5,11 153:22 169:22 170:10,14 177:11,24 178:2,7 180:21 183:11,18 195:17 196:2,2 196:8,14 197:1 198:13,17 208:5 331:21 335:8 346:8 defend 286:11 Defendant 2:19 281:20 Defendants 1:10 1:19 2:14 3:1,5 3:11,17 60:15 60:17 Defendants' 213:22 281:14 defense 5:19 6:2 December 4:15 6:10,15,18,19 100:16 252:14 decide 67:9 72:19 93:1 212:6,8 240:18 330:12 decided 209:2 decides 63:24 decision 28:9,10 28:11 64:11 72:21 280:23 294:20 decisions 316:20 decline 270:15 271:25 decrease 195:7 231:1,7 232:13 231:20 263:8	delay 245:6 delayed 299:23 delivered 269:13 demonstrate 169:14 298:9 demonstration 263:4 dense 115:19 116:12 123:21 193:5 dental 192:21,22 department 324:6,8 departments 28:15 331:10 departure 28:8 depended 143:4 dependent 210:17 depends 67:18 319:5 depo 183:3 deponent 348:2 deposed 213:18 deposition 1:24 4:7 5:14 6:5 9:18 10:1,11 10:15,16,18,23 10:25 11:10 12:1,15,18 13:4,10,14 14:4,17 15:2,7 21:25 26:14 35:19,23 77:22 90:16 127:13 128:10 183:4,6 202:4 204:23 207:5 212:11 226:4 247:17 304:21,25 305:1 346:16 348:6 depositions 226:21 257:16 308:16 DEREK 3:6 derive 146:11	261:17 derived 262:20 dermal 283:15 317:1 describes 81:4 description 4:5 81:3 design 17:8 35:20 41:17 46:24 47:9 53:12 56:2,6,7 62:15,21 63:6 63:7,19,24,24 64:7,12,20,23 65:3,20,21 66:23 67:13,23 68:7,10,13 74:3,8 75:18 83:8,12 90:4,7 90:10,11 93:21 94:4,6,9,12,18 94:18,19 95:13 95:13,17,18 96:1,1,2,3,9,11 96:15,18,19 112:18 123:9 129:4 131:8 133:22 139:16 139:19 151:22 152:5 156:25 157:5 159:18 176:19 213:2 218:22 219:3 320:13 321:21 321:21 designated 5:24 8:20 12:13 designed 22:9 34:18 46:4,7,8 46:21 63:3 74:25 147:17 168:8 218:24 designers 93:4 designing 47:19 63:10,14,20 68:2,4 203:11 276:19
--	---	---	--	---

designs 49:23	171:15	83:7 86:17	difficult 40:15	116:24 133:7
desire 143:21	device 26:3	111:22 112:19	245:10 255:18	154:13 156:2
despite 245:11	30:11,14 31:13	115:4 131:17	294:21 297:7	160:9 184:10
291:2	32:16 33:8	132:23 136:23	298:2,4 309:22	192:9,12
detail 334:7	34:3,4,7 46:11	140:2,7 143:17	341:5	257:19 258:1,2
detailed 239:5	63:14,21 64:9	158:24 159:3	difficulty 245:11	258:3,11,12
detect 168:5	64:12 65:4,23	159:23 160:2	297:5 302:11	259:12,17
266:7	67:20,24 68:2	172:9 184:20	diligence 330:18	discussing 10:14
determination	68:5,8,22 74:8	210:21,25	330:24 331:7	11:9 12:3
141:15	75:20,21 77:13	223:10 242:18	332:4,8,13	160:14 237:24
determine 36:18	77:18 78:3,10	249:6 252:14	333:5 334:4,18	257:23,24
38:9 41:10	78:18 79:5	325:5	335:17 336:12	discussion 10:19
42:2 43:10	81:6 82:19	diagram 4:8	dimensions 61:8	74:13 187:1,7
50:8,18 52:3	83:2,9 90:6,9	78:18,25	62:6	317:12
53:3,7 63:19	90:12 91:18	191:15	directed 112:25	discussions 12:4
72:18 73:14	92:6,10 95:1	difference 22:22	113:7 214:9	90:17 156:18
76:23 79:16	100:21 110:8	90:3 105:20	238:10	157:9,14
117:16 122:24	110:20 115:1	133:17 149:14	directing 214:14	181:11 183:8
126:13 129:7	119:13 120:4	149:16,20,22	direction 113:22	183:13,15,20
139:19 141:8	126:15 127:10	151:9 163:1	directions	184:7 316:20
149:9 153:4	129:19 131:10	215:15 238:22	120:14	disease 128:20
166:21 183:9	131:13 134:25	270:23 289:13	directly 140:18	128:24 129:2
183:21 188:2	135:5,12	300:13	199:15	154:9
213:23 214:5	137:23 138:10	differences	director 285:12	diseases 264:20
219:15 281:15	138:17 139:1	168:5	dirty 169:4	dishes 191:11,19
284:3 292:25	140:3 143:2	different 22:24	308:5	192:5 193:10
300:2	152:9,17 160:4	55:25 61:7	disadvantages	193:14
determined	170:13 171:18	64:4 68:16	140:2 272:21	disposable 256:7
73:10 89:20	171:21,23	70:2 81:8	273:5,6,13	disposables
103:7 133:3	172:1,13,15	89:11 94:11	274:14 286:25	249:9
determining	181:12 187:2	105:14,22,23	298:10,15	dispute 18:18
61:20 162:5	187:12,12,18	105:24,24	344:4	disruption 244:4
178:5	188:15 189:1,4	144:17,24	disagree 125:4,5	distinction
develop 75:7	189:7,11	146:9 151:20	125:8 145:17	269:1 310:2
107:23 285:13	197:22 206:24	162:12 166:21	disciplines	distinguish
316:15	212:7 216:1,19	168:10 171:21	152:13	92:24 140:7
developed 22:11	216:21 217:5	198:5 220:24	disclosure 258:8	166:20
22:20 238:10	218:3,6 249:7	221:5,16 230:5	disclosures	distributions
developing	254:20 273:22	233:12 249:6	252:14,20	245:3
94:13	280:21 281:6	265:16 286:3,4	discomfort	division 1:9
development	283:7 289:8,11	291:16 297:21	81:13 82:3	27:21
30:9 124:10	289:19 290:3	309:10 314:24	discount 298:1	doctors 152:7,14
160:19 199:23	290:16 309:12	321:22 324:16	discover 11:5	155:1 227:19
282:1 314:21	345:7	324:19,23	discuss 11:18	document 77:11
316:13 320:17	devices 34:5	327:4 345:12	157:4 234:1	77:21,24 80:8
331:8	69:10 75:15	differently 71:20	discussed 6:5	80:10,17,21
Devereux	77:17 78:7	differs 66:14	34:15 104:1	88:10 90:2

97:12,13 99:18	17:7,21,25	332:4,8,12	328:19	efficient 314:12
99:23,25 100:1	21:18 26:22	333:5 334:4,17	EasyWarm	efforts 331:9
101:1 104:22	35:22 36:1	335:17 336:12	329:18	eight 185:15
105:1,11 106:1	50:7 60:13,19	duration 221:14	ECG 255:19	either 16:22
106:5 107:5,21	62:22 64:6	263:23	ECO 142:10	21:20,21 56:2
142:8 225:4,8	78:5 83:13	Duren 1:24 4:2	editing 166:3	91:13 97:8
228:18 233:19	86:19 121:10	4:18,22 5:6,9	edits 166:6	121:3 163:17
261:11,18	128:4,5,8,14	5:11 7:6,9,21	educate 158:1	165:9 207:25
275:12 277:25	128:19,22	8:11 31:21	effect 4:19 45:13	251:20 288:13
278:4 279:12	131:21 152:16	103:2 131:6	45:25 53:3,7	290:22 293:24
299:8 303:24	212:14 216:7	213:14 225:7	117:17 118:3	315:5
306:21 312:16	217:3 218:13	233:18 247:20	119:16 120:1	elaborate 15:18
315:21 322:5	218:21 228:10	252:13 260:5	128:1 147:1	83:4
documenting	230:21 231:4	260:19 263:9	148:19,23	elapsed 232:18
263:3	250:3,23	273:12 277:24	189:4 193:15	elected 285:3
documents 15:6	251:14 253:25	295:11 305:14	214:9 238:18	electric 223:10
15:9,11,11,12	265:3 304:21	314:9 317:20	260:23 280:3	242:5
15:14,15,15,19	335:24	320:2 324:15	292:21 299:22	electrodes
15:21,22 16:2	draft 233:5,19	330:21 331:16	321:5 335:1	255:19
16:5 26:13,17	233:23 246:9	342:21 343:17	effective 214:12	electronically
26:20,20,20,21	277:12,16	347:5 348:2	215:21,24	259:9
26:24 27:2,4,7	292:6 307:14	dynamics 57:8	220:19,20	element 64:23
27:12 28:3	343:11	123:3	240:24 245:19	elevated 109:7
90:16 209:7	drafted 239:3	_____	255:11 296:25	eliminate 96:18
226:2,11 227:7	257:18 262:13	E	297:11,13,15	275:17
230:22 284:17	270:4 288:4	E 5:1,1 88:9	297:19,25	eliminated
314:14	338:2	348:1,1	298:3,5 300:3	96:14 198:22
doing 41:15	drafting 259:15	E.J 4:8 77:10	309:18 325:12	274:22 275:3,6
49:17 57:18	292:1 344:1	earlier 17:17	325:15 328:13	eliminates 199:9
119:8 139:4,17	drafts 345:3	19:25 45:20	336:6	248:8 249:9
139:24 165:11	drape 117:9	140:16	effectively 30:24	346:7
174:13 181:22	249:1	early 11:7 12:20	245:25	eliminating
197:1 218:9	drapes 115:9,11	12:21 13:15	240:24 247:9	240:24 247:9
242:25 244:13	draw 110:20	14:6 17:18	253:11 275:1	253:11 275:1
276:7,23	253:24 269:9	19:2 22:3 36:6	344:21	344:21
292:20 321:24	drawn 134:24	93:19 104:19	else's 303:2,4	else's 303:2,4
334:4	draws 113:5	104:19 114:12	305:21	305:21
dollar 244:8,9	driver's 312:20	129:24 156:6	email 4:22 14:12	email 4:22 14:12
dollars 49:23	drop 232:6	easier 52:16	58:9,23 277:7	58:9,23 277:7
donor 154:20	dropped 312:12	279:18 325:21	277:15 278:12	277:15 278:12
dosage 276:17	dryer 77:20,21	325:24	efficacious 266:8	286:3,6 293:17
doubt 79:21	drying 78:12	easily 237:4	efficacy 111:2	345:16
334:8	dual-heating	East 1:16 3:6,12	214:6 219:15	emailing 253:3
Doug 34:20 35:3	252:14	60:22	219:23 222:12	emails 294:23,24
83:13	due 89:4 91:4	Easter 14:1	281:2,10 284:4	295:6 345:2
DOUGLAS 1:13	215:15 330:17	easy 240:5	292:21 293:1	Emison 2:3,3
Dr 15:20 16:8,23	330:24 331:6	325:19 328:14	303:25 324:23	60:5,6

emitted 52:4,14 52:16	68:23 73:24 78:11,15 84:5	3:3 essentially	202:1,2 205:12 207:22,24	executed 285:2 exhaust 48:25 exhausted 52:4 189:3
emphasize 161:10	92:7,14 93:2 108:13 111:16	174:13 246:22 256:7 271:5	208:1,2 209:3 212:16 229:12	exhaustively 282:21
employable 56:21	116:9,11 117:8 117:13 118:1	291:15 300:12 320:13 326:9	316:9 326:1 evidence-based	Exhibit 4:5 5:12 11:21 12:1
employee 278:10	119:8 123:1,11	337:19	301:10 311:19 312:13	77:2,3,4,5,8
employer 343:20	123:15,18	Essentials	exact 17:4 97:6 147:21 176:2	79:12,14 80:6 88:4,5,8 96:8
empowering 301:8	132:24 203:10 249:24 252:18	327:25 328:3 establish 73:7	exactly 55:5 152:22 154:8	96:24 97:1,4 99:14,17 100:4
energy 51:4,15 51:15,22,22 52:14 73:11 113:19,21,21 118:20,25 119:1,3 122:24 215:17 242:15	environments 46:14,15 116:10 epidemiological 178:5,10,19,21 179:2,10 180:19	124:12,14 estimated 87:18 201:23 estimates 87:16 et 1:9,18 ether 51:5	175:16 179:10 199:3 215:4 343:23 EXAMINATI...	100:7 102:15 103:21 104:21 135:7,15,21 186:18,20
engineer 34:21 34:24 57:16 139:18	epidemiology 177:5,9,15,23	ethics 95:7 evacuate 47:17	4:2 5:7 213:11 337:7 342:19	187:11 213:19 224:24 260:1,5
engineering 11:13 15:10 39:14 56:23 57:1,21 96:4 96:19,20 213:2 331:11	epiphany 161:11 equal 264:5 EQUATOR 327:8	evaluate 152:8 169:18 285:3 evaluated 149:17 283:7	examiner 79:25 example 11:15 26:23 29:6 63:15 64:10	260:16 261:19 261:20 265:13 272:25,25 277:1,5 278:4
engineers 57:23 283:20 314:19	equipment 241:23	evaluates 281:8 evaluating 90:12	67:6 69:2 78:16 163:1,19	278:16 279:4,4 279:15 281:17
Enhanced 307:6	equivalent 78:9	evaluation	167:1 170:25	286:7,24 291:6
enormous 168:6	185:11 281:6	279:25 280:5	203:11 238:20	295:8,12 323:2
ensure 281:20	324:24 325:6	281:19,21	238:23 239:13	337:9,12,13,19
entire 48:20 244:24 288:6	326:4	322:20	250:19 255:18	337:19,22,23
entirely 22:20 67:3 165:9 175:15	era 53:20	evaluations	293:23 294:16	338:9 339:7,15
entry 244:15	ERAS 307:4,4	331:13	297:4 308:3	340:21
environment 44:12,14 45:9 45:14 46:1 47:10 48:18 49:20 51:1,25 52:5,25 53:5 63:12,15 64:2 64:14 65:22 67:1,22 68:3 68:11,15,17,20	307:11,12,14 307:17,22,23 307:24,25 308:13 311:11 311:20 312:3,4	event 275:14 316:18 320:18 322:16 330:10 events 222:15 309:6 317:6	309:2,20 310:5 320:20 325:2 333:25	exist 51:5 64:8 116:22,23 180:5
Erickson 3:8	EVER008350... 4:18	everybody 60:23 evidence 76:18	examples 239:24 287:7	existed 50:18 69:18 97:20
error 7:16	44:25 67:21	117:11,24	exceedingly 168:3	108:16 111:20 112:9
especially 35:19	75:21 132:7	119:11,25	exceeds 273:20	existence 17:9
	144:11 145:13	143:5 155:14	exception 23:16	143:6,8
	248:15 263:6	167:6 177:14	excess 53:3 250:1	existing 83:7 236:6
	Esq 2:6,9,12,16	194:16 195:16	exchanges 62:8 exclude 177:18	exists 51:6 109:3 110:3 116:15
		196:5,5 200:8	excluded 41:20	119:12 256:9
		200:19,20,24	exclusive 168:14 excuse 340:20	exited 111:3 exiting 71:7

expect 173:20 227:15 289:1 321:20 322:6	281:11,17 316:4 318:12 319:23 323:10	297:10 313:1 330:23 337:3 344:7,9,10,23	275:18,24 276:8,19,22 277:20,24	267:22
expectation 316:7	343:25 344:5 344:17,22	factor 74:7 152:19	278:5 279:9 280:23 284:22	faster 263:19 264:9
expected 231:5	external 141:25	factors 62:8 63:11,19 68:16 74:3 153:14	286:17,23 288:8,14,23 289:1,5,11,15	fastest 269:21
expensive 244:18 328:22	165:10 231:17 231:18 249:23	facts 76:18 177:14 205:12	289:17,21,24 290:9,25	fault 129:12
experience 210:3 227:19 273:21 274:5 293:18 296:1 301:6 315:3 316:11	266:5 externally 159:2 270:13	factual 343:19 failing 235:8 failure 319:16 320:3 321:2,5 321:8	292:12,14 293:5,14 295:5 297:16 299:1 300:18,21 301:15 303:13	favor 337:2
experiment 151:23	extremely 105:14 237:20	Failure-Mode 321:1,24	304:3,9,13,18 305:5,15	fawfacts.com 122:7
experimented 115:4	298:4	fair 9:10 14:10 14:11 21:4 34:9 38:18 48:21 61:4	307:16 308:18 309:12 311:8 316:10 317:13 321:3 322:25	FDA 31:19 67:9 67:12,15,21 77:14 78:7
expert 57:13,16 86:4 128:4,20 129:1 201:22	extremities 214:18,24 217:23 237:18 237:19 292:23	extremity 214:9 215:23 238:10	324:17 325:16 326:3 327:4	81:2 94:23,25
experts 153:22 154:3,14 155:7 155:11,13 159:11 210:16	extremity-dire... 217:17	extremity-dire... 212:5 219:22 220:5,16	328:10,19 332:4 333:24	95:1,4,7,10
explained 7:13	extremity-dire... 280:4	221:10,19,24 222:2 226:19	334:3 335:4,9 335:13,18	216:1 217:6,7
explanation 41:19 111:17 142:15 147:20 147:22,23 148:1,3 345:1	F	227:16,21 228:4,11 229:8 229:13 230:21	336:13 343:2 343:10,11,17	219:4 280:11
explanations 147:24	facilities 293:19 294:2	231:7 232:14	344:15,24 345:13 346:1,9	feel 12:25 79:8 312:20
explicitly 317:7	facing 344:24	232:19,20	fall 7:8	feet 214:10
exploiting 237:13	fact 18:8 23:8 30:6 33:6	234:8 235:1,20 236:13,18	187:5,15	238:21
exposed 250:8 264:19	65:25 68:10 83:22 91:11	240:25 241:16 242:23 243:24	false 211:17,19	felt 238:16,17
expressed 161:12	96:7 114:14,24 116:6 117:7	245:15 246:8,9 248:8,14	familiar 39:23	fewer 264:10
extensive 281:18 281:21 282:5 283:14 284:17	125:14 137:4 139:2 143:10	251:15 252:5 252:21 253:18	190:5,13	field 43:11 46:22
extent 7:7,22 219:20 251:11 253:7,12 255:24 280:7	164:5 167:5 186:23 192:9	254:11 256:15 259:19 261:23	199:18 200:4	47:16 48:1,3,8
	205:20 207:12	264:4,13	200:13,17,21	48:11,12,17,19
	210:11 211:3	265:14 267:14	211:8,24 212:2	50:11,21
	215:15 249:22	271:7 272:9,22	223:17 241:16	131:18,23,24
	250:21 285:1	273:15 274:3	250:20 275:10	132:5,20
	291:2 292:17	274:15 275:2	fan 176:9,10	139:18 150:16
			far 8:1 37:6 41:8	153:13,23
			338:25,25	156:14 160:8
			343:16 345:9	167:5,15
			fields 49:6	199:18 200:4
			figure 53:12	200:13,17,21
			215:20 229:19	211:8,24 212:2
			265:10,10	223:17 241:16
			266:10 268:3	250:20 275:10

314:1	112:2,4 130:3	fluid 57:8,9 123:2 330:8	183:18 195:16 196:7 197:22	177:13 179:5 183:25 188:4
figured 245:5 283:23	149:15 151:7 172:22	fluids 29:5 fly 286:10	198:1 208:22 214:20,22	188:22 189:21 191:3 194:7
figures 334:22	final 292:7,13	FMEA 320:3,7	215:25 216:10 239:12 241:14	196:16 199:1 201:3,25 203:4
filed 40:7,11,13 77:9 258:16	find 59:19 96:4 106:3 137:5	focal 237:14 focus 220:1 237:11	241:21 242:4 242:10,18	204:9,20 205:11 206:17
files 317:19 318:4	185:9 209:21 258:18 272:17	focused 224:18 224:21	243:2 247:11 247:25 248:19	207:3,10 208:18 210:6
filter 43:5 69:24 69:25 70:2,3,5 70:9,11,14,17 70:20 105:6,8 105:14,16,23 106:10,12,16 106:17,21 107:4,17 108:6 108:23 109:12 109:23 111:12 111:22 112:10 113:9,10 130:2 130:7 134:18 134:19 135:13 135:16,17,22 136:2,5,8,12 136:16,18,18 149:19,21 151:24 152:25 171:19,25 172:10 173:6 173:13 175:4,6 176:4,5,16,17 188:25 327:3,6	276:3 279:18 285:14 293:16 298:3 fine 319:24 first 8:16 9:21 10:16 11:23 12:12 14:3 17:12,14 31:9 32:15 35:10 46:11 68:8 80:21,24 83:2 86:21 129:14 139:9 143:6 183:6 209:16 217:2 219:8 230:23 231:5 232:8 236:12 245:6,20 246:2 268:14 270:9 270:16 271:6 272:1,16 288:3 301:18 303:10 fit 268:21 fits 81:3 five 191:24 five-minute 130:23 fixed 197:15 flaws 17:8 184:9 184:24,25 185:1 flicker 242:9 flip 234:6 261:15 floor 191:20,25 flow 53:21 59:6 62:8 106:13 171:24 193:7 244:3 308:21	folks 232:5 234:2 289:18 292:17 follow 95:5 137:8 follow-up 17:8 334:16 342:14 following 102:24 131:2 137:14 155:23 161:9 213:8 239:8 270:10 317:9 font 298:25 306:4,9,16 311:11 footnote 312:11 force 114:3 forced 168:18 forced-air 18:22 27:16 41:17 43:3,4,10,17 53:12 63:25 64:12 68:8 75:21 78:3 83:7 136:23 139:1 149:25 154:25 158:17 158:21,24 159:3 161:11 163:4 167:2,7 167:8 168:21 168:25 170:2,4 170:17,22 178:6 179:3 180:20 182:11 182:20 183:10	274:6 275:24 285:7 303:11 303:24 307:16 308:14 325:6,7 325:11,16,21 325:24 326:9 326:13 327:14 327:19 328:5 343:15 foregoing 347:6 348:3 Forest 261:4 274:12 286:1,1 286:14 forget 94:25 205:19 forgot 12:6 forgotten 167:16 318:2 form 10:8 16:17 18:15 43:12,22 47:6 49:11 51:3 64:16 68:24 76:17 83:25 86:2 103:5 107:20 113:19 117:9 117:13,25,25 124:6,18 126:4 128:7 130:8 135:1 137:17 144:7,14,21 147:12 149:3 153:16 155:17 161:2,20 167:10 170:5 173:10,17	212:9 251:24 253:19 270:12 271:8 275:25 285:9 290:10 298:12 325:3 338:5,14 339:4 339:13 340:6 340:18 341:15 342:2 343:3,21 346:2 format 154:8 233:20 260:6 formation 33:7 120:4 forms 115:20 formulate 210:20 forth 7:12 293:23 forward 227:13 296:20 298:19 forwarded 278:16 286:8 found 173:24 174:8,13,21 239:20,22 foundation 18:16 47:7 86:3 128:10 135:2 139:9 140:12 144:15 144:22 145:10 149:4 170:7 188:23 202:2 204:22 207:4 210:7 212:10 271:16

four 6:8 7:9 82:12 118:20 119:4,21 266:22 302:16	gain 121:23 garage 35:8,11 35:14 garages 35:13 Garamond 306:13 GARRETT 3:5 Gary 161:7 166:8 257:21 257:25 258:2 259:12,16 gases 47:17 gears 166:10 323:1 genealogy 31:6 62:18 general 52:14 61:24 62:11 64:7 67:12 full 100:22 102:10 347:8 348:5 full-blown 285:19 full-body 216:14 243:16 255:17 fully 280:18 284:9 343:13 344:16 345:4 fully-released 279:24 fun 79:9 function 172:14 309:16 functions 50:4 funded 188:9 336:1 further 342:11 future 237:10 293:13	getting 29:10 71:22 123:4 189:10 227:11 240:16 244:4 291:12 331:16 Gill 306:3,4 give 100:20 102:16 given 11:19,21 26:25 83:2 140:6 260:14 316:12 322:10 giving 11:25 45:1,7 98:4 296:6 304:22 342:25 gloves 238:4 go 8:19 21:14 38:13 40:12 47:19 50:14 51:11 59:3,19 62:15,17,21 74:3,7 80:14 80:20 86:18 generally 126:8 174:4 243:3,8 243:18 253:4 255:20 258:15 267:18 294:3 314:19 generated 118:6 119:16 120:1 122:24 123:10 123:14 126:14 201:7,15,20 generates 118:8 118:13 generating 126:3 generation 122:21 130:11 134:21 151:19 generations 176:23 Genevieve 2:12 60:2 212:21,24 213:16 271:12	11:6 12:13 21:14 33:2 34:7 36:3 38:13,14 40:18 41:22 46:2 50:14 51:24 59:19 61:1 62:17 64:8,25 65:23 69:4 75:17 78:17 79:7,10,15 82:11 92:24 104:21 109:19 110:20 113:5 115:17 116:12 123:10,13,17 126:4,5 144:5 145:9 172:10 173:13 185:1 188:24 189:19 190:1,2 192:12 193:7,25 206:15 210:24 212:1,21,22,25 213:18 215:24 227:16 235:19 236:11 245:25 248:1 257:15 262:6 297:14 305:18 311:6 317:4,5 318:17 319:10 323:1 326:20 329:5 330:16 339:15 340:1 342:3 207:3,10 208:7 80:2 95:11 143:11 185:2 213:13 223:23 245:5 271:4 113:20 114:3 114:16 115:20 232:16 269:19 269:20 270:20 303:23 going 5:18,19 8:19 9:3 10:19	20:5 35:17 37:12 39:16,19 39:22 43:12,22 47:6 49:11 59:13 60:9,9 64:16 68:24 76:17 77:3 83:25 86:2,8 99:9 100:24 101:4 103:5 107:9,11,14,20 124:6,18 128:7 128:25 130:8 130:13 133:24 135:1 137:17 139:8 140:12 140:22 144:7 144:14,21 145:9,22 147:12 149:3 153:16 155:17 161:2,20 167:10 170:5 173:10,17 177:13,18 179:5,13,20,24 180:9,11,15 182:14 183:25 188:4,22 189:21 191:3 194:7 196:16 196:21 201:3 201:25 203:4 204:9,20 205:2 205:11 206:17 207:3,10 208:7 208:18 210:6 212:9,24 226:7 226:12 251:24 253:19 260:9 260:12 269:25 271:8,11,17,21 275:25 280:7 280:24 281:11 282:10,14 285:9 290:10 298:12 299:9
G G 5:1 348:1,1 Gabe 100:24 179:7 Gabriel 2:6				

302:14,18	124:19 230:20	322:23 335:14	220:3 228:2	higher 23:17,25
316:24 317:18	252:22 259:18	happens 231:14	231:5,9,13,15	71:20 99:1
317:22,25	259:18 265:21	241:7 247:10	231:16,21	125:19 175:25
318:5,9,20,23	268:4 278:14	325:7	237:1 240:15	195:1,2 220:4
319:5,22 323:8	309:3 310:2	happy 257:15	250:1,9 264:23	266:4
325:3 334:15	guessing 90:2	260:11 306:25	266:4 267:20	highlighted
336:15,22,25	guidelines	hard 43:25	267:23,24	262:5 272:16
337:8 342:11	311:20 312:14	209:21 307:9	270:11,13	279:17,21
342:22,25	guys 225:5	325:23	341:6	highlighting
343:3,21	319:11	harm 91:13 92:1	heated 44:9,10	260:9
344:25 346:2	gynecological	Harris 2:22	44:11 51:17,21	Hill 274:12
gotten 262:24	307:20	60:10	52:10 53:8	Hills 261:4
gown 4:19	H	Hartman 12:8,9	113:19 189:6,9	286:1,2,14
216:18,18,19	H 279:16 281:17	12:9	215:1,4,5	hindsight
216:20 217:19	half 56:25	hat 238:3	328:10	345:18
218:9,11 219:3	240:23 257:9	hate 278:14	heater 242:5	hip 167:5 168:19
219:4 260:24	halfway 235:6	302:13 306:10	heating 53:25	168:22 169:17
282:25	Hall 19:21 20:1	306:12	64:23 214:9,11	169:20,23
grade 106:12	20:5,6,7,11	hated 242:9	214:17,18	170:3,17
314:23	21:8 36:13	hazards 284:16	249:13 252:16	196:15 198:13
Grand 3:9,20	38:22 39:1,19	head 43:24	help 152:7 164:7	198:18 207:2
grants 181:23	40:14 42:9	342:16	181:25	208:16 212:7
graph 229:23,24	43:8,19 162:7	headed 182:6,10	helps 286:12	hire 34:24
230:7 233:8	162:23 163:11	healthcare 2:20	Helvetica 306:6	hired 128:5
262:16,20	184:13 186:2	16:21 75:16	306:10	181:24
266:3 268:4	186:15 191:9	240:18 264:16	HEPA 42:17,17	history 62:18
269:14	193:20,25	275:10 289:22	106:16 149:15	Hmm 171:11
grateful 230:20	194:11	293:19 294:2	149:21,21	hobby 230:19
great 250:3	halts 244:15	294:17 301:8	151:7,24 327:3	hold 57:12,15
greater 166:15	HAND 348:22	324:20 325:19	327:6	honest 202:15
Gregory 3:2	handed 260:4	330:11,14	Herkimer 2:10	honestly 278:22
60:13	295:12	heard 248:11	HERRING 3:18	279:11
grew 253:16	handle 245:5	328:8	Hey 155:1	hope 335:3
ground 113:1,7	hands 214:10	heat 29:7,11,12	212:15	hopefully 272:17
193:15	238:21	39:14 44:8,18	Hi 213:15	324:11 326:20
group 171:2	Hansen 161:7	44:20,22 45:2	277:15	hoping 262:5
181:25 195:19	166:8 253:3	45:8,14 50:24	HICKS 2:15	279:17
209:2 226:5	257:21,25	51:3,3,13,22	hide 207:15,17	Horn 3:19 299:4
268:17 283:19	258:3 259:12	52:3,15,16,23	high 23:6,9,14	299:7,7 300:6
307:17 313:20	259:16 291:7	53:3 54:11,20	24:10 44:24	hose 4:12 97:5
314:22 320:9	happen 134:3	55:1,4,13 57:4	69:17 71:14	101:5,11,12
groups 300:7	269:18 316:9	59:8 61:2,21	87:5 103:19	173:1,7,8,15
growth 227:7,15	317:3	62:12 87:2	113:21 176:12	173:16,23,24
262:16	happened	113:15,17,19	211:12,25	174:3 176:20
guess 7:17 60:23	214:12 285:5	113:20 122:21	222:21 237:13	hospital 3:12
67:18 75:14	316:7 322:22	123:10,13,17	238:2 266:1	60:22 227:21
81:5 83:8		126:3 130:11	267:3	261:5 264:17

274:12 276:21	42:3,22 44:8	169:7,8 170:13	Huh 207:16	ideas 17:12
278:10,18	45:3,11,25	171:4 177:6,11	human 53:4	identical 106:13
285:20 286:1	46:4 50:9,25	177:24 178:11	118:6,8,20	134:6 273:1,2
286:13,15,19	52:4,5,13,17	181:12 185:7	119:4,16,23	274:17,18
291:24 295:4	54:11,24 55:7	188:7 189:7	121:7 127:1	identification
hospitals 58:17	57:19 58:19	190:20,24	168:16 237:5	77:6 79:13
143:16 205:7	59:5,9 61:21	191:6 192:18	249:22 295:21	88:6 97:2
227:8 262:17	62:2,19 72:11	192:20,24	humans 126:23	99:15 186:21
263:10 264:15	81:10 82:15,22	193:16,21	126:24	224:25 260:2
264:16 286:4	83:22 84:4,13	194:4,12,17	hunt 106:2	277:2 295:9
288:2,6 291:14	84:16 89:5	195:1 197:6	HVAC 53:13	312:17 320:10
305:3 344:2	92:6,13,23	198:7,12,17,23	54:12,22 55:8	identified 62:23
hot 71:23 72:4	93:14 95:19	198:25 199:6,9	55:24 57:13,21	63:2 83:10
114:4,15,16	99:20 102:15	199:17 200:3	58:19 59:10	91:4,6 92:9,12
115:21 116:8	110:7,20	200:12,15	61:2,21 62:1	93:4,18 104:17
116:12 123:20	111:14 112:19	203:9,24	62:12 108:16	104:18 280:16
123:22,24	113:4,5,12	205:10,16,20	110:13,25	284:6,14
126:3,3,5	115:1,9 117:8	206:9 207:20	111:20 130:3	identifies 187:11
136:19 147:2,5	117:14,18	210:14 211:7	hyperthermia	identify 5:18
150:3,7,8,11	118:13 119:4	211:25 212:7	72:5,6,7 265:1	6:16 63:7 93:8
150:12 152:25	119:13,20	212:17 216:12	hypothermia	93:23 94:2
190:18 192:11	120:4,7,19	223:20 242:13	4:20 80:24	95:12 131:9
192:12,17	122:21,25	242:17 251:23	81:12,25	168:10 314:23
193:4,4 238:3	126:3,14,25	266:25 285:7	229:20 230:6	321:2,5,8
250:10	127:2,4,9,11	287:11,12,17	236:7,12	identifying 6:20
HotDog 330:1	127:17,18	287:18,24	240:24 246:1	183:23 280:15
hour 62:9 231:5	129:18,24	288:7 290:24	260:24 262:8	282:2
232:8 236:8,12	132:16,19,22	293:22 295:2,4	270:9 300:21	ignore 337:3
240:23,25	134:16,25	301:4,7 303:10	308:9 341:13	II 72:17 73:9,12
246:2 257:3,6	140:7 144:4,6	303:24 304:7	341:21	imagine 302:10
257:9 270:9,17	146:1,5,7,13	304:10,18	hypothermic	305:7 325:23
271:6 272:1	146:17,21	305:4 308:17	306:1	immediately
hours 13:12	147:2,4,10,19	308:22 309:1	hypothesis	12:16
14:16,19,22,22	148:6,7,8,10	309:14,17	215:23	impact 238:13
230:23 232:18	148:14,17,18	310:7,10,14	hypothetical	implant 167:2,6
232:19 245:20	149:11 150:9	311:8,16 315:5	147:13 170:6	168:3,22
262:9	150:17,24	315:6,9,12,17	204:21 205:13	196:15 207:2
Houston 2:8,10	151:20 152:24	315:18 319:17	<hr/> I	208:16 212:8
Huber 3:23	153:2 154:4,11	320:6 321:17	ICOS 124:24	implantable
Hugger 4:10,14	155:4,15	321:22 323:5	idea 78:2,5 79:6	294:12
4:16 16:10	156:13 159:4	326:3 327:4	83:1 100:20	implants 166:14
17:10 21:24	159:12,18,23	328:23 335:6	143:11 246:17	168:19 198:14
22:8 23:8	160:4,24	336:6 338:19	274:23 321:1	198:18
25:14 31:7,9	161:25 162:5	339:2,12,22	336:7	implement
32:16 34:19	162:17 164:2	340:4,15 346:7	301:10	301:10
35:21 37:8	166:18,22	Hugger's 62:12	ideal 273:23,25	important 26:6
38:8,17 41:11	168:8,11,19	Huggers 166:19	274:2	106:19 108:4

170:10,20	191:4 204:21	increases 43:11	ineffective	infections 85:13
279:25 298:7	205:13	112:20 145:19	256:24 270:18	85:14,15,16
310:9 334:24	Incorporated	146:1 149:2,11	271:6 272:3	144:25 145:15
importantly	28:22	150:24 151:3	340:24 341:3,9	149:22 153:5
249:3	incorrect 24:23	152:17 153:2	inexpensive	153:25 167:18
impossibility	105:11,12	154:4,11	220:21 239:17	167:18 169:14
244:23	120:5 136:12	155:15 162:6	287:2	177:11,24
impossible 197:2	250:13,15,18	170:14 193:21	infected 82:14	179:4 180:21
299:24	251:15	194:12 196:14	82:21 84:2,3,5	183:11,19
improve 66:2	increase 4:16	197:6 198:4	84:13,22,25	195:17 196:8
improvement	124:4,5,16	200:21 203:24	89:7,12,15,21	196:14 197:2
270:13	127:16 129:8	205:20 207:23	90:25 91:7,21	224:13,16
improves 234:12	129:20,24	208:5 211:7	91:24 92:1,11	246:24 251:6
inaccuracies	130:6 131:17	212:4,17	92:15,16 93:9	316:25
24:23	132:4,15,19	340:16	95:21 103:8	infectious
inaccurate 25:9	143:24,25	increasing	104:10 133:4	128:20,24
26:9	144:3,12,13	145:18 148:14	133:13 134:2	129:2 154:9
inadequate	146:13,22	156:13 160:24	134:17 135:11	163:2 264:20
107:18	147:5,21	204:2	136:20	inform 72:21
inadvertently	148:16 149:1,9	INDEPEND...	infection 42:16	155:12
260:14	150:6,7,11,15	1:2 3:1	124:5,11,17	information
incident 195:17	151:3 155:2	independent	125:1,20	31:4 58:18
196:8	164:2 191:7,9	40:23	132:11 143:25	80:1 152:21
incidentally	194:17 195:9	independently	144:6,13,20	155:4 157:17
263:9	195:10,11	21:2 44:2	145:7,20 149:2	160:20 203:12
incidents 246:11	196:13 197:11	INDEX 4:1	149:12 150:18	206:25 207:9
incision 224:2,4	197:13,18,23	Indian 2:16	151:4,14,16	208:24 209:8
include 6:22	198:1,8,12,17	indicate 155:2	153:11,22	212:13 233:15
28:24,25 90:12	198:19 200:4	indicated 7:6	154:15 162:10	289:3 333:23
270:4 272:19	200:12,16	310:19	162:25 168:2	informed 152:17
315:1 326:14	204:7,8,14,16	indicates 198:7	168:25 169:23	160:7,11,13
included 6:8	205:8 206:10	201:14 273:19	170:11,15,21	331:23
11:14 94:19	206:15,19	Inditherm	177:6 178:7,12	informing 161:7
101:18,19	207:19 210:13	329:12	190:25 194:23	infrared 267:5
167:21,22	212:1 270:21	individual	194:25 195:8	infuse 29:5
229:19 265:24	309:23,25	338:22	195:14 197:9	initial 33:6
280:14 304:14	increased 104:2	individuals	197:13,18	231:20 307:14
315:7	146:4 166:14	262:9	198:13,18	341:4
includes 152:13	170:20 175:2	induction	199:23 207:23	initially 10:17
157:5 234:21	175:24 187:4	231:14 241:3	208:6 212:4	215:24
265:25 276:13	187:14 189:13	246:5 270:10	246:12,19	injury 72:3
283:20	189:19 190:5	270:17 272:2	247:4 251:22	97:23 98:5,23
including 36:4	191:10 197:16	341:5	252:9 263:25	98:25 99:2
92:2 253:7	205:8 206:13	industry 67:20	264:13 309:6	103:23,25
283:12 324:13	208:4,13	289:11,19	323:4 331:21	220:6,8 283:12
incomplete 84:1	211:24 263:5	industry's	331:22 333:12	inoperative 22:9
147:13 170:6	340:4	303:10	335:8 346:8	inpatient 263:8

input 292:8	194:22 195:7	208:10,20	30:13	153:9 182:6,10
insensate 69:16	285:16	209:6,24	invention	183:13 244:21
72:1 103:19	interested	210:10	252:13 258:8	245:13 314:13
249:12	199:24	Internet 34:12	inventions 35:12	332:18,20,22
insensitive	interesting	304:17	35:15	344:14
237:15	230:19	interruption	inventor 79:24	item 275:4
insert 101:8	interests 277:17	247:19	investigate	iterations 222:7
inside 173:1,16	interfere 241:23	intraoperative	17:23 50:23	IVs 255:19
173:22,24	335:6	167:7,19 168:8	investigated	
176:21 232:8	interference	169:10,15	52:12	J
235:20 242:15	235:19	170:3 171:2,6	investigation	J 88:9
278:4	interferes 235:8	220:22 221:2	332:10	JACKSON 1:1
insofar 220:3	244:2 254:20	224:19 234:21	investigations	James 2:7
institution	255:15	239:23 240:3,9	52:7	January 226:25
167:16 286:1	internal 37:13	240:10 241:9	investigator	JASON 3:17
institutions	37:15,17 38:3	242:23 246:1	261:4	JENNIFER 3:6
58:17	38:4 41:9,10	246:18 247:9	investigators	jerk 318:22
instructions	41:21,24 42:1	247:24 249:4	165:10 285:25	job 285:12
8:20 96:5	43:9 45:24	253:12 270:16	investment	Joe 60:14
101:17,18	123:3 126:13	271:5 272:1	28:17,18	John 39:25
158:5 288:14	126:17 127:3,5	275:2 287:6	involve 7:18	joined 36:12
288:22 290:8	141:21 165:14	296:24 297:16	involved 29:19	160:14
instrument	165:17 178:10	297:20 298:17	94:13 154:22	joint 4:20
191:22 193:17	209:7 213:22	300:21 338:3	160:19 164:6,9	132:11 145:7
193:23 194:13	214:4 219:17	338:12 339:2	166:3 215:8	145:15,20
instruments	273:10 281:14	339:18 340:3	218:13,22	149:12,22
187:5,16	284:2,21 296:5	340:15,23	219:2,14	151:4 153:5,11
189:14 190:8	311:15 346:6	341:22,25	230:14 320:10	153:22 154:14
190:13 193:22	346:10,12	342:4,7 344:21	involving 21:16	169:23 170:11
194:19 270:14	internally 38:6,7	introperatively	irrelevant	170:14 177:11
intake 113:4	38:16 39:17,18	240:13,19	172:14	177:24 178:2,7
intelligently	41:16 43:20	275:24 282:16	Issa 7:20 304:21	179:3 180:21
59:20	44:6 45:15	287:18 290:24	issue 18:12,18	183:11,19
intended 6:16	95:11 116:3	293:22,25	19:8 20:3,13	195:17 196:2,2
65:7,9,11,16	117:20,21,22	295:2 297:6,8	21:1,22 36:5,7	196:8,14 197:2
65:18 66:4,12	117:23 120:15	300:23 311:1	38:16 100:12	198:13,18
66:13,24 67:6	120:18 121:1	313:12	100:18 101:24	208:5 260:25
67:7,8,14,20	122:23 126:11	introduced	130:20 132:14	261:8 262:2
69:11 74:18	159:2 163:9	88:17 110:24	136:18 150:21	331:22 335:8
78:19 80:22	164:19 165:5	4:24 80:22,25	154:25 160:7	346:8
81:1,6,7	165:22 219:17	86:22 98:14	160:11,12	JONAH 3:5
132:23 228:24	219:21 275:16	inundated	161:8,13,24	Joseph 3:19
242:20 290:1	292:25	317:25	162:4 254:24	Joshua 3:3
292:7	International	invented 218:12	332:2 344:12	60:11
intensity 237:13	124:21 127:20	219:11	issued 77:9	journal 207:13
intent 229:5	132:2 154:19	inventing 30:10	issues 6:1 18:1	July 4:8 6:7 77:9
interest 57:23	182:7,12		36:18 63:20	jump 330:16

331:16	208:16 212:8	197:10 202:20	29:18 30:15	170:6 188:23
justifiable	knew 116:8	203:12 206:2,5	36:3 76:25	202:2 204:21
211:21	288:4	206:5,13,20	121:9 123:6	207:4 210:7
justification	know 7:8 9:7	207:6 208:9,12	129:21 130:21	212:10
41:19 143:5	10:4,6 11:3	208:23 210:9	137:19 157:4,8	laminar 53:22
justify 211:12	15:24 19:2,4	211:15 212:15	160:17 182:21	53:23 59:6
<hr/>				
K	25:2 29:15	213:17 218:23	188:14 196:9	106:13 118:3
K 2:22	30:2,4,8 32:6	218:25 224:9	205:6 210:4,5	119:18
K-C 329:7	33:20 36:6,9	226:9 238:1	210:8 324:3	laminar-airflow
Kansas 1:8 2:17	37:6 40:8	243:19 247:21	knowledgeable	53:11
3:4,9,15,17,20	50:22 53:15,16	250:2,25 251:1	128:22 153:14	laminar-flow
60:16	59:1 66:11	254:4,9 257:15	153:24 158:22	59:4
KATHERINE	73:17,25 75:3	262:19,19	158:23 159:1,5	lamps 267:5
1:4	75:19 76:15	267:17 271:21	159:8	landing 206:21
KCI 28:19,20	78:1,4 79:4	273:19 275:11	known 18:13,20	Langdon 2:3
29:3	83:17 86:5,9	277:17 278:10	47:21 49:13,15	60:5
keep 47:4 51:10	86:14 87:22	279:6,8,10	161:23 162:1	language 90:3
51:14 159:22	88:1,15,17,21	280:13,17	191:1 194:2	135:3 343:10
223:16 271:1	89:1,18 90:1	281:1 282:20	207:1 331:23	large 27:17
keeps 139:23	92:8 93:22	283:4,20,24	335:9,16	133:17 196:10
KEPLINGER	95:16 96:17	284:17 285:11	knows 86:10	197:1 242:15
2:15	97:6,11,13	285:12,17,22	159:12 319:24	283:19 320:9
kept 222:2 313:2	98:10,11,12	286:5,11	Kronawitter	largely 258:5
key 63:11	99:13 107:22	290:12 291:2	3:19 60:14,14	261:17 271:6
kick 331:3	108:25 110:22	291:16 292:10	336:19	281:4 300:20
kicked 320:22	111:21 118:17	294:8 296:13	Kurz 230:1,3	lasted 29:25
Kimberly-Clark	118:17 121:19	296:19 302:3	335:21 336:1	lasts 266:12
329:6	121:22 134:5	305:11,13,20	Kurz' 194:24	late 27:5 45:21
kind 21:13	137:4 138:10	305:23 306:15	Kurz's 246:22	296:16 321:21
35:10 62:9	138:11 140:19	307:13,19	Kyle 2:9 60:7	law 51:11,12
94:17 120:22	140:20 142:8	308:10 311:10	<hr/>	57:3
176:6 214:25	142:19 143:13	311:11,13	L	laws 146:20,25
217:20 237:21	146:3,12	312:22 313:21	L 2:4	lawsuit 40:6,11
302:18 331:6	147:21,25	313:22,24	label 157:1,6,12	40:13
334:8	148:22 150:10	314:13 315:1	157:15,18,23	lawsuits 40:9
kinds 41:15	150:15 151:25	315:23,25	157:25 158:12	323:3,15
168:15 245:2	152:1,21,24	317:25 320:2,5	211:12 322:16	344:24 346:1
246:19 332:24	153:1 154:7,12	320:8 321:16	labeling 96:6	lawyers 258:13
333:20	154:16 155:4	321:24 322:4,8	142:9,13	279:9 283:25
Kinetic 28:21	157:4 159:11	322:9,21 324:5	322:19	302:11 315:1,7
167:5	159:14,15,18	326:16,17	labels 211:2	333:24 334:3
168:19,22	165:19 169:13	331:12 332:12	lack 17:8 18:16	layman's 108:10
169:17,20,24	172:4 179:8,11	334:8,13,20	47:7 86:3	leads 309:4
170:3,17	179:14,21,25	336:25	128:10 135:2	learn 75:20
196:15 198:13	180:2 184:23	knowledge 7:10	139:9 140:12	220:13
198:18 207:2	191:24 192:1	7:23 22:14	144:15,22	learned 10:16,17
	196:1,11	25:25 26:1	145:9 149:4	237:22

leave 6:2	235:24 273:19	250:16 253:8	logic 83:3 110:9	52:8 79:16
led 270:14	282:3 334:7	254:17 267:13	204:7	97:12 127:14
left 16:12,25	levels 43:16	326:20 333:16	logical 143:4	140:13 163:10
17:4 27:20	109:3 111:19	334:17	205:1	163:23 177:24
216:7 251:14	111:22 191:10	listed 8:2 34:5	logo 260:22	191:16 192:7
254:6 302:3	324:23	79:17,20	311:16	265:16,21
314:1 337:3	Lexington 2:5	166:20 267:16	logs 276:3,3	278:12 299:3,8
left-hand 135:17	Libary 4:18	270:5 274:13	long 75:15	319:9 345:15
239:15	library 10:19,24	lists 239:9	226:13,16	345:16
legal 26:20	27:3 53:17	272:20	241:2 243:9	looks 78:18,19
280:24 331:10	163:13,23	literature	246:3 266:13	88:19 101:8,17
331:13	225:17,22	159:23 201:14	279:10 293:8	108:15 110:2
legally 211:16	226:16 258:22	210:18 222:4	298:20	225:16 230:25
legs 193:2	258:24 259:1,3	223:17 224:18	longer 73:16	232:11 233:12
214:10	275:12 306:23	224:21 245:1	141:15 158:17	260:17,21
length 300:3	306:24	304:17	186:6,11	261:3 262:21
309:6	license 312:20	litigation 128:15	261:12	268:14 278:16
lens 345:25	lie 305:8	164:22 183:4	look 19:8 20:3	281:2 295:24
let's 8:6 13:23	life 27:15 66:2	213:17 222:3,3	20:13,19,24	296:11 297:2
40:10,10 44:3	lights 54:19	251:12 315:24	21:6 26:24	299:5 305:24
44:6 46:2	242:8	little 21:14 40:15	34:11 45:13	307:9,11
48:15 51:15	likelihood	83:4 93:7	55:15,23 61:6	311:14,18
52:15 59:17	124:17	104:10 118:10	63:11,18 64:1	312:11
67:11 86:25	likewise 6:13	118:15 123:8	86:25 89:2	lose 230:25
88:4,18 102:20	322:14	146:12 166:10	90:5 100:6	295:3
123:8 130:23	limit 73:8	215:3 224:23	135:7,15	loss 231:5,9,13
138:13 146:12	175:11,12	232:12,16	139:16 170:24	231:21
155:20 162:15	Limitation	255:10 261:12	172:9 185:22	lot 35:12 140:5
162:15 186:18	185:3	276:11 314:15	187:10 188:8	202:14 206:6
200:2 209:23	limitations	337:5	191:17 196:25	206:21 215:16
235:6 254:6	184:11,14,21	LLC 2:3,15 3:1	197:5 218:25	237:16 257:8
270:8 278:9	184:24 185:1,2	3:2,19	261:15 276:2	267:23 285:11
336:25	185:6,7,9,10	LLP 2:9,21 3:8	281:9 301:20	295:13 304:14
letter 6:15,20	185:13	load 53:13,25	345:2	lots 168:22
212:14 279:16	limited 71:15	54:11 55:4,24	looked 30:17	285:5
281:17	178:2 219:23	59:9 197:23	31:3 43:15	love 223:22
letters 7:1 15:13	281:22 318:16	198:2,4,8	54:22 55:18,23	low 87:3 168:3
15:17 16:15,22	324:13	204:2,17 205:8	55:25 127:1,6	226:15 266:1
16:25 18:4	line 100:11	206:15,19	130:19 132:14	267:2
21:15,17,18,21	336:16 348:8	242:6,7	137:24 140:16	lower 72:21
160:13,23	lines 7:3	loads 54:20	144:3 162:25	111:2,19
161:6,19	Lisa 312:9	lobbying 7:18	163:1 164:1	113:21 123:22
333:19,20	list 6:11,13,22	location 66:12	169:12 178:11	130:16 151:8
level 106:21	11:20 38:14,14	79:11,16	179:2 210:12	151:24 263:19
108:5,11,16	81:24 165:22	103:12	214:8 215:18	266:5 326:10
109:15 110:3,6	241:13 243:1	locations 191:6	246:23	lowered 69:15
130:3 211:20	246:10 248:16	191:8,14	looking 37:22	lowers 176:10

lowest 282:3	334:21	88:5,8 96:24	McDonald's	222:16 224:5,9
Lucy 60:16	management	96:24 97:1	303:20	226:12 233:20
Luke's 1:16 3:6	28:25 29:8	99:14,17	McGovern	235:23 238:13
3:12 60:22	52:8 133:3,16	186:20 224:24	177:6,11	238:18 242:19
Lundgren 347:2	133:20 142:7	260:1,4 277:1	178:15,16	244:25 245:8
347:15	142:12 280:10	277:4 295:8	179:21 180:25	250:17,18
M	280:14 293:14	market 34:12	181:9 183:1,7	253:23 256:6
M 278:10,11	301:6,7 305:4	126:12 181:1,4	183:15,17	259:11 264:6
M.D 2:14 3:2,5	305:25 315:10	184:20 217:15	184:2,9,18	272:4 279:11
M1000 329:7	315:12,20	218:10 223:18	185:5,22,23	282:5 283:6,18
machine 111:24	316:14 333:21	243:11	McGovern-Re...	284:16,23
150:9 173:6	334:21	marketed 31:10	179:4	292:24 301:24
176:21 192:3	managers	129:9 143:16	McGrevey 3:13	303:15 305:7,8
222:25 288:13	258:25	168:7 169:7	3:14 60:21,21	306:25 309:24
290:8	manifold 113:4	marketing 141:8	MDL 127:13	313:20 316:25
machines	manual 4:9,11	142:1 166:18	mean 17:8 18:22	319:6,7 331:16
321:17	4:14 22:13	181:10 184:15	20:5,23 25:1	332:20 334:19
main 2:4 47:3	24:2 25:19	186:3,6,25	30:22 37:3	341:2 343:23
73:25 74:2	26:9 34:16,17	280:22 305:6	meaning 67:16	67:21 289:11
134:9 149:19	56:2,6 80:7	305:15 314:20	39:19 40:17,19	289:18,23
159:22	88:13,18 99:20	331:11 334:22	42:13 47:3,18	
maintain 108:15	102:16 129:4	markets 290:16	49:16 51:3,6,8	
109:2 110:2,6	135:21 137:25	masks 86:13	55:10 58:7	326:8 343:1,7
225:23 328:19	140:14,17,24	mass 29:7 54:22	67:3 75:10	344:23
341:13,19	141:10 172:7	54:23	means 92:17	
maintaining	208:16 211:6	master's 56:14	146:6 188:18	
109:14 110:19	manuals 21:24	material 140:6	190:23 234:16	
131:23 167:24	24:20,22,24	176:5 184:15	236:10 239:12	
168:13	25:1,11,13,25	283:15 284:12	248:19,24	
maintains	26:4,19 34:14	335:1	280:18	
262:25	207:19	materials 10:2	meant 28:4	
maintenance	manufacturer	144:23 146:24	61:24,25 81:19	
190:17,18,22	95:2 138:4	148:5 159:10	234:18 294:14	
190:23 192:11	288:18	186:4,5,6	measure 151:10	
194:2,4 234:11	manufacturers	187:1	151:18 228:2	
256:11	136:23 137:1	mathematical	measured	
major 47:8	137:21	204:24	120:13 151:12	
244:19	manufacturing	matter 16:4 51:4	266:20	
majority 201:14	98:11,16,17	51:6 172:17	measurement	
308:14	manuscript	matters 17:22	87:21 197:16	
making 72:4	166:4,5,7	mattress 223:10	measurements	
male 232:3	Marc 3:8	mature 28:16	73:13 121:12	
Mallinckrodt	186:18 232:12	maximum	measures 195:6	
294:8	March 77:9	222:25 235:24	measuring 266:9	
manage 255:23	mark 88:4	203:6 204:7,11	mechanical 57:1	
managed 94:23	186:18 232:12	McCaig 3:3	57:23 101:15	
	29:12,14 80:6	60:11,11	mechanically	
		336:21	105:22	
		McDONALD		
		2:6		
		211:16 212:24		
		216:20 217:16		
		219:14,18		

mechanics 57:9	meetings 154:23	2:11	2:13,23	91:4,5 94:14
mechanism	155:8,12 182:8	mess 35:17	Minnesota	94:17,22 95:3
130:6,10,11,15	182:18,22	met 213:16	42:12 57:2	103:7 141:12
134:1,5 136:17	317:12	method 190:17	347:10	142:18,20
136:19 147:21	meets 180:3	190:21,22	minor 56:11,12	152:4,12
148:1 152:24	MELINDA 3:6	192:8,10 194:1	56:19,20 256:2	154:17 211:10
mechanisms	Memarzadeh	methods 237:11	256:20	282:6 314:16
335:7	165:1	240:16	minute 244:9	314:17,25
media 130:2	member 154:18	Michael 40:19	250:6 278:24	315:21 316:2,5
212:14	members 210:10	163:19 174:14	279:1 299:3	316:17,21
medical 3:1	memo 226:24	181:15 182:6	minutes 236:5	317:19 318:3,4
17:20 20:19,25	228:1 233:11	182:18	236:11 245:25	318:24,25
21:3,6 25:2	233:25 240:22	microbial 4:16	255:2,3,8,10	319:10 320:11
26:2 31:13	254:15 257:18	188:8	266:12 268:14	320:23 322:18
36:7,13,17	257:19 258:15	microbiological	299:21 300:9	mix 192:13
41:14 42:9	258:21 264:22	173:22	300:15	mixes 231:15
50:17,24 52:2	265:13,22	microbiology	mischaracteri...	mixing 231:19
53:2 60:12	274:17,18	86:4	107:21 128:8	Mmm-hmm
63:14,21 64:11	311:16,23	micron 70:5,8,8	161:3 189:22	45:5 52:20
65:4 67:19,23	312:22 338:2,9	70:11,13,16,20	202:1	59:11 75:23
68:2,5,21 74:8	339:7 340:21	105:6,7,16	misheard 107:14	82:10 193:3
75:9 80:16	345:17	106:12,16	mislead 26:8,11	232:24 233:13
95:1 143:23	Memorial	135:12,17,22	missed 30:12	234:20 239:11
145:12,17	278:18,21	136:12 175:4,6	mission 66:2	270:6 276:16
148:25 152:6	286:13	microorganisms	Missouri 1:1	291:22
152:14 153:23	memory 27:6	86:10 111:9	286:11 317:4	MN 2:13,23
161:14 206:23	memos 222:11	middle 262:5	misstates 10:9	MO 2:5 3:4,9,20
210:21 228:10	222:13 226:20	265:6 270:8	161:3 202:2	Moayeri 232:23
255:23 259:2	316:2,9 317:5	271:13	271:11	modalities
268:20 279:24	319:10 334:5	Mike 174:12	Mistral 42:22	214:21 326:2
280:9 289:11	342:24 343:2	million 18:9	137:22,23	Mode 319:16
289:19 315:15	344:18 345:15	204:15 303:9	138:8,14,25	320:3
323:16,24	men 230:9	303:16,18	139:10 140:10	model 4:9,11
327:7 329:20	268:21	330:25	151:20 326:14	22:4,4,4,8,11
330:6 335:23	mention 304:15	millions 49:22	326:25	22:13,15,17
336:1 338:11	mentioned 6:9	49:22 201:11	misunderstan...	23:16,19 24:5
Medicare	14:15 19:6,10	mind 112:12	7:19	54:21 61:4
335:15	58:21 105:5	274:22	misunderstood	63:15 64:14,18
medium 87:4	139:3 150:20	mine 260:11	251:16	64:18 65:7,12
266:1 267:2	164:18 343:5	296:11	mitigate 93:5	65:14 69:3,6,9
MedLine 330:3	mentioning	minimal 132:10	95:22 96:5	70:10,13,16,19
MedTech 328:5	24:13 179:15	minimize 94:15	mitigated 91:16	70:23 71:1,3
Medtronic	mere 205:20	125:11 143:22	96:12,22 283:8	71:13 74:15,18
294:9,11	merely 55:3	145:2 237:11	mitigating 96:21	74:24 75:4,8
meet 66:10	200:1	299:22	282:3	75:14 76:6,14
meeting 182:12	merits 269:2	minimum 300:2	mitigation 83:11	77:15 78:16
315:10 317:8	MESHBESH...	Minneapolis	89:20 90:1	80:7 81:23

82:6,19 87:9 88:2,3,9,13,15 89:3,9 90:18 91:22 97:7 98:6,9 100:22 101:19 102:1 102:14 103:13 103:15 104:2 105:5,6,12,13 105:13 106:11 106:20 107:1,2 107:17 108:4 108:11 129:7 129:11,14 135:20,21 216:13 321:25 322:11,15 models 22:3 23:9,15 31:8 44:25 123:7 321:22 modern 186:6 327:5 modes 321:3 modified 64:19 292:11 Molnlycke 329:16,17,18 momentum 126:21 Monday 14:2 money 244:11 monitored 310:24 monitoring 315:18 Mont 152:17 month 17:4 months 302:16 morning 5:9 318:17 mortality 171:1 171:7,7 motion 6:24 move 85:5,9 247:6 296:20 298:18 336:24	337:1 moved 216:2 movement 188:16,25 189:10 movements 187:3,13,19 188:18 189:5 moves 231:17,18 Moving 265:5 268:3 269:23 301:3 324:11 multi-district 128:14 multi-districtal 183:4 multi-site 174:9 multiple 104:25 <hr/> N N 5:1 348:1 name 5:10 12:7 33:23 83:20 218:19 329:12 names 20:8 narrative 274:9 narrow 7:2 nature 26:23 335:5 navigate 255:24 near 126:23 necessarily 189:8 224:6 necessary 141:15 310:19 need 41:23 63:18 135:8 240:6,12 247:9 253:11 256:10 275:1 310:14 339:18 341:12 344:21 needed 72:10,12 80:1 141:10,18 142:6 needs 66:11 73:3 237:7 248:25	345:1 negotiate 294:10 neither 64:19 Netherlands 164:7 neuro 307:21 neutral 249:25 never 74:18,25 99:12 106:22 107:2,19 108:6 108:23 117:3 117:12,16,19 117:20 130:19 149:8 154:2 162:16 176:25 198:22 217:7 217:14 222:20 285:2 306:6 new 18:6 28:14 33:19 34:6 49:25 64:20 75:21 114:15 160:14 161:1,5 161:16 253:15 261:5 265:13 276:12,22 286:2 313:3 newfound 251:13 nine 281:13 305:2 312:16 noise 328:16 non 42:17 non-OR 117:8 nonsurgical 102:9,10 268:19 Nope 218:8 normal 310:25 normally 309:3 normothermia 167:25 168:14 169:11,15 171:3,5,6 215:22 221:13 234:11 246:18 247:3 301:5	309:4,14,19 311:12,21 312:15 341:13 341:19 normothermic 246:24 NORRIS 2:15 NORTON 2:21 nose 174:24 nosocomial 247:8 253:10 263:24 274:25 275:23 339:17 339:23 340:5 340:16 344:20 Notary 347:16 notation 225:17 note 106:20 108:4 234:13 236:21 240:2 241:10 247:7 287:2 290:6 292:5 300:11 notes 59:3,19 154:16 295:25 296:5 297:2 347:9 notice 4:6 5:13 8:11,13,14,17 10:10 12:1 24:22 notices 319:16 323:3,3,14 notification 10:3 11:15,19 notion 227:10 247:23 November 277:8 nowadays 49:18 257:8 nozzle 69:15 70:22 71:5,7 72:22 87:10 101:16 172:19 172:20,23 174:24 nude 230:9	232:3 number 5:22 15:10,13,19 17:9 22:3,18 22:19 26:21 27:2 33:20,22 35:20 47:19 62:7 79:14 82:12 87:23 88:4,8 96:8,25 97:4 100:4,18 105:2,3 135:16 164:1 169:13 169:13 176:2 178:11 186:19 186:20 187:11 187:18 201:1 202:22 203:7 213:21 219:2 220:24 225:1 225:13,18 226:15 233:10 251:7 261:19 261:20 263:5 272:25,25 273:18 278:1 281:13 283:6 294:12,24 295:12 307:10 309:7 314:18 323:1 324:12 324:16,19 325:1 330:11 330:17 331:10 341:17 numbered 33:24 100:25 337:14 numbering 88:21 225:20 225:21 numbers 8:2 127:19 132:15 151:1 273:24 numerical 274:10 numerous 9:4 72:16 186:25
--	--	--	---	--

188:6 307:24	340:18 341:15	officer 179:7	45:13,22 46:3	109:11,19
313:7 321:19	342:2 343:3,21	Oguz 162:25	46:14,20 47:21	110:5,11,18
nursing 255:22	346:2	163:5 174:8,8	48:15 49:3,13	111:11 112:2
nutrition 310:5	objected 6:7	174:9	49:22,25 50:2	112:10,24
O				
O 5:1	281:12	oh 13:21 20:17	50:7,16 51:11	113:15 114:12
O'Haver 1:4	objection 6:3	59:7 79:10	51:21,24 52:2	114:22,24
60:15,17	18:21 86:8	80:15 88:20	52:17,23 53:2	115:25 116:6
obese 308:7	130:13 139:14	100:19 101:24	54:1,5,8 55:5	116:11,17,19
310:12,15,22	140:22 179:18	109:11 159:10	55:15,18,22	116:23 117:2,5
311:4,7	196:21 208:7	159:14 178:23	56:23 57:6,18	117:7,11 118:8
obesity 308:8	271:16 280:24	181:6 184:6	58:3,25 59:2	118:25 119:7
object 7:16 10:8	344:25	191:17 202:7	59:12 61:13	119:25 120:15
16:17 18:15	objections 6:11	217:2 225:11	62:3,21 63:2,6	120:25 121:5
43:12,22 47:6	8:3,7 128:25	242:19 278:19	63:10,14,23	122:4 123:24
49:11 64:16	139:8 145:22	281:24 299:11	64:4 65:2,7,11	124:3 125:7,10
68:24 76:17	205:2	312:9 315:22	65:20,25 66:22	125:23 127:9
83:25 86:2	objects 54:18	317:6 321:19	67:1 68:1,7,19	127:12,20
103:5 107:20	observation	326:22 334:2	69:9 70:22	128:2 129:3,13
124:6,18 128:7	294:2	334:11 337:14	71:9,16 72:2,8	129:16,23
130:8 133:24	observational	okay 8:19 9:16	72:23 73:1,1	130:19,22
135:1 137:17	196:6	9:21 10:20	74:12 75:10,24	131:8 132:1,7
140:12 144:7	observe 164:10	11:8,25 12:10	75:25 76:13	132:9,13,18,22
144:14,21	164:12	12:17,22 13:3	77:18 78:1,6	134:7,14,21
145:9 147:12	observed 270:9	13:16,19 14:9	78:15,24 79:1	135:5,12,20,25
149:3 153:16	obsoleted 75:15	14:12,15 15:4	79:22 80:20	136:2,11,16,22
155:17 161:2	obstructions	17:5 18:4,8	81:16,23 82:11	137:14,21
161:20 167:10	55:1	19:18 21:4,23	82:24 83:12,17	138:7,15,16
170:5 173:10	obtain 16:1	22:14,22 23:13	83:21 84:3,10	139:21 140:1,9
173:17 177:13	obvious 302:21	24:2,5,12,18	85:5,9,12,18	140:15,20,25
179:5 183:25	302:22,23	24:18 25:1,5,8	85:24 86:12,23	141:2,16,24
188:4,22	obviously	25:24 26:2,13	87:16,19,22,25	142:22,25
189:21 191:3	206:21 211:11	26:17,24 27:15	88:23,25 90:15	144:10 145:12
194:7 196:16	233:14 254:19	27:25 29:2,15	90:21,24 91:10	145:17,25
201:3,25 203:4	259:18 302:19	29:19 30:8,16	91:22,24 92:4	146:16,20
204:9,20	329:25 331:13	30:19 31:1,12	92:16,20 93:12	147:8 148:3,4
205:11 206:17	345:15	31:20,23,25	93:18,21 94:1	148:9,24 149:7
207:3,10	occur 84:9	32:3,7,9,15,21	94:21 95:16,24	149:19 150:3,5
208:18 210:6	117:12 124:17	32:24 33:2,6	96:13,23 97:18	150:13,23
212:9 251:24	133:14 317:16	33:11,25 34:2	98:9,16,20,25	152:4,25 153:9
253:19 271:8	occurred 41:5	34:4,8,14,18	99:4,8 100:3	155:12,20
275:25 280:7	73:14 89:21	35:5,7 36:3,12	101:4,9,23	156:6,11,16
285:9 290:10	142:19	36:16,16 37:3	102:1,4,15	157:3,25
298:12 325:3	occurs 73:18	37:6,18 38:13	103:24 104:7,9	158:15,20
338:5,14 339:4	81:14 82:3	38:20,24 39:1	104:13,18,21	159:21 160:1
339:13 340:6	109:15 232:17	40:10,10,13	106:8,16,25	162:4,13,15
	241:2 246:4	43:3,7 44:4,18	107:6,8,14,24	163:5,24 164:5
	office 258:17	44:21,21 45:1	108:3,19 109:5	164:14 165:7

166:18,25	268:13,22	89:15,22 90:25	107:3,19 108:7	operations
169:6,22 170:1	269:7 271:18	91:7,20,24,25	108:12,17,24	49:17 166:13
172:8,13 173:1	272:8,10 273:6	92:10,15,16,25	109:3,16 110:4	operative
173:4 174:1,11	273:10 282:18	93:1,9 95:20	110:7,12,15	270:15 271:25
174:18,21	282:24 290:14	103:8 104:10	111:5,8,13,23	323:4
175:6,17 176:6	291:21 294:19	133:4,6 134:2	112:5,9,20,21	opine 144:11
176:18,23	296:18 298:18	134:16 135:10	112:25 116:22	opinion 143:24
177:4 178:17	301:3,22	135:11 136:20	117:25 118:4	144:24 145:14
180:16 181:7	306:19 308:5	264:3	119:14,17	145:16 148:25
182:25 183:3	311:25 312:11	operate 64:9,19	120:2,3,7,13	208:4
184:13,23	313:5,22	222:20 274:2	120:20,21	opinions 144:18
185:5,17,21	315:16 316:23	operating 4:16	121:1,5,7,11	opportunities
186:7,12 187:9	317:15 318:4,7	16:9 17:13	121:16,18,23	28:13
187:18,25	318:21 325:25	18:2,19 20:14	122:1,13,16,22	opposed 214:10
188:14,18	326:22 327:7	21:7,19 24:6	122:25 123:14	opposite 36:24
189:12 190:8	328:4 329:24	24:14 32:1,10	126:18,20	37:2 198:6
190:15 191:13	330:10 335:25	32:12,16,25	127:8 129:15	opposition 6:23
191:17,22	337:4,22	37:9 38:10,18	129:19 130:4	optimize 301:9
192:2,12,24	338:23 339:15	39:11 42:4	131:12 132:24	option 328:10
193:10,14,19	340:9,14	43:16 45:14	134:8,10,13	options 324:19
194:16 195:15	341:11 342:10	46:1,5,9,12,16	330:11,13	
196:4,25 197:5	old 185:14	47:9,20,24	order 6:24,25	
198:10,11,22	345:17,17	48:4,19,20,22	38:25 40:16	
199:16 200:2,9	older 266:14	49:8,17,23	201:11	
200:11,20	Olmsted 43:15	50:4,10,19	ordinary 67:16	
201:13,18,22	162:19 165:21	51:2,16,18	original 34:18	
202:14,25	omitted 6:13	52:5 53:5,8,9	35:20 261:18	
203:17,23	once 142:10	53:14 54:13,15	originally 46:6	
204:13 205:4	177:20 213:16	54:23 55:2,8	originated 83:1	
205:25 206:13	226:17,17	55:22,23 56:2	ORs 61:7,16	
207:8 208:2,12	235:9 240:9	56:6 59:6,10	ORTHOPAE...	
210:23 216:13	251:14 294:4	61:10 63:25	2:14 3:11	
219:1 224:11	294:20	64:1,13,15,20	Orthopaedics	
226:6 230:3,10	one-and-a-half	64:25 65:5,17	60:19	
230:19 231:10	231:6 232:7	65:19 67:7	orthopedic	
233:3,22,24	ones 16:5 22:2	68:3,9,11 69:5	124:22 127:21	
234:24 237:9	22:23 27:3	69:7,11,13	132:2,7,9	
238:25 241:13	38:5 40:18	73:23 74:16,19	144:11,18	
242:13 243:1	44:1 172:2	74:22 75:1,5	145:1,5,7,13	
243:18 244:21	243:15,16	76:4,8,15	145:18,20	
249:11 250:12	284:18,23	78:13,22 86:11	149:12 151:4	
252:7 255:13	306:12 313:14	97:15 98:7	152:16,19	
256:1 257:7	341:18	99:5 102:12	153:4,6,8	
258:9 259:11	ongoing 253:13	103:10,11,14	154:8,19	
262:23 265:5	254:12,13,16	103:17 104:8	166:13 167:2	
265:21 266:16	online 258:24	104:15 105:18	167:21,22,25	
267:10 268:3	open 89:6,12,13	106:4,14,23	168:3,19,20	

169:17,19	over-generated	262:15 264:24	90:4,7 93:21	164:16 165:24
178:3 181:12	150:9	265:5,7,20	94:4,17,19	166:3,14
182:7 195:19	overall 94:18	269:23,25	101:13,14,15	172:23 173:2,5
195:19 196:12	159:17	276:13 278:15	139:16 156:25	173:7,9,14,15
196:19 206:25	Overland 2:17	279:15,19	164:21 214:11	195:11 197:12
207:1 208:3,12	3:15	286:24 296:8	214:15,23	197:16 200:4
208:15,23,25	overly 129:10	296:21 298:19	219:23 226:2	200:21 201:2
209:21 210:25	overpower 55:7	299:6 301:3	227:18 229:6	201:15 203:14
239:25 275:9	55:9	302:25 303:1	231:16,19	203:18,24
287:9,19,23,25	overturn 143:5	305:22 337:15	234:5 240:5	204:4,6,7,15
288:5,8 289:21	overwhelmed	340:22 348:8	259:20 262:12	204:17,18
290:24 291:3	62:2	pages 1:22	264:21 271:13	205:21 206:10
294:25 313:10	<hr/> P <hr/>	233:11 261:13	272:16 273:10	206:14 207:19
313:18 333:12	P 5:1,11 348:1	276:13 347:7	273:14 274:12	208:4,14
338:4,13,18,20	P.A 3:13	348:4	279:21 281:25	211:24 212:1
344:7	P.C 1:9,18 3:17	paid 181:15,19	285:12 289:7	212:17
orthopedics 3:2	P.J 171:15	221:8	290:13 298:8	particular 22:13
60:13 307:20	p.m 102:23,23	pan 31:17	315:11 316:14	68:22 168:14
outcome 151:12	131:2,2 155:22	pandemic 85:25	318:9,18	214:15 218:7
167:17 194:22	155:23 213:7,8	paper 100:11	332:12 341:4	230:12 234:19
195:7,20	314:4,5 346:17	180:7 194:24	particle 146:3	246:21,25
199:22,22,24	P.O 2:4	195:18 228:21	151:8,10,19	248:7 256:12
211:4 335:16	pacemakers	233:8 258:6	162:16 166:11	258:21 269:12
outcomes	294:13	286:11 307:12	particle-formi...	285:18 290:4
169:14 170:10	package 101:8	312:1,6,13	163:2	291:6
171:16 195:3	101:13	papers 10:21	particles 41:20	particularly
234:12 309:7	PACU 33:4,8,12	11:14 27:8,9	48:3,7,10,16	227:12 291:13
309:10	46:7 62:25	27:11 28:1	48:21 123:25	328:20 331:21
outline 21:13	63:16,18,20,21	38:2 40:17	126:5 127:15	333:12
outpatient 227:7	64:5,15 65:5,8	41:2 208:21	127:16,24	particulate 39:8
227:12 256:13	65:10,12 69:20	259:15	128:2,5 129:8	43:16 149:14
262:17 263:6	78:20 85:3	paperwork	129:20,25	151:23 195:5
output 23:4	91:14 102:8	282:5	130:16 144:4	198:4 206:3
72:12 73:4	244:8,12	paragraph	144:12,19	particulates
171:25 172:11	248:18 304:12	80:24 108:14	145:2,6,14,19	37:23,24 39:9
outside 8:7	page 4:2,5 80:14	109:2,17 110:1	146:1,13,17,22	39:13 43:11
38:12 42:6,8	86:18 89:2	190:16 194:1	147:5,11,18	45:24 46:22
47:7 49:18	100:7 104:24	234:7 235:7	148:14,20	47:15,25 49:5
78:12,21 119:8	104:25,25	237:10	149:10 150:21	49:10 50:5,10
128:9 139:11	135:15 186:24	pardon 216:17	150:24 151:3	50:20 130:6
167:11 170:7	189:24 225:3	222:3 266:22	152:18 153:2	131:18 132:4
207:4 212:11	227:6 229:18	Park 2:12,17	153:10,21,25	132:15,20
277:19	232:21,25	3:15	154:4,11 155:3	143:22,24
outweigh 170:1	234:5,6 238:25	Parkway 2:16	155:15 160:8	149:1 150:6,7
170:16	246:7 260:16	part 30:12 63:10	160:24 162:6,9	150:16 156:14
oven 215:17	261:14 262:4	65:3,20,21	162:11,15	157:16 161:12
328:18		66:1 68:7,10	163:25 164:1	161:17,24

165:20 196:12	241:9 244:17	269:15,19	217:21 219:2	270:22
196:13 199:2	249:1 255:4,16	283:10 293:10	237:3 243:24	periprosthetic
199:11,12	256:25 264:4	297:5,8,11	244:24 245:8	154:14 179:3
200:1 209:17	267:6 272:14	298:20 300:8	245:12 248:8	permit 241:9
210:12,14	293:14 294:14	300:13,17,22	250:25 258:18	permits 240:2
211:7 252:8	298:11 301:11	303:9,16,18	264:20 269:18	permitted
331:20	306:1 308:18	310:12,15,21	269:18,22	103:13
parties 7:2	308:23 309:17	310:22,23	278:2 283:3,19	person 2:4,6,12
partner 305:25	309:22 310:5	313:11 324:16	285:14 291:10	2:22,22 58:9
partners 291:14	310:10 311:5,6	338:20	308:7 314:18	61:9 67:19
parts 100:1	324:12 326:2,6	Patricia 2:4	314:20,21	159:4,8 257:16
Parvizi 152:16	327:11 329:4	Paul 2:16 60:18	320:9 331:12	258:1 283:22
passed 156:11	330:14	Paws 4:19	342:12	personally
passive 324:13	patient's 92:21	216:21,22,23	perceive 211:11	335:24 341:12
passive-condu...	92:25 133:10	216:25 217:19	percent 44:11,14	341:24
268:1 328:9	133:15,23	218:9,11,22	44:22 100:11	personnel 15:14
patent 4:8 77:9	134:4 341:6	219:3,4 223:20	128:5 138:23	16:21 111:7
79:2,15,17,20	patient-warmi...	243:12 250:4	201:23 202:25	112:21 113:11
79:24 80:1	27:21 28:8,15	260:24 274:15	204:15	122:13 152:7
100:17 101:25	128:23	277:12 279:23	percentage	164:5 201:8,16
218:25 252:21	patients 4:20	280:3,6 282:9	27:17 204:6	perspective
patents 80:2	30:24 33:10	282:12,15,19	perception	292:13 297:24
pathogens 247:8	52:8,10 62:23	282:25 283:9	248:4	298:4
248:1 253:11	62:24,25 68:14	284:7 287:4	perceptions	Pfeifer 312:9
275:1,23	69:16,20,21	293:20 334:5	162:2	phase 231:6
339:18,24	71:19 73:3	Paws-type	PerfecTemp	232:17 320:13
340:5,17	78:3 81:14,20	254:20	330:4	321:21,21
344:20	82:3,14,21	pay 228:4	perform 38:8	336:12
patience 314:11	84:2,12 103:20	PC 3:3 35:10	43:8 120:16,18	phased 98:9
patient 4:10	118:7 150:17	60:19	122:23	phases 64:7
28:1,2 29:12	170:3 171:1,2	peak 260:7	performance	229:20 230:5
52:18,18,24	185:15 192:22	peer 178:24	31:4	264:25
54:19 74:4	194:25 195:20	179:2,9,12,13	performed 42:1	phone 334:14
78:19 84:3,25	223:4,7 227:3	179:23 180:10	54:1 126:10	342:12
87:1,14,15,17	227:20 231:23	180:11,14	127:14 149:8	phonetic 196:22
89:24 91:13,19	234:14,25	206:6	165:4,12 262:7	202:5
92:2,14 113:13	236:14 237:12	PENDERGR...	performing	photography
126:23,24	238:9,12,20	3:6	183:21	45:17 115:2,8
127:2 132:25	240:15 241:1	pending 9:14	period 81:20	115:14 116:2,7
134:11,24	243:6 244:4,14	people 15:22	156:16 294:6	116:21,24
201:8 214:21	246:3 249:12	26:11 30:16	319:6	117:2 119:9
215:21 220:11	249:22 252:17	54:19 73:13	periodically	phrase 338:16
220:14,16	260:25 262:1	86:14 91:13	275:22	338:24
221:13 230:24	263:11,19	92:1 111:8,14	perioperative	physical 172:8
235:9,14	264:17,23	122:18 127:6	234:14,16	physician 122:5
236:11 240:3,6	268:19,24	134:10 160:18	311:21 312:15	289:8
240:10,11	269:4,5,11,13	164:11 211:10	peripheral	physicians

154:13 203:17	117:9,13,18,24	135:6 136:13	potentially	predecessor
205:7 340:7	118:5 119:7,12	140:19 141:4,9	261:3 283:12	261:20
physics 146:20	119:16 120:1,2	142:5 198:23	344:6 345:25	predecessors
146:25 147:9	120:6,17,19	possible 45:21	power 118:22,23	261:22
physiology 56:9	122:22 126:4	47:5,13 73:12	118:25 119:1	predicate 31:12
56:14,25	126:14,22	91:11 96:10	119:23 168:4	31:18 77:13,16
168:16 228:3	127:7 130:12	105:15 110:23	powerful 242:14	78:9 281:6
269:8	209:19	148:1 186:11	PowerPoint	preferred 79:11
pick 293:19	plus 167:18	227:21,22	295:15 296:4,9	preinduction
294:5 297:14	210:3	235:25 263:12	296:13,15,21	236:23 265:11
297:18	point 8:1,8	282:3 292:2	301:4 302:3,8	269:16
picked 56:1	24:13 75:14	313:16,19	PowerPoints	premarked 5:12
picture 209:22	82:12 106:9	334:8	295:14	premise 64:22
piece 256:17,19	109:1 110:2	post-induction	Pownell 215:12	preoperative
259:21	118:19 130:16	245:20 246:2	practically	213:24 214:6
pieces 305:15	136:11 160:7	300:7	299:23	235:9 244:9,11
pilots 72:18	161:9 165:10	post-op 244:12	practice 95:12	245:18 248:17
Pintler 330:6,7	181:18 186:23	post-operative	228:8 291:25	255:15 281:15
place 2:7 3:4	228:24 229:1	80:23 81:12,20	315:19	284:4 293:1
143:6 218:1,2	234:25 241:3	81:25 167:18	practiced	preoperatively
219:7 228:13	245:17 246:4	224:12,16	243:21 244:20	255:21 283:10
233:1 251:13	250:22 258:13	249:5	practices 254:21	284:7
266:13 347:6	263:4 266:3	post-surgical	288:6 295:22	preparation
placed 120:12	269:14 274:21	124:11 199:23	307:15	13:3 14:17
120:12 191:25	280:17 285:1	poster 4:15	practicing 122:5	15:1,7 21:24
288:12 290:7	303:18	19:23 186:16	pragmatic	26:14 35:18
placing 255:19	points 245:3	186:17,24	183:13 298:1,4	77:22 90:15
plain 343:1,6,9	POL SINELLI	potential 83:23	341:18	317:21
344:22	3:3	90:5,13 92:9	pre 268:8	prepare 7:6 9:25
Plaintiff 1:5,14	poly-sci 56:22	93:5,23 94:2,7	pre-operative	11:17 12:15,18
6:16,16,19,25	poor 289:6	131:9 137:2	219:16 244:15	35:22 228:17
Plaintiffs 2:2	population 48:6	247:8 251:5,21	pre-surgical	272:19 345:21
6:18 60:1,3,6,8	276:18	253:9,10	255:2	prepared 7:14
283:25	porous 106:21	263:24 264:12	precaution	7:22 12:25
plan 28:5 29:16	108:6,23	274:23,25	289:14 290:22	214:2 222:11
platinum 154:18	portion 199:1	275:17,23	310:13	239:1,6 274:19
Plaza 2:21	222:10 276:12	276:15 284:7	precedes 254:8	284:20 318:13
please 5:10 9:7	318:16	291:14 292:8	precise 19:16	318:14 319:11
15:18	position 6:3 8:5	295:1 301:14	291:25	319:12,20
plotting 229:23	141:17 144:17	306:1 309:1	precisely 11:3	323:9 330:20
plume 117:17	341:20	321:2 322:1,11	15:24 19:4	342:23
120:4	possession 7:10	322:13 330:11	23:25 27:3	prepares 304:16
plumes 114:7,10	82:20 84:7,23	331:20 332:10	30:4 36:9	preparing 10:11
114:17,19,25	89:4 92:9	332:21 333:11	40:16 66:16	13:9,13 14:23
115:5,6,13,16	93:13 95:18	339:17,23	110:22 118:17	271:1 274:11
115:20 116:1	134:15,22	340:4 344:3,19	142:8 280:13	338:20
116:15,23		346:7	precision 228:1	present 3:23

156:12,17,21	105:10 246:7	287:1,3 293:6	30:6 39:23	94:18,20,23
156:22 163:15	308:16	293:21 297:10	49:24 83:3	95:3 96:3
176:24 224:14	previously 90:22	297:15,19,25	85:24 88:18	112:18 123:9
307:25 315:9	194:14	298:11,15	98:15 103:12	129:5 131:8
presentation	prewarm 235:14	299:21 300:4	125:14 128:23	139:16 141:12
4:15 45:2	237:12 240:15	300:20 309:21	136:1 139:3	142:12,18,20
296:6 298:19	240:19 243:14	309:21 334:6	158:23,25	152:5,5,13
301:19 306:3	245:24 255:4	341:10,12,20	159:15,20	154:17 156:25
presented 28:13	255:17 267:6	342:7 344:5,19	163:13 170:9	157:5 280:10
149:24 152:22	272:14 298:2	prewarming-o...	203:7 233:4	280:14 281:7
154:7	prewarmed	224:15	238:6 253:22	282:1 283:19
presenter	231:24 232:5	price 294:9	257:21 259:9	316:13 332:8
300:11	240:9 249:15	326:9	261:19 263:1	336:13
presenter's	266:21 268:13	pride 228:14	276:3 278:22	produce 6:12
295:25 299:13	298:21 300:8	primarily 29:8	286:16,17	317:18
299:17	300:14,18	33:3 52:7 75:1	293:15 295:13	produced 26:22
presenting	prewarming	primary 270:11	297:12 302:6	119:3 226:3,12
301:14	4:18,19 214:13	principles	304:5,9,14	226:16 279:3
presents 302:10	220:10,14,16	print 298:23	305:7 324:10	298:25 302:24
preservation	220:25 221:21	302:9	problem 17:23	306:22 315:23
240:16	222:4,12,14	printed 100:10	19:1 29:7	319:12 324:4
preserving	223:4,5,7,12	295:24 296:2	62:23 63:2,3,7	produces 44:8,9
215:21	223:14 224:1	302:6	63:8 80:23	151:7
presumably	224:11,17,18	prior 11:25	93:23,23 94:2	producing
233:24	224:19,22	20:15,16 40:14	94:6,7,7 96:2	118:20,24
presume 302:15	225:12 226:21	40:15 122:20	209:1 235:14	product 30:6,20
Pretend 337:6	227:3 229:8	141:7,25	242:11 244:14	31:3 62:24
pretty 223:13	231:12 235:23	164:15,22	248:5 272:14	63:10 66:1,20
228:4 230:10	236:5,21 239:2	165:12 168:19	problems 36:22	67:10 86:22
238:5 242:14	239:10,17	180:25 181:10	264:7 320:19	94:13,15 95:12
250:24 259:21	240:23 241:2,8	183:5,8 235:14	procedure	97:19 142:11
311:4 312:8	241:15,22	242:2 272:14	230:13,14	158:2 185:7
343:9	242:22 243:2	320:12 330:24	234:17 256:13	219:24 220:2
prevent 72:2,22	243:11,20	prioritize 321:10	310:25	229:12 279:24
112:3,4 173:13	244:14 246:4	pro 241:10	procedures	280:9,17 281:3
240:8,16 246:1	246:12 247:11	246:10,21	178:3 227:8	281:25 288:18
341:13,21	248:20 250:5	247:1,7,23	262:17 263:6	288:21 292:7
prevented	253:8 254:19	248:7,16	proceed 285:20	293:24,25
211:13,15,16	255:10,14	249:11	285:21	294:5,11
preventing	256:2,24	probability	process 62:16,22	314:21 316:12
211:5,9 262:8	260:23 261:7	211:4,21,25	63:6,19 65:3	316:15 319:6
300:21	262:1,7 265:6	212:3	65:20 67:23	320:14,16,20
prevention	266:8,11,13	probable 147:20	68:10,13 74:3	325:23 327:7
170:9,19	269:4 272:22	148:3,4	75:18 83:11	327:14,16
prevents 170:23	274:15,24	probably 13:11	90:5,8,8,12	328:1,5 329:6
173:4	277:12 282:11	14:8 19:17	91:5 93:21	329:13,21
previous 89:13	282:19 283:10		94:4,12,13,14	330:6 345:22

production 79:5 302:14	273:7,12 339:16 344:3	providing 247:3 310:20	233:25 248:3 249:21 250:20	155:18 159:7 160:10 161:3
products 66:3 66:23 95:8 126:11 221:5 221:18 229:8 243:11,13 282:6 285:8 288:17 294:17 295:4 323:24 325:14,18 326:14 329:5 334:23	protected 308:8 protection 236:7 protective 6:24 6:24	provoking 235:25	262:22 295:16 296:13	161:21 167:11 169:16 170:6
professional 210:17	protocol 260:17 260:17,23	public 86:7,10 312:17 347:16	putting 126:11 129:18 183:22	173:11,18 177:14 178:4
professionals 275:10 301:8	262:12 269:24 271:2,2,3,24	publications 187:1 212:13	193:10,14 211:6	179:6,8,16 180:14,24
Professor 39:25 159:14 203:6	274:12 275:13 276:13,19 277:12,16	published 80:10 174:16,18 178:22,23	Q	184:1 188:5,23 189:22,25
program 133:3	279:6 285:1,18 286:18 291:13	179:9,14 180:12,25	qualified 347:3	191:4 193:20
prohibit 290:3	291:24 292:6 292:11 307:22	186:13 207:12 209:25 229:2	qualitative 120:24 145:23 150:20 209:13	194:8,10,11 196:4,17 201:4
prohibited 76:3 338:18	307:23 311:12 311:19 312:14	pull 102:17 138:22 337:9	quality 66:3 301:9,9 316:13 323:23	202:1 203:5 204:10,21
project 291:17 320:22	313:4,7,10 344:1	purchase 160:22 326:8 331:4	quantify 273:24 120:24 124:13 149:5,8 150:21	205:5,12 206:18 207:4
projections 334:22	protocols 285:13 301:11 307:24	332:21	question 9:6,9 9:10 10:9 16:18 18:16	207:11 208:19 209:23 210:7
promote 185:7 336:5	provide 66:3 94:10 95:7 158:5 235:8	purchased 28:22 335:9	quantitative 20:10 33:13 20:10 33:13	212:10 251:25 253:20 254:12
promoted 66:19	239:24 273:18 287:8 288:22	purchasing 330:19,25	question 9:6,9 9:10 10:9 16:18 18:16	271:9 275:8 276:1 285:10
prompted 40:8	310:4 333:16 343:19	purpose 25:10 33:7,9 47:3 108:15 110:19 112:2 157:25	purchasing 41:22 43:7,13 43:23 47:7 50:13 54:9 58:14,22,24 61:9,11,20,23	290:11 296:10 298:13 301:23
promulgating 95:5	provided 6:11 11:16 26:15,17	purposes 47:24 48:16 49:4 112:4 113:9	questioning 7:3 questions 7:7 9:4,14 13:1	302:7 317:11 319:2,21 325:4
proper 244:22	27:13 62:4,6,7	138:12,20 158:3	purpose 25:10 33:7,9 47:3 108:15 110:19 112:2 157:25	325:14 326:18 338:1 339:25 343:22
properly 255:7	77:14 170:2	pursue 217:10 217:14	questioning 7:3 questions 7:7 9:4,14 13:1	343:22
property 51:6 121:13	277:19 309:11 309:14 318:12 324:4	332:25 333:1,3 333:4	questions 7:7 9:4,14 13:1	354:10 358:13
proponent 293:6	provider 330:12 330:14	pushing 113:24 put 35:1,2 61:15	102:18 103:6 107:21 109:22 112:11 116:17	182:11 226:20 229:11,16 251:9,20 252:1
proposal 292:1 292:14	providers 240:18 264:16 289:22 324:20	90:18 154:12 168:7 174:3	119:25 124:7 128:8 130:9	252:3,7 254:10 254:12 257:17
proposed 143:9 285:6 291:23 334:24	325:19	175:4 191:18	135:2 137:18 144:8,15,22,25	275:22 276:4,5 279:10 285:15
pros 239:2,9,15 242:21,25 243:1 245:18 250:15 253:8	provides 236:6 300:3 304:16 309:1	207:18 210:24 211:1,3,21 215:3,17 233:5	147:13 149:4 149:21,24 150:19 152:10 153:17,19	303:5 306:25 319:1,23 335:3 336:11,16,23 337:10,18 342:13,15,18 342:22

queue 245:2	RBC 2:21	112:6,7 130:1	recognize	73:3 124:25	
quick 29:10	re-prepared	143:7 209:4	133:25 225:7	126:6 127:22	
112:13 260:7	319:13	235:7 244:19	260:5,6 277:5	127:23 147:17	
334:16	re-review	264:15 285:17	295:11,13	148:20 203:17	
quicker 272:17	318:15	285:21,22	recognized	203:20 224:12	
quickly 227:21	reach 23:20	300:1 311:2	129:1 133:17	270:22	
238:5 263:11	reaction 284:12	312:24,25	recollection 21:9	reduced 71:17	
263:12,16	reactions 283:17	reasonable	21:10,11	72:10,13	
264:18 326:20	read 38:2,4 79:2	61:22	164:17 177:2	106:21 108:5	
Quint 4:23	82:16 87:7,8	reasoning 142:3	253:1,5 317:6	108:11 167:19	
277:8 278:7,17	89:7 97:24	reasons 71:17	recommend	171:1,6	
279:7 286:4,9	106:14,23	74:15,21 98:3	308:3,12,14	reduces 48:21	
quite 44:24 79:2	108:7 128:17	107:2 133:12	310:23	149:15 171:5	
185:14 202:14	183:3,5 189:14	133:14 297:22	recommendati...	195:16 196:7	
223:19,19	270:24 271:12	298:1 336:6	125:6,9 307:15	199:2 246:11	
251:9 291:16	271:13 272:10	341:17	312:15 321:12	246:18 247:7	
312:5 318:7	298:24 299:11	recall 19:25	recommendati...	249:3 253:9	
329:1	301:12 307:10	20:23 22:10,19	311:20	274:24 339:16	
quoting 312:12	312:19 348:3	24:12,25 36:16	recommended	344:19	
<hr/>					
R					
R 5:1 348:1	reading 79:8	41:1,2,2,4 45:1	reducing	125:1	
raise 106:6	172:7 302:1	45:7 58:8	148:19 280:15	reduction	48:9
130:15,16	reads 272:5	66:16 140:13	194:25 195:14	reconvene	194:25 195:14
160:6 235:23	ready 131:6	142:2 156:20	318:16	224:15 309:5	
341:6	243:7 249:1	156:24 157:14	record 5:3,10,17	Reed 174:12	
raised 36:7,10	291:12 314:9	157:19 164:20	59:21,24	181:15 182:6	
randomized	real 29:10 89:20	176:2 184:6,7	102:21,25	182:18,19,25	
196:5	172:9 269:6	184:23 244:10	112:14,16	referenced	6:25
Randy 15:23	335:3	254:4 257:19	130:25 131:4	references	336:4
83:18	really 67:11	258:10 262:18	155:21,25	referred	340:23
range 119:6	139:11 193:15	276:23 279:12	213:4,6,10	referring	9:18
296:23	195:13 197:8	279:12 283:11	271:20 314:3,6	37:19 75:25	
rapidly 235:24	215:16 231:11	284:19 285:4	323:8 346:14	100:21 127:4	
238:11	243:20 245:5	285:23 286:21	recover 174:15	148:12 180:8	
rarely 315:4	249:19 262:20	300:22 301:15	recovering	182:2 242:12	
rate 119:1,3	269:5,15	301:17,18	124:8	254:1 299:12	
191:7 237:15	273:16 279:11	303:17 312:2	Recovery 307:6	refers	195:22,24
237:20 266:4	285:2 298:7,14	313:9,14 315:8	recruited 185:25	reflection	
303:7 346:4	307:9 331:15	315:8 318:3	rectangular 23:1	344:10	
rates 42:17 62:8	reason 24:17	recalling 178:19	recycled 100:11	refresh	27:6
150:18 151:14	49:19 68:19	receive 181:23	redistribution	regarding	13:6
151:16 162:25	73:25 74:2	234:14	4:20 260:24	15:1 21:17,18	
168:2 169:13	76:9 90:24	received 253:14	270:11,21	21:22 31:4	
177:6 178:7,12	91:3 102:13	254:13 294:24	299:22	42:14,15 45:2	
263:18 264:10	103:15,18	receiving 11:25	reduce 48:6,10	59:4 95:19	
264:12	106:25 107:12	recess 59:22	48:16 49:5	116:8 135:6	
	109:5,14	102:22 112:15	50:5,10,20	141:3,17	
	110:23 111:18	131:1			

153:24 156:13	52:24 123:10	117:15 128:12	255:9 259:21	58:8,9,15
156:18 157:5	123:14 142:11	rephrase 44:21	291:17 292:1	157:15 185:3
157:12,17,22	181:1,4 280:11	150:19 153:18	researchers	230:8 236:1
159:23 160:23	280:18	171:22 178:4	181:25 210:3	responses 21:22
161:17 162:9,9	relied 186:25	replacement	resided 275:12	236:25
176:25 177:5	336:3	4:20 260:25	resist 237:1	responsibilities
182:11,19,19	relies 152:6	261:8 262:2	resists 237:5	222:6,9
183:13,20	169:1 184:20	report 58:8	resolve 38:16	responsibility
205:7 207:19	187:9 335:25	225:11 304:6	respect 6:6	288:18
208:15 319:17	rely 158:4	reported 178:12	10:24 30:10	responsible
320:6 345:7	184:13 186:2,5	reporter 5:3	41:24 45:3	160:17
regardless 95:6	210:20 335:20	225:2 247:19	51:21 59:17	rest 52:23
113:21 298:2	relying 171:8,12	299:16 347:4	61:19 65:4	306:15
310:23	remains 290:15	reports 222:13	73:19,22 98:4	restate 41:22
region 214:17	remarks 269:2	represent 19:18	109:25 120:3	153:18 161:22
regularly 275:22	remember 15:24	40:12 269:5	127:4 129:3	173:12 177:8
295:18 336:5	17:3 19:16	279:2 306:20	132:22 134:14	177:20 192:16
regulation 228:4	22:18 23:25	representative	144:19 149:7	restrict 208:22
295:22	24:16 27:3	1:25 4:7 5:14	150:18 153:9	209:5
regulatory 15:12	33:21 40:16,18	5:25 8:22,25	159:1 161:8	restrictions
25:6 26:20	43:25 61:12	9:23 10:25	162:4,17,21	241:5
81:9 236:1	161:7 174:24	12:14 336:10	163:15,24	resubmitted
331:11	178:13 181:3	representatives	164:16 165:3	252:20
reintroduce	238:1 243:15	295:3	165:15,20,24	result 18:22 40:6
111:15	252:18 257:22	represented 7:1	166:2 167:1,5	72:16 73:10
reiterated 6:10	257:24 258:6,7	representing	168:17,24,24	84:20 97:24
related 7:23	278:23 284:15	180:6 283:25	169:16 171:17	98:6,25 99:5
10:2 15:16	312:5 319:9	reps 108:1,3	171:17 177:4	103:23,25
16:7 17:9 27:4	340:25	140:6	177:23 178:5	112:20 117:14
30:6 40:9 41:5	removal 142:22	request 9:14	194:5 203:10	141:14 142:18
45:24,25 82:9	143:1,4	requested 6:15	220:10 280:5	144:2,4,6
148:16 168:15	remove 46:21	requesting	285:5 287:17	147:4 148:18
239:9 247:1	47:15,25 48:3	292:16	290:23 313:10	150:6,8 187:14
272:21 298:17	48:7 49:1	required 237:12	313:18 315:5,6	189:6 205:10
331:13	322:19	334:18 341:19	321:13,17	207:20 252:12
relationship	removed 138:5	requirement	322:14 329:3	270:10
30:3 124:8,10	141:3,14 142:9	323:23,25	330:16 332:20	resulting 263:25
177:10 197:10	142:16,17	requires 242:15	333:10 335:15	results 37:25
197:15 205:15	156:3 322:17	requiring 95:10	342:21	58:4 187:4
relative 341:9,10	rendered 270:16	237:13	respectfully	189:12 190:4
relatively 97:17	271:25	research 17:23	342:22	215:13 218:6
270:17 272:2	renegotiate	28:14 30:9	respond 69:17	233:25
340:24 341:2,8	294:7	155:8,10	71:21	retained 128:19
relayed 61:9	renewed 312:21	181:22 225:17	responded 6:18	retiring 28:5
released 44:12	rep 62:5,7	236:4 237:11	6:19	retrospective
44:13,23 45:8	repeat 18:17	238:19 240:22	response 15:21	196:7
50:25 51:16,17	50:12 76:19	245:12,13	16:22 52:13	reveals 191:6

reverse 126:21	216:17 217:18	283:11 284:18	114:16 123:25	333:10,10
reversed 119:18	217:22,25	284:20 285:8	risk 83:8,11,21	340:16
review 15:6,9,25	218:11,15,16	285:17 286:15	89:19,20,23,24	risk-benefit
21:23 22:12	218:21 221:3	287:9 288:11	89:25 90:1	169:19 273:14
25:10 26:25	222:1,12	288:25 290:16	91:4,5,6,15	273:16,23
27:12 28:3	225:14,18	290:17 291:4	92:9,13 93:5	risks 83:10 90:5
34:16,17 77:21	226:4,22 227:4	291:12 292:2,4	93:18 94:13,17	90:9,13 94:15
90:16 179:23	227:8,13,23	293:5 295:7	94:22 95:3	95:12 131:9,13
291:13 333:23	228:6,15,23	296:12,22	96:10,14,19	152:8 166:11
reviewed 10:2	230:6 231:3,22	297:8 298:6,8	103:7 104:16	190:17,22
15:10,11,12,12	232:3,9 233:17	298:10,16	104:19 124:5	194:1 220:9,13
15:13,19 21:15	234:3,15 235:2	299:24 300:15	124:25 131:13	276:14 280:16
24:20 25:1,5	235:4,5,15	300:22 302:17	133:2,16,20	282:2,8,18
26:13 27:2	236:2,8,20,23	306:5 307:7,13	134:19 141:12	283:6,9 284:5
34:14 178:24	238:5 239:10	308:23 309:18	141:19 142:7	284:13 309:5
179:2,9,12,13	239:15,20,25	310:1 311:3,14	142:12,18,20	314:24 317:1
180:10,11,14	240:7,8,20	313:23 314:8	143:9,25 144:5	319:7,7
206:7 208:21	241:2,4,11,19	315:11,19	144:13,19	Risky 250:24
278:1 304:24	241:20 242:16	316:1,11 317:7	145:7,20 149:2	254:3
316:1 333:13	243:4 244:22	317:17 318:15	149:11 151:3	RN 3:6,6,6
333:16,25	245:17,21	320:24 321:6,7	152:4,12,19	robe 284:11
334:5	246:2,4,25	321:14 322:6	153:5,14,21,22	Rockhill 2:14
revision 260:18	247:2,4,12	322:10 323:11	154:17 159:18	3:11 60:19
rewarm 33:9	248:2,7,10,16	323:21 324:7	166:14 168:25	role 58:16 221:9
62:25	248:21,22,23	325:13,20	170:1,14,16,20	221:22
rewarming	249:2,8,9,16	326:15 327:9	181:12 190:21	roles 159:22
62:24	250:14 251:6	327:20,23	190:23,25	Ron 3:23
RF 252:16	252:9,22 253:1	328:2,14,21	192:10 194:5,5	room 4:16 16:9
right 19:20	254:17 255:22	329:3 330:7	196:14 197:13	17:13 18:2,19
20:23 24:8,9	256:8,15,18,20	331:1,18	198:12,17	20:14 21:7,19
25:16 32:8	256:25 257:13	332:19 333:7	207:23 208:5	24:6,14 32:1
35:9 51:23	258:12 261:1,5	335:2,11 336:2	211:10,11,14	32:10,13,16,25
73:9 88:2,20	261:9,11 262:2	337:24 343:8	222:19,22,24	37:10 38:10,18
88:20 89:14	263:7,12,18,21	344:9,13,17	246:18 251:5	39:11 42:4
100:14 106:3	264:11,18,19	345:10,18,20	251:21 273:20	43:16 45:14
108:8 131:25	264:21 265:7	345:22,24	280:10,14	46:1,5,9,12,16
133:8 140:25	266:2,9,11	346:4	282:6 284:10	47:9,20,25
151:12,21	267:3,11,14	right-hand	295:1 314:16	48:4,19,20,22
163:23 176:22	268:11,16	225:17 311:17	314:17,25	49:8,23 50:4
180:9 182:3,8	269:23 270:18	rigid 280:10	315:10,11,20	50:10,20 51:2
182:14 184:6	272:3,11 273:3	ring 278:20	316:2,5,16,16	51:16,18 52:6
186:16 187:21	273:7,9 274:1	Rio 182:3	316:21 317:9	53:5,9 54:13
190:19,25	274:7,11,19	rise 113:25	317:18 318:3,4	54:15,23 55:2
191:2,11 195:5	275:7,14 277:9	114:4 123:22	318:9,23,25	55:11,22,23
195:25 197:1	277:13,22	126:4 211:20	319:6,9 320:10	56:2,6 59:6,10
204:19 213:13	278:7 281:7,8	rises 113:15,18	320:22 321:10	61:10 63:25
214:4 216:10	282:13,22	113:20,23	322:11,13,17	64:13,15,20,25

65:5,17,19	245:2,7 248:21	140:6,6 294:1	scale 118:19	333:19
67:7 68:3,9,11	263:20 272:15	295:2 331:11	scanned 100:1	Scott's 195:18
69:5,7,11,13	304:12 309:2	334:22,23	schedule 244:25	SEAL 348:22
73:23 74:16,19	322:1,2 338:19	salesperson	245:9	sealing 267:11
74:22 75:1,5	345:8 346:9	61:14 62:1	scheduling	Sean 3:14 60:21
76:4,8,15	room's 64:1	sampling 174:9	244:22 245:2,7	second 4:6 5:13
78:13,19,22	rooms 49:17	Sans 306:3,4	254:24	51:12 59:3
86:11 97:15	53:10,14 55:8	sat 226:3	schemes 244:25	82:2 100:6
98:7 99:6	56:8 106:14	satisfaction	Schlieren 45:16	102:16 186:24
102:12 103:10	112:25 121:5,7	301:11 336:13	114:25 115:2,4	197:5 244:8
103:11,14,17	121:18 122:17	saw 8:16 10:10	115:8 116:1,6	278:15
104:8,15	163:3 244:25	11:23 119:7	116:21,24	Secondly 139:9
105:18 106:4	ROSE 2:21	135:9 238:7,9	117:2 119:8	secret 278:3
106:23 107:3	roughly 14:16	saying 92:23	120:16,23	317:2 332:6
107:19 108:7	17:3 23:1	93:3 147:25	122:20 209:11	section 192:8
108:13,17,24	156:6 175:16	157:9 163:20	209:16,22	see 5:15 79:10
109:4,16 110:4	route 114:2	170:22,24	school 57:1	80:12 88:18
110:7,12,15,24	routinely 259:14	171:4,5 189:17	238:2 268:20	98:20 99:21
110:25 111:3,5	RPR 347:2	198:6 210:24	science 49:25	100:12,24
111:8,13,23	Ruge 196:22	271:22 310:14	114:15 116:7	105:8,9 106:6
112:5,9,20,21	ruling 5:22	says 82:13 84:6	133:21 144:18	106:6 115:4,25
116:22 117:25	run 257:17	84:9 87:1,12	147:9 196:20	116:1 135:19
118:4 119:14	S	87:12,20 89:4	206:6 209:10	139:17 140:23
119:17 120:2,3	S 5:1 348:1	89:12 91:20	scientific 73:2	141:1 161:5
120:7,13,20,21	safe 66:4,23 67:1	97:14 100:10	109:21 143:1	166:5 187:6,8
121:1,11,16,23	68:22 69:6	103:21 106:11	143:10 155:13	187:17 191:16
122:1,13,22,25	106:19 108:20	106:19 108:20	167:6 258:25	192:2,5,7
123:14 126:18	95:7 220:17,18	135:16 158:12	scientifically	213:24 214:11
126:20 127:8	239:20 287:3	187:6 189:4	200:3	229:21 233:11
129:15,19	324:20 325:1,8	190:6,7,16	scientists 153:13	235:6,10
130:4 131:13	328:12,13	194:1 225:18	283:20	246:13 254:6
132:24 134:8	336:6	235:13 236:17	scope 7:8 8:7	256:3 262:6,10
134:10,13	safely 227:21	236:18 239:8	47:7 128:9	270:5,8 272:15
143:18,21	263:13,16	254:18 255:10	139:11 167:11	276:15 278:9
146:8 147:16	288:21	256:1 261:10	170:7 202:3	278:18,19
148:19 162:18	safer 325:11	262:6 265:25	203:5 204:22	279:20 286:8
188:7,9 192:6	safety 66:1,20	270:1,8,20	205:13 207:4	296:5,25
192:21 193:13	220:2 279:16	271:24 277:11	210:7 212:11	298:20 300:9
199:8 201:1,9	279:25 280:4	277:15 278:16	280:8 281:12	302:4 303:11
201:15,16,24	280:23 281:2,9	278:17 279:16	Scott 16:6,25	304:1,2 335:2
202:23 203:13	281:18,21	279:23 285:25	34:20,21 83:13	339:19
219:5 235:10	303:25 324:23	286:10 296:24	161:8,10,13,18	seeing 205:15
235:15,18	325:5,6	297:4 298:20	167:15 170:25	seek 218:3,5
241:19 242:9	sales 4:14 15:11	301:4 302:4	171:8,10,12	seeking 292:8
242:11,16	30:5 62:4,6	303:8 305:2,24	178:1 195:18	seen 8:11,13,14
244:3,5,7,13	99:20 102:16	305:25 311:18	195:22,24	35:25 37:25
244:16,17,22	107:25 108:3	312:13 317:6	196:1 331:14	77:12,24 88:9

97:4,5 99:23	73:20 97:8,14	120:10	Signature	153:3,10,21
115:16 142:7	97:22,22 98:4	shivering 81:17	348:21	154:5,12 155:3
186:10 278:6	102:4,8 103:22	82:7 238:22	significant 28:18	155:16 160:25
294:23 295:6	342:21	shopped 286:18	81:13 82:2	161:17,24
305:1	serious 169:23	shopping 277:17	184:14 185:13	162:6 163:16
select 341:16	169:25	279:5	201:1,19	166:12 167:17
selected 236:14	served 303:21	short 59:22	202:21 223:25	170:21 191:14
236:15 246:3,6	service 4:11	102:22 112:15	252:11 262:8	191:18 193:16
269:4	88:13 135:21	257:11 313:25	significantly	193:22 194:6
selecting 130:2	225:4 309:16	314:8	170:14	194:13,18,23
selection 306:9	services 1:17 3:7	short-duration	similar 7:17	194:25 195:8
sell 26:2 30:7	89:6	256:3,10,16	89:3 109:2	195:14 197:7,9
75:7 223:18	Sessler 43:15	257:2	110:3 171:16	197:12,17,18
selling 229:7,12	159:15 162:19	shorten 248:25	228:12 268:22	197:24 198:2,9
send 291:13,23	165:21 169:1,2	shorter 257:10	similarly 344:17	199:7,23
sending 160:12	230:2,3,21	263:23 264:2	simple 51:11,14	203:18,21,25
160:23 161:18	232:23 246:22	shorthand 347:3	170:12	204:3,17 205:9
291:10 292:6	262:18,21	347:4,9	simplified 54:21	205:9,21 206:4
344:2	268:5 335:21	shortly 218:20	simplify 93:7	206:11,14,16
senior 334:21	336:1	219:10	104:10	206:20 207:20
sense 52:15 58:3	Sessler's 231:4	show 30:23 73:2	simply 309:17	207:23 208:5
204:24 205:1	265:3	77:1 88:3	single 127:14	210:13,13
279:14 287:25	Sessler-Olmsted	96:23 118:2	132:14 144:3	212:4,18
289:7 290:2	43:18 163:25	119:15 120:17	210:11 211:23	246:11,19,23
322:12 335:10	164:15 166:2	120:18 168:1	313:7	247:4 248:2
335:11 338:21	set 23:9 61:3	191:10 193:15	sir 219:19 275:5	309:6 323:4
sensitive 237:20	72:15 243:25	196:10 198:3	279:20	331:21
sensitivity	setpoint 23:14	209:17 224:15	sit 254:4 283:11	sitting 118:11
283:15	23:15,23 237:6	233:14 266:24	285:23 293:21	141:22 142:14
sensor 176:20	setting 24:11	showed 114:25	316:8,18	143:12 210:23
sent 16:22 58:9	72:9,11 119:17	126:19 127:15	317:11 332:16	244:17,17
58:23 276:21	189:23 222:24	167:23 169:10	336:9	284:1
278:2,25 279:6	241:15 247:11	171:15 206:1	site 47:4 65:9,11	situation 95:16
285:3 292:13	273:25 282:19	210:13 279:13	65:18 66:13	six 191:6,8,24
292:17	settings 87:2	299:21	124:4,9,16	230:23 232:18
sentence 237:17	267:3 274:2	showing 154:4	125:2,12,19,20	266:22
270:20 272:5,6	settled 197:13	262:16 277:4	126:7,16	Sixth 2:23
272:16	Settles 120:8	shown 224:12	127:15,23,24	size 41:20 55:23
separate 90:8	shaking 342:16	shows 120:6	129:8,20,25	56:1,7 119:12
separately 90:9	shape 22:25	132:14 200:23	130:7 144:12	183:14
September	23:1 171:23	209:18 211:23	145:3,19 146:2	sizes 55:25 61:6
260:18 317:4	share 58:4	230:4,7 266:11	146:4,14,18,23	105:23
sequentially	shared 291:7	308:1	147:6,11,18	skier 238:2
100:25 279:3	shareholders	shy 231:6	148:15,21	skilled 57:20
series 24:14	333:9	side 239:16	149:1,2,10	skin 73:8 92:21
31:10 33:3,25	shedding 112:21	254:18 311:17	150:8,25	92:25 93:2
34:19 64:24,24	Shirozu 120:9	sign 303:20	151:19 152:18	111:9 133:10

133:15,23	59:15 69:13,14	283:16,23	308:12 313:4	265:6 271:15
134:4 205:16	76:19 77:4	284:6,8,12	specific 35:19	started 35:5
205:19 270:23	83:20 85:20	286:12 291:14	61:23 67:8,15	86:19 161:18
284:12	97:10 100:3	292:19,20,21	67:20 81:2	250:23 251:3
slide 296:22,24	107:9 109:13	292:21 293:13	214:20 226:10	251:18 252:4
297:4 302:3,8	117:15 128:12	293:19,21	230:10,12	254:5,14
303:8 305:2	153:18 160:10	295:18,24	231:23 242:20	331:24
307:10 311:17	161:22 173:12	296:5,9 298:8	289:10,18,23	starting 253:17
slides 303:8	177:8,20	299:24 303:20	319:1 335:11	276:13 302:25
305:21 306:2	178:18 192:16	304:15,23	346:6	303:4
307:3	195:23 197:25	305:22 306:8	specifically 6:8	starts 225:13
sloppy 264:6	198:15 200:14	306:15 307:14	6:25 7:14,22	state 5:10 25:18
small 168:2	202:7 217:5	311:15 314:14	10:4 20:24	89:15 197:25
175:3 185:19	251:16 269:25	314:16 316:5,6	97:21 227:2	200:3,9,11,15
200:25 251:7	275:3 279:19	317:5,23 319:2	252:15 286:21	202:8 305:9
298:24 311:15	279:20 299:5	319:19 320:10	316:24 319:3	339:25
smaller 22:24	299:15,15	321:20 323:14	specifications	stated 112:7
172:13	301:16 302:25	324:11 326:18	11:13 41:18	127:12 194:14
Smiths 327:7	304:21 318:3	331:3,15,19	speed 176:10,12	statement 5:20
Snuggle 327:8	323:12 332:19	335:2,3,4,7	SPENCE 2:11	105:11 107:17
society 311:20	sort 217:22	sorts 245:13	spend 13:9	108:20,22
312:14	218:7 220:5,6	273:20	244:11	124:19 127:25
soldiers 73:7	222:17 227:7	sounded 340:1	spent 14:15,19	188:2 199:17
solution 61:24	228:1,24	sounds 19:20	27:15 49:23	199:19 211:18
63:3,7 95:13	230:22 231:6	161:15 252:22	236:22 244:24	276:18 304:3
96:1,2,4,9	233:14,21,24	source 90:17	spread 84:4	statements
235:13 272:13	234:5,13	91:1,12 93:9	squamous	24:23 25:9
solve 55:6 94:7	235:19 237:10	93:13,15 130:5	112:22 201:2,7	26:4,9 124:25
somebody	237:16,17	sources 55:1	201:19,23	127:21 210:20
239:16 245:25	243:6 246:1	134:9,12	202:9,22 203:1	states 61:16 66:1
252:23 258:20	247:15 248:7	South 2:23	203:13,15	93:8 97:21
259:13 293:20	249:8 253:1,9	Southdale	205:16,19	105:6 127:22
303:2	254:11,23	121:21	SIs 195:22,24	180:19 187:2
somebody's	255:23 256:10	speak 14:25	St 1:16 2:7 3:6	187:12 223:23
264:3	258:16 259:14	199:15 316:19	3:12,15 60:21	244:7 305:3
someplace	260:22 261:14	speaking 8:25	staff 134:11	stating 24:16
258:17	261:15,19	81:9 125:18	235:20	Statistically
somewhat 28:17	262:4,5,16	328:22	stamp 306:24	125:18
soon 28:6 252:6	263:1,9 264:22	specialists 2:14	standard 53:11	Statistics 204:12
sophisticated	268:4,22	3:12 154:9	standards 95:4	status 321:13
80:3	269:10 270:7	259:1	standing 118:11	stay 268:15
sore 306:11	272:6,7 273:24	specialties	118:21 126:23	309:7
sorry 12:6 13:21	275:15,15	308:13	standpoint	steady 270:15
18:17 22:19	276:12 278:14	specialties	133:21,22	271:24
25:17,18 33:21	278:20 279:17	307:18,22	Stanford 174:19	stenographic
41:4 47:23	280:1,2,3	308:11 313:1,8	start 40:10 46:2	347:4
50:12 56:13	281:8,12	specialty 308:2	162:16 213:21	step 179:17

step-by-step	326:25	study 19:12 21:1	276:18 285:19	suggestions
93:25	students 268:21	21:8,8 40:23	299:7,10 300:6	245:14
sterile 43:11	studied 224:17	42:9,10,18,19	304:11 336:1	suggests 236:5
46:22 47:5,10	studies 17:23	43:18,19,19	studying 221:22	240:23
47:13,14,16,25	19:6,7,11 20:2	120:3,5,10,24	stuff 31:8 43:20	suitable 69:12
48:3,8,11,12	20:11,12,18,24	121:11,21	47:17 51:13	262:9
48:17,19 49:5	21:1,5 30:22	122:20 127:3,3	245:4 318:1	Suite 2:7,17,23
50:11,20	30:23 36:14	127:5,14	335:14	3:4,9,15,20
131:18,22,23	38:20 41:16,24	129:21 132:14	style 196:6	summarized
132:5,20	42:5,7 43:15	144:3 149:9,13	233:21	246:7
150:16 156:14	44:1,3,5 45:17	149:20,25	Sub-Zero	summarizing
160:8 173:3,19	45:24 72:8,14	163:19,25	327:22 329:9	242:21
174:4,4 199:18	72:16 73:2,2,6	164:6,7,9,16	subject 6:21	summary 234:7
200:4,13,17,21	107:16,22	166:2 167:1,14	16:4 41:6	236:15 237:10
211:8,24 212:1	109:20 117:16	167:15 168:1,2	subjects 185:25	245:24 270:3,7
241:16 250:19	118:2 120:17	168:17 169:1,2	215:18 268:17	340:22
252:18 338:24	120:18,23	169:6,9 170:25	submission	superficial 196:2
338:25 339:3	122:1,18,23	171:8,10,13	31:19	superfluous
339:12 343:9	123:3,4 126:11	174:10,16,19	submit 217:11	217:20
343:16 345:9	126:13,17,18	177:5,7,9,12	submitted	supplied 142:12
stick 294:5	126:19 127:6	177:15 178:5	252:13,23	support 72:9
sticks 306:10	141:7,11,22,25	178:10,21	subpoint 71:14	78:7 107:16
stock 332:22	146:3 147:14	179:2,4,10,14	subsequent	108:21 169:7
stopped 98:17	148:5,12 154:3	179:22 180:19	124:10 197:17	199:19 233:15
streamline	155:1,14	180:25 181:9	subset 230:10	258:25
301:10	156:12 159:2	182:1,1,3	substance	supporting
Street 2:4,10,23	159:19 162:24	183:1,7,9,15	316:19	229:13 304:18
strengthen	163:18 164:14	183:17,21,23	substantial	326:1
301:11	164:18 165:12	184:2,4,8,9,11	172:2 184:9	supports 196:23
stretch 304:4	165:14,17	184:18 185:6	substantially	suppose 346:3
strict 332:23	167:23 168:5,9	185:10,13,18	78:9 175:25	supposed 32:9
strictly 94:22	168:23,25	185:20,22,23	281:6	105:17 318:18
142:10 178:2	169:11,18	185:23,24,24	substantive	suppress 118:5
strike 69:5 74:13	173:21 174:2	186:3,12,14,24	215:15	126:21
76:1 150:14	177:4,23,25	187:9,25 188:1	successful	suppressed
165:13 340:9	178:8,19	188:11 189:17	300:20	119:18
strong 155:13	182:19 183:14	189:18,23,24	succinct 335:3	suppression
342:6	187:12 188:6	191:5,9 193:20	suction 113:12	120:6 127:6
stronger 185:24	188:10,10	196:6,10,22	sudden 317:5	sure 13:25 25:3
186:1	198:3 201:13	197:1,21,22	suggest 135:4	25:8 34:11
structure 15:14	206:2,7,9	198:7,11,16	149:14 151:7	38:4,19 40:9
15:15 26:19	209:14,25	199:5,14,19	306:16	45:19 58:8
334:19	224:15 285:6	200:23 206:1	suggested	62:20 72:14
Stryker 137:22	300:1 303:24	210:11 211:23	157:21 160:3	81:3 102:20
138:7,13	304:5,10	216:11 237:23	307:15	112:8 115:3
140:10 293:24	332:13,14	237:24 238:7	suggesting 85:8	127:10 135:24
294:8 326:14	335:21	266:14 268:23	326:19	138:23 144:23

152:12 163:7	287:23 288:1,5	124:16 125:2	270:14 307:17	147:17 148:20
165:1 168:21	288:9 289:21	125:12,19,20	307:21 308:1	198:25 199:2
185:9 199:3	291:3 294:25	126:7,15	308:13 309:6	222:21 225:20
223:13,22	338:18 340:8	127:15,22,24	313:1,4,8	225:21 252:21
224:4,5,7	343:14 344:11	129:8,20,25	331:21	266:5,8 273:14
226:15 229:2,4	surgeons'	130:7,17	surprise 137:5,7	279:23 301:5,7
229:15 234:21	145:13	144:12 145:3	138:1,2 140:14	303:11 315:18
235:17 241:7	surgeries 151:4	145:19 146:1,4	293:16	316:14 319:18
242:19,24	152:20 153:6	146:14,18,22	surprised	320:6 323:6,20
246:10 250:24	166:15,22	147:6,11,18	317:24	323:24 327:5
251:18 259:22	167:3,6,9,20	148:15,21	surrogate 197:9	327:17 329:7
260:8 272:8	167:25 168:3	149:1,2,10	200:1	340:15
278:1,3 282:7	168:11,20,22	150:8,25	surrogates 195:6	systematic
282:20 283:2	169:8,17,19,24	151:19 152:18	surrounding	280:15 282:2
283:16,24	170:18 181:13	153:2,10,21	suspect 91:15	systems 54:24
284:16 285:2	196:15 207:2	154:5,11 155:3	87:1,13,15,17	55:8 57:13
297:23 301:24	208:15,16,23	155:16 160:25	154:1 207:6	106:13 111:1
305:12 306:23	227:3,11,12	161:17,24	262:24	149:18 242:5
312:8 313:14	246:20,24	162:6 163:16	suspicions 36:21	294:18 324:12
317:2 319:4,25	256:10,17	166:12 167:17	sustained 8:4	T
324:25 326:11	257:8 263:5,16	169:9 170:21	swear 5:3	T 348:1
328:8 336:15	287:14	187:5,15	sweat 256:25	table 51:2,16,18
343:23	surgery 4:21	189:13,20	sweating 236:1	87:12 105:25
surface 270:24	81:14,21 82:4	190:5,12	sweep 206:22	106:3 120:13
329:10	82:9 145:8,21	191:14,18	Sweetland 4:8	130:17 192:4,5
surfaces 95:20	149:12 167:21	193:16,22	77:10,18 78:12	192:5,6,21
surgeon 190:12	167:22 168:15	194:6,13,18,23	79:4,15	193:23 194:19
210:25 290:2,4	169:4,17	194:25 195:8	Sweetwater	239:1,8 254:18
338:22 343:6	227:22 234:12	195:14 197:7,9	31:16	270:5 272:20
surgeons 124:15	234:15 238:20	197:12,17,18	switch 176:10	272:24,25
124:22 125:10	239:17 240:7	197:23 198:2,8	293:23 323:1	290:5
125:14,16,21	243:7 248:18	199:7 203:18	switched 242:5	tables 191:23
126:6 127:21	249:2 256:3	203:21,24	sworn 5:5	193:17 194:14
131:17,25	257:3,6 261:1	204:3,17 205:9	system 4:10	273:11
132:1,2,7,9	261:9 262:2	205:9,21 206:3	16:10 17:10	take 9:13 44:3,5
143:17,21	263:23 266:12	206:10,14,16	35:21 53:14	54:18,25 59:17
144:10,11	268:11 288:8	206:20 207:20	54:12,22 55:4	65:21 68:19
145:1,5,18	299:23 307:6	207:23 208:5	58:19 59:10	75:20 76:1
152:7,16 153:4	308:3,6,8	210:12,13	61:2,21 62:1	102:19,20
153:8,12 154:8	313:10,18	212:4,17 224:2	62:12,19 81:11	112:12 121:11
154:19 182:8	333:12 338:21	224:4,12	88:14,21 89:22	130:23 138:20
196:12 197:11	341:14,25	230:13,14	93:4 108:17	144:17 155:20
206:25 207:1	342:3,5 344:7	234:13,17,24	110:13,25	204:16 212:22
208:3,12 209:1	surgical 47:4	246:11,19,23	111:14,20	219:7 223:23
212:6,15 242:9	100:22 102:3,5	247:4 248:2,25	122:25 126:25	238:3 248:21
263:15,22	102:11 115:11	256:13 262:8	130:3 135:16	255:6 260:7
264:10 275:9	117:9 124:4,9	268:24 269:5	142:10 146:8	

313:25 319:10	taught 107:25	109:7 171:19	162:21 218:7	therapeutic
taken 59:22	team 83:8,12	171:24 172:11	219:22 220:1	159:16
67:22 68:4,12	133:17,21	172:18 175:1,8	269:10 292:19	therapies 326:23
102:22 131:1	160:18 240:5	175:11,12,18	346:6,10,12	therapy 29:5
155:22 213:7	248:25 255:23	175:20 176:14	tested 117:12	245:19 246:12
228:14 314:4	314:16,17,22	176:20 222:21	267:9	255:2 256:2,24
takes 132:10	314:25 315:12	222:25 228:3	testified 41:8	269:12 270:18
255:3,7 266:13	315:21 316:2,5	230:8 232:7,14	284:24 308:16	272:3 274:15
talk 44:6 59:20	316:16,21	235:23 237:15	316:5	274:24 276:15
71:25 95:1	331:9 333:13	237:20 238:14	testify 11:6	287:3 303:10
162:15 180:4	teams 314:19	238:18 249:24	214:2 284:21	309:11 313:12
209:23 257:14	technical 51:9	250:5,7 256:11	testifying 9:22	340:24 344:5
265:6,10 268:3	67:8 81:2	265:11 266:6	11:2	thereabouts
276:17 284:5	129:10 231:9	266:20 268:16	testimony 10:9	70:25
304:20 319:14	312:16	269:16,19,20	128:9,14,17	thermal 72:3
319:20 330:20	technically	269:22 270:22	176:24 343:19	97:23 98:5,22
talked 245:23	114:1	270:23 282:23	346:5 347:5	98:25 99:2
247:21 280:1	technique	293:14 300:13	testing 37:19,20	103:22,25
281:22 292:20	270:14	301:6,7 305:4	41:9,10 54:14	114:6,9,17,19
314:15 317:1,7	technologies	305:25 309:22	58:12 108:25	114:25 115:13
318:23	329:4	309:24,25	122:12 129:6	115:16,20
talking 16:11	technology 29:4	310:1,24 311:1	162:7 209:11	116:1,15,23
17:6 18:1	239:16	315:17 341:7	214:7 215:9	117:9,12,17,18
21:11 37:12	Ted 12:6,9 318:5	temperatures	219:13,17	117:24 118:5
38:6 39:8,16	318:6	23:14,17,18,20	275:15 280:1	119:7,12,16
40:24 45:23	Teenier 19:21	69:18 75:2	283:15 292:25	120:6,17,19
67:12 71:5,6	20:1,6,8 21:8	176:15 195:1,2	tests 41:24 42:1	122:22 126:4
86:12 94:11	36:14 184:14	215:19 268:7	43:9 72:8 73:9	126:22 127:7
109:9 119:20	186:3,15 191:9	300:7	73:15 76:22	130:12 190:17
120:15 150:5	tell 155:1 223:9	ten 14:21,22,22	108:21 114:25	190:18,21,23
159:17 160:21	306:2 312:18	255:10 300:14	115:3 142:23	192:11 194:2,4
160:22 162:14	tells 211:1	305:3	162:17 163:15	209:18 220:5,7
164:21 166:11	233:18	tends 270:21	173:22 213:22	223:3,6 228:3
174:6 180:1	Temp 329:10	Tenier 20:12	214:4 215:13	236:1 238:10
184:17 192:10	temperature	tenure 274:6	215:20 281:14	238:11 249:24
195:3,4 209:3	23:4,10,15,23	term 9:17 31:6	281:22 284:2	267:11 283:12
227:2 237:18	24:10 28:25	67:9,14,15	284:21	295:22
247:16 254:24	29:8 52:8,11	81:2 184:24,25	text 340:23	thermal-dyna...
256:21 261:25	53:8 55:11	185:1	textbook 209:21	297:24
264:12,22	69:15 70:23	terminated 30:2	Thank 6:4 7:25	thermal-hydr...
266:10 269:3	71:6,6,10,14	30:5 98:12,13	59:16 193:25	126:18
281:18 297:3,3	71:17,20 72:9	termination	thankfully	thermal-related
318:19 337:3	72:11,12,15,16	142:4	324:10	284:8
talks 80:22	72:21 73:4	terms 108:10	Thanks 277:18	thermodynam...
100:17 264:24	74:1,5 86:25	259:14 274:23	342:11	51:12,12 57:6
307:4	87:2,3,13 99:1	terrible 30:5	theory 235:21	Thermoregula...
tangled 283:5	103:19 104:1,2	test 43:9 162:18	245:2 247:1	4:24

they'd 238:3	163:23 164:25	333:13,15	214:16 215:12	title 260:21,22
thing 15:16 62:9	165:2,25 166:5	thought 10:18	216:2,5 217:6	261:10
63:23 129:11	167:15 172:6	143:11 179:16	217:20 218:15	titled 5:13 99:18
148:10 197:6	174:12,23	184:8 250:3	222:11,12	234:7
231:11 237:21	176:9 178:1,9	299:4 333:5	225:24 226:3	today 5:19,24
255:20 260:8	178:13 181:2	337:14 345:5	226:13,16	9:4 11:11,18
278:15 282:21	181:21 183:12	thoughts 343:12	227:12 228:9	12:3,23 37:6
284:8 291:14	184:2,4 186:5	thousand 242:7	228:22 229:2	141:22,25
293:10 305:6	186:9 187:10	242:14	229:10,10,16	142:14 143:12
307:23 308:22	189:8,9 195:18	three 81:18	229:16 230:24	156:23 157:3
310:7,9 313:6	199:14 200:18	118:19 119:4	232:18 234:3	210:24 214:2
things 11:12	202:17 208:9	119:21 120:14	234:16 236:22	247:16 284:2
26:23 47:19	209:9 216:19	222:7 229:19	237:12 241:24	284:22 285:23
80:20 81:15,18	216:24 217:2	230:5 232:17	243:12 245:6	304:17 314:11
94:12 142:9	217:13,14,21	249:6 257:4	247:22,22	314:15 316:4,8
146:9 162:12	218:9,23	262:9 264:25	248:11,12,12	316:18 317:11
169:12 202:10	223:19 224:14	266:24 284:6	248:25 251:9	317:11,21
210:22 215:19	228:19,20,23	294:4,6 335:3	253:24 254:14	318:14 319:13
229:15 244:1	229:1,1 233:4	336:22 345:12	255:3,6,19	330:21 332:16
255:20 273:20	235:3 250:22	three-and-a-h...	257:9,25	336:9 339:9
295:17 302:12	254:2 257:14	231:1	259:13,19	344:8 346:5
304:11 309:8	258:5,5,10	threshold 73:8	264:2 270:15	today's 10:15
332:22	262:20 265:16	73:18	271:25 275:8,8	13:3,10,13
think 13:11	266:15 269:1	thresholds 72:18	275:21,21	14:4,17 15:7
16:20 17:3,4	269:18,18	149:6,8	276:6 289:5	21:24 26:14
19:20 31:2	276:2 289:6	throwing 302:19	292:19 293:8	35:19,23 77:22
32:20 35:12	291:3 293:10	throws 245:7	293:12,12	90:15
38:11 39:4	293:13 295:15	thumb 306:11	300:3 302:9,16	told 12:12,14,17
40:4,19 41:7	299:9 303:13	tier 207:13	303:16 312:5	108:3 154:3
42:21 44:13,24	303:15 304:3,4	ties 283:1,2,13	313:3 314:1	251:2,19 291:9
45:17,20 47:8	305:19 307:2	284:10	319:6 320:16	tolerable 235:24
48:2 49:15	307:18 308:11	time 8:8,16	332:15 334:15	tolerance 23:12
53:6 54:21	309:3,8 315:2	10:12 11:23	338:18 343:14	23:22
57:22 62:16	318:5 319:3	13:9 17:12,14	345:22 347:5	tolerate 243:8
77:17 86:21	328:3,24	17:20 20:21	timeframe	tolerated 243:3
89:13 96:21	329:12,22	29:19 31:2	218:17	tomorrow
98:19 99:10	331:9 332:14	35:25 57:22	218:17	319:14
101:17 103:11	thinking 294:14	58:7,16 70:4	161:4	ton 307:2
105:25 111:17	third 82:6	79:3 80:13	times 97:17	tonight 318:16
112:6 124:14	135:17 287:5	83:7,12 92:4,5	118:20 119:4	top 43:24 207:13
125:16,21	third-party	96:11,16,17,17	119:21 208:8	225:17 229:18
127:25 133:2	34:25	97:10 98:15,18	247:16 315:14	239:8 260:22
135:9,25 136:1	THOMAS 3:6	122:6 137:25	321:18,19	282:22 298:20
138:23 149:5	thorough 259:21	143:15 156:11	Timothy 3:14	301:4 305:3
149:13 158:11	291:25 332:9	156:16 165:16	tires 331:3	topic 10:18
158:19 159:14	345:21	197:25 198:15	tissue 73:11	35:19 247:21
160:16,18	thoroughly	200:14 202:8	220:4	281:13 318:18

topics 5:18,22 6:6,8,11,13,16 6:20 7:2,4,9 8:9,9 10:3,6,13 11:1,5,9,14,16 11:20 12:2,14 12:17,22 13:1 13:6 14:4 15:1 62:16 139:12 213:1,19	transmission 86:10 253:10 274:25 275:23 339:17,23 340:5,17 344:20	348:5 try 40:18 47:4 47:10,15 94:6 95:13 96:3,18 104:9 110:2 228:6,16	105:14 109:21 109:24 166:21 166:21 168:10 188:25 216:10 230:12 255:20	204:5 205:18 207:14 209:18 245:8 289:23 299:14 318:20 319:22 333:8
total 4:20 260:25 261:8 262:2 263:5 279:14	transmitting 160:17	255:25 263:10 263:15 292:3	typed 233:23 types 42:5 265:12	understanding 5:21 10:6,14 11:1,8 31:9 35:7 59:2 71:16 227:19 286:12
Totally 189:22	transpired 102:24 131:3	trying 12:10 51:10,14 55:5	typical 269:5 311:4	understands 131:22 158:4
towels 215:1 216:3 217:23	155:24 213:9	91:16 139:2	typically 314:25 315:2	understood 9:10 69:16 85:25 86:5
toxic 47:18	travel 85:18,21 202:9	146:24 164:20 165:21 233:14	U	undertaken 141:13
track 139:23	travels 86:15	245:9 263:22	U 278:10,11 348:1	underway 334:24
tracked 323:7 323:16,19	treat 80:23	302:11 314:12	UM 278:21	Underwood 278:17,21 286:13
tracking 267:2 323:20	treated 82:15,22 84:13,16,17	318:21 330:12 345:21	Um-hmm 265:2	unequivocally 305:9
Tracy 215:12	tremors 82:7	Tuesday 14:7	unable 174:14	unethical 73:17
trade 278:3	trial 277:12	turn 104:24 146:6 250:8	unacceptable 108:12	unintentionally 181:24
trade-secreted 277:25	291:15,16,19	285:18 337:15	unaware 141:11 150:13 151:2 182:24 317:12 317:14	unit 41:17,21
trained 243:25	291:20,24	Turning 262:4 262:15 279:15	undergoing 4:20 260:25	undergone 279:25
training 4:14 15:11 99:20 102:16 314:23	299:2,18 317:4 335:15	286:24	underneath 53:22	underneath 189:3 242:6 247:25
transcript 347:7 348:4,5	trials 37:20 304:8	turns 237:19 249:17,20,22 250:5	understand 6:1 8:5,22,24 9:6 9:19 16:24 31:6 64:22 66:7 74:2,20 75:17 80:5 90:11 92:12 95:6 108:19 109:9 124:13	United 61:16 223:23 244:7 305:3
transcription 347:8 348:6	tricia 60:4	twice 213:17	understanding 18:24 279:25	units 69:19 97:15,23
transfer 29:7 39:14 51:13 57:4,8 266:4 267:20,22 341:6	trick 271:19	two 11:4,4 19:6	underneath 53:22	103:22 105:7 106:4,11,20
transferred 160:19	tried 95:17	20:1,8,11,12 21:5 38:20	undergoing 4:20 260:25	universally 108:5 109:8 150:1 163:2,3 178:11 265:12
transferring 267:23	179:24 239:5 298:9,23	42:7 81:15 94:11 98:22	two-and-a-half 232:13,18	University 42:11 42:12 57:2
transfusion 169:12	tripping 284:15	94:11 98:22	two-hour 232:12	
transition 247:8	284:15	133:18 146:9 162:12 187:11	TX 2:8,10	
	true 36:19,24	236:21,25	Tye 1:13 60:22	
	116:14 131:20	239:24 257:4	type 15:16 27:7	
	132:6 135:4	286:4 287:7	74:9 83:2	
	145:11 154:1	294:4,5 307:3	176:18 180:3	
	183:22 190:14	318:8 334:16	182:25 184:19	
	197:10 198:10	two-and-a-half 232:13,18	185:12 199:25	
	208:25 221:2	294:4,5 307:3		
	224:3 238:6	318:8 334:16		
	249:17 256:11	94:11 98:22		
	259:4 269:20	133:18 146:9 162:12 187:11		
	275:10,11	236:21,25		
	289:16 305:7,9	239:24 257:4		
	305:10 344:8	286:4 287:7		
	344:22 347:7	294:4,5 307:3		

unknown 187:3 187:14 189:12 189:19 190:4	98:6 101:18 102:12 103:15 103:18,19,21	260:5,19 263:9 273:12 277:24 295:11 305:14	Videotape 5:13 Videotaped 1:24 4:6 view 256:9 341:11,11 viewing 345:24 viral 85:15,16 virtual 244:23 virtually 138:4 3:6 VANSANDT	93:25 94:25 104:9 105:4,10 110:5,10,18 111:1,18 124:25 125:11 125:14 131:17 132:4 143:17 145:1 147:8 158:21 161:9 179:14,17 Viruses 85:16,18 85:21 visualize 115:5,6 visualized 114:17 116:21 VitaHEAT 29:20 30:15,17 293:24 329:20 329:21 VOLUME 1:22 voluminous 314:14 volunteer 73:7 volunteered 230:17 volunteers 336:15,24 337:10
UofMHospital... 278:13	247:10 249:6,6 272:21 282:19	velocities 120:13 ventilated 53:10 53:11 126:20 127:7	29:20 30:15,17 293:24 329:20 329:21	222:20 231:8 249:15 250:10 250:11 271:18 272:8 283:2,5
up-to-date 159:22	287:12,13,18 288:7,14,21,22	ventilation 118:4 147:17 148:20 193:12	VOLUME 1:22 voluminous 314:14 volunteer 73:7 volunteered 230:17 volunteers 336:15,24 337:10	285:19,20 291:11 292:5 296:6 306:13 307:1 310:3
update 313:4	290:1,3,8,13	vents 48:25,25	331:3 334:17	
updated 313:3 313:19 320:25	305:3 306:3,6 308:2 310:14	113:1 verbatim 347:3	336:15,24	
updates 302:8	325:19,24	118:4 147:15	337:10	
updating 313:2 313:21,23	326:1 328:14 338:19 339:11	131:17 340:21 versions 106:4	wanted 111:12 116:18 121:10 121:16 126:6 199:16 285:14	
upper 175:11,12	339:22 340:14	140:17 versus 150:20	wanting 271:2	
upward 193:7	344:4 345:7	178:12 veterinary	wants 95:7	
use 9:17 23:2 24:13 25:13 46:14,15 48:9 48:15 61:22	uses 67:9 185:6 usually 79:20 118:10 173:8 173:23 242:7	223:14,17 3:23 5:2 59:21 59:24 102:21	War 72:17 73:9 73:12	
63:12,15 64:2 64:14 65:7,9 65:11,16,18,22 66:4,12,13,24	314:21 329:1 utilization 119:2 301:9	102:25 112:14 112:16 130:25 131:4 155:21	warm 52:18 84:17,20 91:7 113:23,25	
67:2,6,7,7,8,10 67:14,14,19,20 67:22 68:3,12 68:17,20,23	vague 86:3 value 335:1 Van 1:24 4:2,18 4:22 5:6,9,11	155:25 213:3,6 213:10 314:3,6 346:14	215:16 216:3 235:17 236:10 237:3 240:12 240:19 267:17	
69:11,20 75:12 76:3,8,14 78:11,15 80:22 81:1,6,7,9,24	7:6,9,21 8:11 31:20 103:2 131:6 213:13	213:10 314:3,6 81:7 82:12	267:21,21 269:19 293:20 297:7,11	
82:2,6 87:3 97:14,21,22	225:7 233:18 247:20 252:13	videos 122:12,13 86:18 88:3	308:22 310:10 311:2 324:16	

327:8,23	213:24 214:6,9	327:11,14,19	310:13 322:10	291:7 292:6
328:25 330:8	214:15,20,22	328:5 329:4	322:16,20	293:18 294:11
330:13,14	214:23,25	338:3,12 339:2	warnings 25:12	296:2 297:11
warmed 30:24	215:25 216:11	339:19 340:3	25:14,22 82:11	304:20 305:20
52:13 118:6	216:19 217:17	340:15,16,23	82:18 83:10,23	306:2 311:10
215:17 234:25	219:16,24	341:4,22 342:1	89:2 90:18,22	316:12,15
266:23 267:25	220:23 221:3	342:4,8 343:15	96:6 98:3	320:22 334:20
269:15 300:23	222:17,21	344:21	134:14 139:6	338:16
303:18	223:8 224:19	warmings	140:18 158:9	ways 220:24
warmer 4:16	234:14,15	303:25	207:18 210:21	243:25 266:22
31:13,16 77:19	235:8 236:6	warmth 249:16	211:3	266:23 267:6
237:6 243:3	237:14,17	WarmTouch	warns 102:13	317:9 324:16
warming 4:10	238:10,12,19	327:17	140:10	we'll 10:5 24:19
18:23 22:9	238:21,21	warn 76:2,5,6	wasn't 35:10	40:12 59:3
27:16 28:1,2	239:13,16,23	95:13 132:18	40:21 45:19	87:3 94:25
28:23,25 29:11	241:14,22	137:1,2,9,15	112:8 113:17	129:4 318:16
29:12,13,16	242:5,10,18,23	137:22 138:17	139:4 153:20	we're 5:2 11:11
30:11,14 33:8	245:19 247:10	138:24 140:21	160:25 161:5	18:1 38:6,14
34:5 35:21	247:11,24,25	154:13 158:1	161:16 169:9	40:24 59:24
41:17,21 43:4	248:17,20	181:11 205:7	180:13 209:4	94:11 109:9
43:4,10,17	249:4,5 252:17	warned 75:3	215:14 217:5	112:16 113:16
53:13 55:4	253:12 265:11	76:13 84:22	226:7 243:22	119:20 120:15
63:25 64:12	265:12 266:5	133:18 136:13	317:8 319:20	126:8 131:4
68:8 69:19	267:19 268:1	warning 76:16	323:9	143:20 145:16
70:5 74:4	269:17 270:16	82:12,23,25	waste 45:2	148:12 150:5
75:21 78:3	270:24 271:5	84:6,11 85:7	water 267:13	155:25 174:2
83:7 88:14	272:1 274:7	89:3,9,15,18	watts 118:9,12	192:10 199:24
89:5,22 95:20	275:2,24	90:24 91:3	118:14 242:7	209:3 210:24
97:15,23	279:23 280:4	93:5,8 94:10	242:14	212:22 213:10
103:22 105:7	281:16 284:4	95:23,25 96:8	way 16:7 50:9	230:20 264:12
106:4,11 108:5	287:6 292:21	96:12,14,22	61:15 62:17	314:3,6,12
108:18 127:10	293:1 294:14	97:19 98:21,22	81:10 94:16	317:3,5 318:17
136:23 139:1	296:25 297:5	103:12 104:4	139:11,19,20	318:18 345:24
149:25 154:25	297:16,20	107:23,24	145:23 151:25	346:14
158:17,21,24	298:17 303:11	135:4,6 137:12	we've 34:15	
159:3 160:2	307:16 308:2,4	138:6 139:4	162:16 166:1	116:24 160:14
161:11 163:4	308:14,15,17	140:23 141:3,9	168:7 170:12	166:10 184:10
167:2,7,8,19	308:21 309:17	141:13,17	179:16 180:18	192:9 213:16
168:9,21,25	309:23 311:19	142:4,15,22	197:19 202:3	
170:2,4,17,22	312:13 313:11	143:1,8 154:12	216:25 220:11	247:15,17,21
171:3 172:18	319:17 320:6	156:3,18	228:17 233:18	328:24 346:4
178:7,11 179:3	323:5 324:12	157:21 160:3	238:20 243:10	weak 185:18,20
180:20 182:11	324:13,14,14	176:25 183:23	243:25 245:5	wearing 86:13
182:20 183:10	325:7,7,8,11	208:14 210:25	246:7 248:5	website 122:8
183:18 195:16	325:16,21,24	211:1,12	255:24 257:3	Wednesday 14:7
196:7 197:22	326:2,6,10,14	287:12 289:14	257:13 269:21	week 11:7 12:19
198:1 208:22	326:23 327:5	290:22,22	272:4 278:8	12:20,20,21
			288:20 290:15	13:15,16,16

14:1,6,6 100:2	witnesses 247:23	295:3	307:12 311:22	278:22,22
weekend 286:10	wondering	222:18	311:23 312:2,6	283:14 287:21
weeks 9:24	183:16 301:19	worse 110:10	312:7,8,9,23	289:20 293:15
10:15 11:4,4	Woods 2:17	112:8	338:2 339:1,6	302:15 303:5
WELDER 2:15	word 48:9 81:8	worthy 292:14	339:21 340:2	303:22,23
well-settled	81:8 138:21	292:15	340:11 344:1,3	317:22 319:22
196:19	271:17 273:4	wouldn't 111:15		334:11
went 21:13 79:5	319:11 343:7	137:5,7 138:1	X	year 27:23 29:25
81:20 96:21	wording 290:13	138:2 139:5		32:5,6 36:8
164:6,10,11	338:17	140:14 144:9		53:15 88:15,17
172:6 206:3	words 96:13	166:17 200:10		88:22 138:11
250:15 261:12	154:10 199:16	293:16 302:20		163:8 164:21
304:23 345:3	272:11 289:23	302:24 322:19		181:3 184:4
Wenzel 128:4,5	work 38:11	wound 29:3 84:4		years 28:7
128:19,22	39:24 40:5,8	84:5,22 85:1		122:11 206:24
202:6,7 203:6	164:25 165:11	90:25 91:7,18		221:23 294:4,4
Wenzel's 128:8	221:15 228:5	91:20,21,24		294:6,24
128:14	230:3 244:3	92:1,11,15,17		295:14 301:6
weren't 7:16	246:22 250:6	92:17,25 93:2		345:17,17
16:19 253:14	265:3 274:13	93:9 103:8		yellow 279:17
266:18 300:18	275:15 289:18	104:11 133:4,7		yep 49:9 91:23
WESLEY 2:14	291:15,17	133:13 134:17		101:22 132:3
West 3:15	303:1,4 305:21	136:20 224:13		139:22 148:17
Westlund 15:22	305:22 306:17	224:16 251:6		148:23 151:15
whatsoever 66:6	306:18 341:16	251:21 252:9		157:11 175:13
white 228:21	345:22	264:3		222:10 258:23
259:15 312:1	worked 225:24	wounds 82:15		259:24 260:13
widely 243:21	228:9,13	82:21 84:2,13		265:24 272:18
244:19	229:14 248:13	89:7,12,16,21		yesterday 8:15
willing 6:12	275:12 343:13	95:21 134:3		8:16 10:7,10
withdraw 15:5	345:11	135:10,11		11:22
20:10 29:5	workflow 235:9	wow 299:11		York 261:5
33:13 64:10	254:21 301:10	write 301:21,25		276:22 286:2
73:20 76:21	working 17:19	writers 259:2		young 268:21
97:11 98:21	27:16 71:25	writes 307:22		Z
109:21 112:11	87:24 244:24	written 15:20,21		zero 230:25
180:23 194:9	261:4 274:6	16:20,21 21:21		300:8
205:4 217:12	318:6 323:17	135:23 293:16		Zimmerman
withdrawn 8:9	works 67:19	295:13 305:10		2:12 4:3,4
witness 4:2 5:4,5	264:23 316:13	333:19		56:21 60:2,2
6:12 8:21	world 72:17	wrong 99:18		71:24 99:12
60:10 107:13	73:9,12 116:12	299:3		101:2 112:12
157:4 179:19	129:1 159:4,8	wrote 28:1,3		212:22 213:12
271:19 317:23	196:20 243:22	99:19 161:15		213:16 225:1,3
318:2,7,13	269:6 303:9	225:11 226:20		225:6 226:9,14
319:8 348:21	WORLEY 2:6	226:24 246:16		226:18 260:3
348:22	worried 250:22	271:4 301:24		

260:11,13,15	278:16 279:4	19 261:13 262:4	1st 100:16 277:8	200/250 4:9
270:1,2 271:13	286:7 300:8	262:15 264:24	2	2000 16:12
271:18,23	10:40 59:23	265:5,19,20		254:7
277:3 282:12	100 2:7 44:14	269:23 270:1	2 4:8 5:22 6:6,13	2000s 27:6
282:17 295:10	100:10 118:9	276:14 279:15	6:22 7:5 8:2	2002 252:15
302:15,21	10th 13:17	279:16,20	70:8,10,13,16	2003 17:2,4 36:2
303:3 306:20	11 4:24 295:8,12	286:24 312:18	70:19 77:3,4,5	163:14 218:16
313:25 314:7	298:19 299:6	1916-CV00825	77:8 89:2	219:11 254:6
317:2,20,23	337:15,16	1:16	105:5,7 106:12	335:14
318:11,21	11/1/07 4:22	1920s 114:18	106:16 135:14	2003-ish 217:4
319:2,8,25	1100 3:20	1934 77:9	136:1,2,12	2004 263:7
320:1 323:11	110th 3:15	1938 4:8 77:10	175:4,6 227:6	2005 36:2
323:13 336:18	117 2:10	78:17 79:21	229:19 296:8	225:12 226:25
336:24 338:5	12 1:9 269:23	80:4	2.0 70:8	235:3 241:24
338:14 339:4	270:1 286:24	1980s 47:22	2:22 155:22	242:3 248:15
339:13 340:6	12/1/94 100:12	1981 263:7	2:37 155:23	250:24 251:9
340:18 341:15	100:18	1984 31:17	20 13:11 14:16	253:22 254:15
342:2,12,20	12:08 102:23	1987 19:5 31:22	221:23 300:8	257:5,20 258:3
346:13	12:23 102:23	36:11 86:20	312:17 345:17	259:4,8 265:22
Zink 19:12,15	13 164:25	97:17,20	20.00 256:17	274:19,21
19:25 20:5,11	276:13 302:25	1988 80:11,15	200 22:4 23:16	288:3 337:22
21:8 36:13	303:1	93:19	23:20 24:5,14	338:1 339:1
38:21 39:3	14 1:23 227:6	1990 266:15,25	31:10,13 33:2	340:2 342:24
40:14 42:9	229:19 232:22	267:8	34:6,18 35:2	343:18,25
43:7,19 162:7	261:13 279:15	1990s 27:5 47:22	63:15 64:14,18	344:14,18
162:22 163:10	279:20 301:3	104:19 114:12	64:24 65:7	2006 214:7,8
163:14 184:13	303:4,8 305:22	114:14	69:4,6,18,25	215:8 216:4
185:13,23,24	337:15,16	1991 4:15 19:23	70:6,10,10,23	237:23 238:8
268:23	14-page 227:25	19:23 39:1	73:20,20,23,23	280:2 281:23
Zoom 2:3,9,16	15 163:6 345:17	129:24 187:23	74:15,18,24	292:20
3:3,8,14,14,19	150 118:12	189:17	75:4,8,15 76:3	2007 254:7
60:5,7,20	1553 4:18	1993 19:15,19	76:7,14,22	260:18 274:21
	225:18	39:3	77:15 78:16	275:19 276:10
0	15th 13:20	1994 17:20	80:7 82:19	277:8,21
0.2 70:4 105:16	16 307:19	18:14,20 19:5	86:23 87:9	287:20,23
02 135:12,16,22	1616 2:12	27:18 36:11,13	88:2 89:3,10	288:4 337:23
	16th 6:10,15,20	36:15 88:24	90:18 97:7,14	338:8 339:7
1	17 163:6	100:7,15,16	97:22,22 98:4	340:12,21
1 4:6 5:12,22	170 303:24	101:23,25	98:6,9,17 99:1	342:24 343:18
11:21 12:1	304:9	156:12 160:15	99:5 102:14	343:25 344:15
77:2 213:19	1770 2:7	161:23 251:3	103:13,15,22	344:18
323:2	17th 6:18	251:19 252:2,5	104:2 105:13	2008 307:11
1-348 1:22	18 104:25	253:18 331:23	106:20 107:1,2	2009 340:20
1:05 131:1	312:17	1995 99:21	107:18 108:4	2010 45:18
1:42 131:2	1816-CV-30710	194:24	108:11,22	296:15,16
10 4:22 5:23	1:8	1996 169:1	109:8,25	312:8
234:5 277:1,5	186 4:17	1998 53:18	322:11	2011 45:17

184:5 252:21	6:22 7:5 8:3	36 268:15	159:1 160:7,11	336:10,11
296:14,17	307:3 323:2	37 307:10	160:13,20	338:11 340:14
2012 161:1,8	260 4:21	37.6 87:4	161:19 162:7	342:2 344:7,9
181:4 182:23	2600 3:20	3M 1:25 2:19	162:16,22	344:14,23
253:4	27 5:23 77:9	5:14 6:12 9:18	163:9 164:5,15	346:6
2013 40:12,14	307:3,11	9:23 11:2,6	164:19 165:3	3M's 21:11
40:15 41:3,5	311:17 323:1	14:20 18:8,9	165:15,23	25:25 28:9
162:8 164:24	324:12	22:11,21,23	166:3,20,24	65:25 95:7
165:6,7,13	277 4:23	24:12 25:2	168:7,9 169:1	157:4 176:24
182:23	28 5:23 172:6	27:21 28:9,16	169:6,20	201:22 336:13
2015 40:2 45:19	28th 6:7	28:19 29:10	173:21 180:18	339:11 341:20
186:10	29 5:23 7:16,17	30:9 33:19	181:11,16,23	3M-brochure
2016 163:6	7:22 8:5	34:6 36:3,4,4	182:18 183:9	233:21
186:10	3	36:17 37:4,5,7	183:20 184:3	3M00035144
2017 180:8	3	38:7,12,15	184:20 185:6	4:17
2018 27:24	3 4:9 5:22 79:12	39:7,24 40:13	186:25 187:9	3M00035149
182:14 307:13	79:14 80:6	40:21,24 41:9	187:25 194:3	4:17
312:3 313:20	104:25 262:4	41:25 43:1,8	198:22 199:5	3MBH_00834...
2021 6:7	265:10 266:10	43:21 44:1	199:16 200:2	225:14
2022 1:23 302:5	3:53 213:7	45:13 46:20	200:11,15	3MBH00500237
347:11 348:22	30 7:17 206:24	49:3,13 50:3	203:3,12,23	4:13
21 5:23 6:6,13	221:25 222:1	60:10 66:2,5	205:6 207:8,18	3MBH00500238
6:22 7:5 8:3	236:5,11	66:11,15,17	208:2,13,14	4:11,13
213 4:3	245:25 255:2,3	69:2 71:19	209:7,8,12,14	3MBH00982867
22-24 99:21	255:8 300:9	75:3,7,10,10	210:4,5,20,24	4:21
220 2:4	301:5	75:15 112:19	211:5,13 212:8	3MBH00982885
224 4:18	30-day 170:25	113:15 114:20	212:12,13	4:21
22nd 347:10	171:7	117:4,7 119:7	214:4 216:7	3MBH01887029
237 101:3	30(b)(6) 10:25	119:11,25	219:14 221:10	4:14
238 101:3	127:13 128:9	120:16 121:1,5	221:23 225:25	3MBH01887207
250 22:4 23:16	167:12 202:3	121:12,13,17	228:11 229:7	4:14
24:5 31:23	204:23 205:14	122:13 125:23	247:23 248:13	3MBH02237657
33:3 64:18	212:11 280:8	126:10 128:5	252:21 275:16	4:11
65:8 69:4,6,18	30(b)06 139:11	128:13,19,24	275:20 277:19	3s 104:25
69:25 70:6,13	30(b)6 8:21	132:13,18,24	284:3 290:16	
71:1 73:20	157:3 281:13	133:22 134:7	292:24 294:7	4
74:25 75:4,8	300 3:9 102:8	139:4,4,6	295:19 296:14	4 4:11 5:22 88:4
75:15 76:3,7	303:9,16,17	144:17 145:12	296:15 301:4,7	88:5,8 96:8
76:15,23 80:7	31 5:23 330:17	145:17 149:8	304:16 311:16	135:8,16,21
81:11,23 82:6	3100 2:23	150:13,15,23	312:7,16,16,17	262:15 265:10
82:19 89:10	32 2:17	151:2 152:15	315:15 317:11	268:3
90:18 105:13	33 87:3 172:6	152:23 153:15	324:1 327:20	4:07 213:8
106:20 107:1,2	175:4	153:24 154:18	330:23 332:3,6	40 23:19,20
107:18 108:4	337 4:3	155:6,12	332:9,13 333:4	128:5 201:23
108:12,22	342 4:4	156:18 157:10	333:6,8,14,23	202:25 204:15
109:8,25	346 347:7 348:4	157:20 158:4,5	333:24 334:3	43 23:6,6,9,15
26 5:23 6:7,14	35 266:12	158:15,16	335:9,17,20	24:1 71:11,13

71:15,18 72:13	143:2,15 156:2	705 175:17	279:4,16
73:4 87:10	156:17 166:18	74 233:12	281:18 286:25
175:1,22	171:18,18	259:20	291:6 337:20
176:14 220:4	172:5 174:25	750 2:17 22:5	337:23 338:9
222:25	174:25 175:2,8	33:14 126:13	339:7 340:21
43.1 87:5	176:24 321:25	136:6 137:10	90 44:11,21
44 23:24	322:15	175:19,20	299:21
46 23:21,24	502 32:20,24	176:8,12,19	900 3:4,4
70:24 71:17	34:17	181:3 216:14	90s 53:6 54:6,7
72:10,13 73:4	505 22:5 32:19	7675 135:15,16	156:7 160:9
470 3:15	32:23 33:14	77 4:8	911 2:4
4740 3:9	69:12 126:12	77006 2:8	9225 2:16
48 176:2	129:3,7,11	77008 2:10	9300 3:15
48th 3:4	136:3 137:10	775 22:6,7,9,15	94 252:15
4th 14:2	138:14,16	33:17 34:6	254:14
<hr/>			
5	139:2 141:2	136:9 137:11	97 4:13
5 4:2,7,8,12	175:6 176:7,11	176:6 181:1,9	99 4:14
77:10 86:18	510k 31:18 78:7	181:10 183:8	99.9 138:23
96:25 97:1,4	281:4,7	203:11	
99:9,11 100:4	55402 2:23	78 88:20	
100:7 103:21	55416 2:13	79 4:10	
5.00 256:19	560 100:22	7th 13:22	
5:59 314:4	101:20 102:1	<hr/>	
500 4:11 22:4,5	5th 14:9,16	8	
32:3,5,7,9,15	<hr/>		
32:22 33:13	<hr/>		
46:8,15 50:18	6 4:14 99:14,17	8:4:18 5:22 6:6	
65:12,14,16,19	102:15 104:21	6:13,22 7:5 8:3	
66:6 67:6 68:8	187:11 260:18	224:24 225:2	
69:4,6,13,19	6,000 345:25	232:21 261:19	
70:5,7,16,19	6:06 314:5	264:24 265:14	
71:3,9,13 88:3	6:43 346:17	273:1 337:9,13	
88:9,9,9,13,15	60 2:23 268:14	337:14,19,22	
90:19 91:22	600 22:13 33:25	339:15	
92:5 97:8,8	34:16 216:13	80 44:11,21	
98:14,17 102:4	64067 2:5	800 118:16	
105:5,6,7,13	64108 3:20	810 18:9 330:25	
105:15,15,17	64112 3:4,9	850 118:13	
105:20,21	66210 2:17 3:15	216:18	
106:2,2,11,11	6th 14:9,16	87 86:21	
126:12,12	<hr/>		
129:12 132:23	<hr/>		
135:5,12,20,21	7 4:15 186:19,20	88 4:11	
136:18 141:2,3	229:18 232:25	8th 13:21	
141:8,18,19	296:21,21	<hr/>	
142:1,6,16	7046 105:3	9	
<hr/>			